

From: Malkin, Joseph M.
Sent: 10/26/2012 4:30:12 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Bone, Traci (traci.bone@cpuc.ca.gov); Como, Joe (joe.como@cpuc.ca.gov); Britt Strottman (bstrottman@meyersnave.com); Austin Yang (Austin.Yang@sfgov.org); marcel@turn.org (marcel@turn.org); Paull, Karen P. (karen.paull@cpuc.ca.gov); Pocta, Robert M. (robert.pocta@cpuc.ca.gov); Serizawa, Linda (linda.serizawa@cpuc.ca.gov); SBNeg (SBNeg@cpuc.ca.gov); Craig Bettencourt (cbettencourt@prager.com); Steven Meyers (smeyers@meyersnave.com); Connie Jackson (CJackson@sanbruno.ca.gov); Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3); Tom Long (tlong@turn.org); Theresa Mueller (Theresa.Mueller@sfgov.org)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Monday

Michelle,

I'm sorry not to have been able to respond earlier. We are happy to meet with the other parties on Monday. As we have expressed on several occasions, we believe we need the help of a mediator to bridge the still-substantial gulf between us. In their October 23 letter to Senator Mitchell, the City of San Bruno, DRA, TURN and the City and County of San Francisco stated that they "are confident that there are other qualified mediators who are not conflicted and could mediate these negotiations with the consent of all parties." We would like to talk with the parties about the process for selecting such a mediator to work with all of us toward a mutually acceptable resolution.

We are flexible on timing Monday. Please let us know what works for everyone else.

Thank you,

Joe

From: Cooke, Michelle [<mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 6:40 AM
To: Malkin, Joseph M.; Tom Bottorff (TEB3@pge.com)
Cc: Austin Yang; Bone, Traci; Britt Strottman; Como, Joe; Connie Jackson; Craig Bettencourt; marcel@turn.org; Paull, Karen P.; Pocta, Robert M.; SBNeg; Serizawa, Linda; Steven Meyers; Theresa Mueller; Tom Long
Subject: Monday

Joe and Tom- The non-PG&E parties were informed Thursday afternoon that Senator Mitchell was still willing to serve as mediator, but only if all the parties agreed. By separate note (on which Joe was

copied), we were confirming this understanding. As you probably would guess from the press, DRA, TURN, City of San Bruno, and City and County of San Francisco have not given their agreement. However, the parties are all willing to engage in negotiations with PG&E on Monday (10/29) if PG&E is prepared to present a reasonable counteroffer. We would all like to be able to represent that progress has been made during this delay, and don't see being able to make such a report unless we meet Monday.

Craig Bettencourt has offered his conference room at his office at One Maritime Plaza if PG&E is willing to participate.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Michelle Cooke

=====
IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.
=====

=====
NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.
=====

For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com/>
=====