

From: Shapson, Mitchell  
Sent: 10/2/2012 1:23:59 PM  
To: Vieth, Jean (jean.vieth@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Woods, Linda J. (linda.woods@cpuc.ca.gov); Clay, Christopher  
Cc: (christopher.clay@cpuc.ca.gov); Lo, Jeanette (jeanette.lo@cpuc.ca.gov); McKenzie, Mary F. (mary.mckenzie@cpuc.ca.gov); Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AXVU); michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net (michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net); Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Bayless, David (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DPB5); martin homec (martinhomec@gmail.com); Joshua Nelson (Joshua.Nelson@bbklaw.com); Sandi Maurer (EMFSafe@sonic.net); Joshua Hart (joshuahart@baymoon.com); Shapson, Mitchell (mitchell.shapson@cpuc.ca.gov); Bill Nusbaum (bnusbaum@turn.org); Poschl, Christopher (christopher.poschl@cpuc.ca.gov); Lawrence Bragman (bragman@msn.com); Mary Beth Brangan (marybeth@eon3.net); Heddle James (jim@eon3.net)  
Bcc:  
Subject: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

Dear Judge Vieth:

With every party's permission, we briefly spoke today. I have cc'ed all parties and their attorneys on this email.

I told you that various parties had met various times and that we thought that more time would be useful.

You told me that you would grant permission to take additional time to discuss the case and would continue the suspension of the schedule. You also indicated that you would want a further check in with you by October 26. On or before October 26th, the parties are to indicate to you a specific suggested procedural course for the proceeding, which would include a proposed schedule. Thank you for accommodating us.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any comments or questions and especially if I have misunderstood or misstated anything that we discussed.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Shapson

Staff Attorney

California Public Utilities Commission

Office 415.703.2727

Cell 415.519.3366

[Mitchell.Shapson@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Mitchell.Shapson@cpuc.ca.gov)