From: Michael Lapides

Sent: 10/15/2012 1:13:13 AM

To: Investor Relations (mailbox) (InvestorRelations2@pge-corp.com)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: GS Utilities: PG&E Corporation (PCG): Situation still foggy, consensus still too

high - still Neutral on PCG

Mobile device? Click Here.

PG&E Corporation (PCG): Situation still foggy, consensus still too high – still Neutral on PCG [PDF.

8pgs, 198 KB]



# **EQUITY RESEARCH**

Published October 15, 2012

PG&E Corporation (PCG): Situation still foggy, consensus still too high – still Neutral on PCG

**INVESTMENT LIST MEMBERSHIP: Neutral** 

**COVERAGE VIEW: NEUTRAL** 

#### What's changed

On Friday, October 12, a draft/proposed decision on PCG's Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP) was issued, where an administrative judge for the state utility regulator recommended that PCG earn a 6% authorized RoE on proposed pipeline spending.

While we do not embed a 6% RoE for \$1bn of pipeline spending, we revise estimates slightly to reflect continued under-earning dragging into 2014 – we lower our EPS forecasts for 2013/2014 from \$2.81/\$3.30 to \$2.81/\$3.22, which reduces our 12-month price target (based on 2014 P/E) to \$43 from \$45.

#### **Implications**

The CPUC essentially 'carved out' two sets of issues this week - the

PSEP and the various investigations into record-keeping and maintenance practices for PCG's pipeline system. We view potential for a global settlement on all San Bruno items as limited and instead expect multiple dockets to resolve separately over the coming months.


Consensus estimates for PCG remain above our forecasts for 2013/2014 on: (1) assumed results in the 'Cost Of Capital' docket in California, (2) regulatory lag on gas pipeline spending and gas storage and (3) financing needs.

#### Valuation

PCG trades at 15.1x/13.2x on 2013/2014 estimates vs. other large cap Regulated Utilities at 15.0x/14.0x on average – a discount on 2014, but a premium on 2013. We apply a 13.5x multiple on our 2014 EPS estimate to derive our target price, which implies 6% total return, and among regulated names, prefer NU or DUK over PCG, especially given PCG's 2013 financing needs.

### Key risks

Primary risks include rate case and regulatory risks, higher-thanexpected costs related to the San Bruno incident, higher-thanexpected financing needs and increased O&M costs. Click here to read more



Michael Lapides (New York) (212) 357-6307, Goldman, Sachs & Co. Neil Mehta (New York) (212) 357-4042, Goldman, Sachs & Co. Vinay Nayak (Salt Lake City) (801) 741-5454, Goldman, Sachs & Co. Vikas Sharma (Bangalore) (212) 934-6812, Goldman Sachs India SPL

Adam Muro (New York) (212) 902-5070, Goldman, Sachs & Co. Please click here to access the Americas Equity Research Landing Page

### To provide feedback, please click here

To change your interests or unsubscribe (if you no longer wish to receive these messages), please click the following:

https://360.gs.com/gir/portal/research/alertsetup

## Legal Disclaimers & Disclosures:

https://360.gs.com/gs/portal?action=redirect&redirect.alias=disclaimers

Important Information About Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research:

https://360.gs.com/gir/portal?action=redirect&redirect.node=navigation.portal.disclaimer.ir

Contact Us: gs360help@gs.com US & Canada 1-866-727-7000 The Americas 1-212-357-9994 Europe & Africa 44-20-7552-2555

Asia 81-3-6437-4844