

From: Shapson, Mitchell
Sent: 10/26/2012 3:59:06 PM
To: Joshua Nelson (Joshua.Nelson@bbklaw.com); Mary Beth Brangan (marybeth@eon3.net); Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AXVU); Bill Nusbaum (bnusbaum@turn.org); martin homec ([Redacted]); Lawrence Bragman ([Redacted]); Joshua Hart (joshuahart@baymoon.com)
Cc: michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net (michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net); Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Woods, Linda J. (linda.woods@cpuc.ca.gov); Clay, Christopher (christopher.clay@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted] Poschl, Christopher (christopher.poschl@cpuc.ca.gov); Sandi Maurer ([Redacted]); Heddle James (jim@eon3.net)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

I propose we all talk Wednesday, October 31 at 9:30 AM.

Mitchell

From: Vieth, Jean
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 3:47 PM
To: Shapson, Mitchell
Cc: Clay, Christopher; Woods, Linda J.; Allen, Meredith; Sandi Maurer; michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net; Joshua Hart; Joshua Nelson; [Redacted]; Martin Homec; Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (AXVU@pge.com); Heddle James; Mary Beth Brangan; Lawrence Bragman; Bill Nusbaum; Poschl, Christopher
Subject: RE: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

Mr. Shapson and other party representatives:

I will extend the date for your status report (scheduling recommendation/proposal) to November 1, as requested. However, please do not expect a further extension prior to submission of that report.

Sincerely,

ALJ Vieth

From: Shapson, Mitchell

Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 2:09 PM

To: Vieth, Jean

Cc: Clay, Christopher; Woods, Linda J.; Allen, Meredith; Sandi Maurer; michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net; Joshua Hart; Joshua Nelson; [Redacted] Martin Homec; Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (AXVU@pge.com); Heddle James; Mary Beth Brangan; Lawrence Bragman; Bill Nusbaum; Poschl, Christopher

Subject: RE: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

Dear Judge Vieth:

I am sorry to report that the parties have been unable to meet to work on a proposed scheduling recommendation/proposal that we were to provide to you today. I feel some responsibility for this, because I organized most of the multi-party meeting. I have simply not had the time to attend to this due to a variety of reasons, including a significant filing yesterday and two more due on Monday. In addition, my co-counsel, Christopher Clay, needed to go out of state on short notice for a family matter.

With hat in hand, I ask that you allow the parties until Thursday, November 1st to send a proposed scheduling recommendation/proposal to you.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any comments or questions.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Shapson

Staff Attorney

California Public Utilities Commission

Office 415.703.2727

Cell 415.519.3366

Mitchell.Shapson@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Vieth, Jean

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 1:42 PM

To: Shapson, Mitchell

Cc: Clay, Christopher; Woods, Linda J.; Lo, Jeanette; McKenzie, Mary F.; Allen, Meredith; Sandi Maurer; michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net; Joshua Hart; Joshua Nelson; [Redacted]; Martin Homec; Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (AXVU@pge.com); Heddle James; Mary Beth Brangan; Lawrence Bragman; Bill Nusbaum; Poschl, Christopher

Subject: RE: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

Mr. Shapson – You have restated our conversation accurately. Thank you. I ask parties to coordinate on a scheduling recommendation/proposal and send it to me by email on or before October 26, 2012 (please serve all parties with that email).

Sincerely,

ALJ Vieth

From: Shapson, Mitchell

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 1:24 PM

To: Vieth, Jean

Cc: Clay, Christopher; Woods, Linda J.; Lo, Jeanette; McKenzie, Mary F.; Allen, Meredith; Sandi Maurer; michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net; Joshua Hart; Joshua Nelson; [Redacted]; Martin Homec; Vallejo, Alejandro (Law) (AXVU@pge.com); Heddle James; Mary Beth Brangan; Lawrence Bragman; Bill Nusbaum; Poschl, Christopher; Shapson, Mitchell

Subject: The Devereaux Affair - I.12-04-010

Dear Judge Vieth:

With every party's permission, we briefly spoke today. I have cc'ed all parties and their attorneys on this email.

I told you that various parties had met various times and that we thought that more time would be useful.

You told me that you would grant permission to take additional time to discuss the case and would continue the suspension of the schedule. You also indicated that you would want a further check in with you by October 26. On or before October 26th, the parties are to indicate to you a specific suggested procedural course for the proceeding, which would include a proposed schedule. Thank you for accommodating us.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any comments or questions and especially if I have misunderstood or misstated anything that we discussed.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Shapson

Staff Attorney

California Public Utilities Commission

Office 415.703.2727

Cell 415.519.3366

Mitchell.Shapson@cpuc.ca.gov