

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 10/4/2012 4:56:54 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Petlin, Gabriel (gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Caron, Jennifer (jennifer.caron@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Benjamin, Robert (robert.benjamin@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Zelmar, Karen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=KJZ1)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

Gabe

Thanks for the great discussion on Tuesday. Attached is our summary of the discussion. We will send you the list of bill messages and BackofBill prototype separately.

Regards

[Redac]

[Redacted]

From: Petlin, Gabriel [mailto:gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2012 5:04 PM
To: [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney
Cc: Benjamin, Robert; Caron, Jennifer
Subject: Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

Hello Shari and Sid,

Attached, later than I had hoped, are Energy Division's requested changes to PG&E's RCES (Advice Letter 2204-G / 4052-E). We discussed the RCES at length and consulted with Business and Community Outreach to arrive at these recommendations. The RCES is an important improvement over the current statement. We would like PG&E to address these

additional changes in a follow-up supplemental AL. I am open to holding a meeting and/or teleconference with your team to discuss these changes. If you wish to have that discussion please schedule it with the CPUC staff on this email. We intend to dismiss the June 20th protest of DRA, but have not yet formally done so. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Gabe Petlin

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch| Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | gp1@cpuc.ca.gov