Proceeding No.: <u>A.12-10-XXX</u> Exhibit No.: Witness: <u>Ryan A. Miller</u>

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

RYAN A. MILLER

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

****redacted, public version ****

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA October 1, 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	.1
II.	BACKGROUND	.2
III.	CARBON PRICE FORECAST METHODOLOGY	4
IV.	GHG COMPLIANCE FORECAST IN 2013 ERRA REVENUE REQUIREMENT	4
VI.	QUALIFICATIONS	.9

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RYAN A. MILLER ON BEHALF OF SDG&E

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5 This testimony presents the cost forecast for Greenhouse Gas ("GHG") compliance obligations under the California Cap-and-Trade Program pursuant to Assembly Bill ("AB") 32. 6 7 Acquisition of allowances will begin with a November 2012 auction, and compliance obligations 8 will begin on January 1, 2013. Pursuant to Decision ("D.") 12-04-046, approving Tracks I and III of the Long-Term Procurement Plan ("LTPP") proceeding,¹ and Advice Letter ("AL") 2387-9 E,² SDG&E has been granted the authority to recover costs associated with the Cap-and-Trade 10 Program through its Energy Resource Recovery Account ("ERRA"). In its update of this 11 12 Application, anticipated to be filed in the first quarter of 2013, SDG&E intends to reflect the 13 results of any rulings or regulatory decisions that significantly affect GHG costs.

14 There are three categories of GHG costs: direct current costs; direct future costs; and 15 indirect costs. SDG&E defines current costs as the cost of procuring compliance instruments that can be used for the current compliance period ("CP"), (CP1: 2013-2014). SDG&E defines future 16 17 costs as the cost of procuring compliance instruments that can be used for the future compliance periods (e.g., CP2: 2015-2017 or CP3: 2018-2020). My testimony at Section IV.A, below, 18 19 addresses direct current GHG costs associated with SDG&E's Utility Retained Generation ("URG") plants, procurement of electricity from tolling agreements, and electricity imports 2021 attributed to SDG&E for compliance. Section IV.B covers SDG&E's direct future costs providing 22

¹ Ordering Paragraph 10 of D.12-04-046 in R.10-05-006, issued on April 24, 2012, approved on April 19, 2012. ² AL 2387-E was filed on July 20, 2012, approved on August 23, 2012, and effective on August 20, 2012.

1 allowance acquisition costs for 2016. Section IV.C, below, addresses indirect GHG costs expected 2 to be embedded in electricity prices charged by third parties to SDG&E under contract for various supplies. The revenues from auctioning GHG allowances that are allocated by the California Air 3 Resources Board ("CARB") to SDG&E as an electric distribution company under CARB's final 4 Cap-and-Trade rule are not described herein. The California Public Utilities Commission's 5 ("Commission") Rulemaking ("R.") 11-03-012 ("GHG OIR") is addressing revenues associated 6 with the sale of GHG allowances, and a decision in that rulemaking is expected by the end of 2012. 7 The treatment and allocation of GHG-related costs in the 2013 ERRA revenue requirement are 8 9 discussed in detail in the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Amanda D. Jenison. The rate impact and allocation related to GHG compliance is further addressed in the direct testimony of SDG&E 10 witness Yvonne Le Mieux. 11

12

II. BACKGROUND

The Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, also referred to as AB 32, establishes a goal of
reducing California's GHG emissions to the 1990 level by 2020. The statute grants CARB broad
authority to regulate GHG emissions to reach this target. CARB's Scoping Plan includes a
recommendation that California adopt a portfolio of emissions reduction measures, including a
California GHG Cap-and-Trade Program that can link with other programs to create a regional
market system.³

In October, 2011, CARB released its Final Regulation Order, which was approved by its
Board and by the Office of Administrative Law in December 2011.⁴ The CARB regulations will
create a GHG emissions allowance Cap-and-Trade system, with compliance obligations in the
electricity sector applicable to "first deliverers of electricity" that emit more than 25,000 metric

³ CARB Resolution 11-32 at 3.

tons of GHGs. First deliverers of electricity are electricity generators inside California and
 importers of electricity from outside of California⁵. The regulation requires that first deliverers of
 electricity, except publicly-owned utilities, purchase all of the allowances and offsets⁶ required to
 meet their compliance obligations.

D.12-01-033, which approved SDG&E's March 25, 2011 draft LTPP, directed SDG&E to 5 6 make certain revisions (such as information associated with SDG&E's GHG compliance obligations) and to submit a conformed version of the LTPP via an advice letter compliance filing. 7 8 Therefore, SDG&E filed AL 2362-E-A on July 25, 2012. Specifically, this revised version of the 9 conformed 2012 LTPP included Appendix F: Green House Gas/AB 32 Compliance Plan. Therein, 10 SDG&E explained that, similar to its expected energy supply dispatch needs, SDG&E will 11 regularly forecast and track projected GHG requirements related to emissions. The latest SDG&E 12 forecast of GHG expected costs will be incorporated into each annual ERRA forecast filing.⁷ 13 At the time of this Application, SDG&E received a Draft Resolution on AL 2362-A-E on September 13, 2012; however, a final Resolution has yet to be issued. Upon receiving a final 14 15 Resolution by the Commission, SDG&E's GHG procurement plan (Appendix F) will be

16 incorporated into SDG&E's authorized 2012 LTPP and, as such, would become the upfront

17 guidelines envisioned in AB 57 that will guide SDG&E's future procurement of GHG products.⁸

18

⁴ The CARB documents referenced in my testimony are available at:

http://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/2010/capandtrade10/capandtrade10 htm.

⁵ The "first deliverer" is defined in Section 95811(b) of CARB's Final Regulation Order..

⁶ An allowance is a limited tradable authorization to emit up to one metric ton of carbon dioxide equivalent; and an offset is a project that reduces GHG in sectors outside of those covered in the Cap-and-Trade Program.
⁷ AL 2362-E-A, Original Sheet No. F-9.

⁸ See AB 57, Sec. 2, (Stats. 2002, Ch. 835). See also California Public Utilities Code §§ 454.5(c)(3) and 454.5(d)(2).

1

III.

CARBON PRICE FORECAST METHODOLOGY

2 The first market auction for GHG allowances is scheduled to take place in November 2012. 3 Therefore, there is limited information on carbon prices at the time of this Application. To 4 forecast the GHG-related costs, SDG&E used the carbon price as publicly reported on the Intercontinental Exchange ("ICE"). Specifically, it is the average settled price for the last 22 5 trading days in August, 2012 for 2013 allowances.⁹ This methodology is consistent with the 6 method employed for developing the forward natural gas and electric market prices used to project 7 per metric ton ("MT").¹⁰ ERRA costs, and it vielded a price forecast for the 2013 period is 8 9 The trading for vintage 2016 allowances has not begun on exchanges, which has led to uncertainty for allowance prices. For developing a budget for acquisition of 2016 allowances, 10 11 SDG&E used a price of based on the expected 12 IV. **GHG COMPLIANCE FORECAST IN 2013 ERRA REVENUE REOUIREMENT** 13 SDG&E included costs associated with GHG compliance in its 2013 ERRA revenue requirement. The total amount SDG&E forecasts related to GHG is 14 which consists 15 of direct current GHG costs for 2013 and of direct future GHG costs of for 2016. The forecast is based on procurement of allowances only, but in practice if offsets are 16 available at a discount to allowance prices, up to 8% of compliance period obligation may be met 17 with offsets. 18

19

⁹ Even though carbon emission trading is still limited, there has been increasing liquidity for 2013 as the beginning of 2013 draw near. Due to the recent significant changes in the forward power market driven by various factors, the methodologies of extracting GHG premiums from the forward power prices such as that used in the 2011 Market Price Referent ("MPR") have specific limitations. In particular, it does not appear that forward electric prices include the full GHG premium. Accordingly, SDG&E used the direct GHG allowance trading price for 2013, in lieu of the MPR calculation methodology, to be consistent with the planning assumption that the AB 32 GHG compliance program will be fully implemented in 2013.

¹⁰ A metric ton is equal to 2,204.6 pounds.

Due to the uncertainty of both the GHG market prices and the volumes of allowances
 which may be procured during the first GHG auction occurring in November 2012, SDG&E may
 revise its assumptions included herein in its update to this Application.¹¹ SDG&E's GHG
 compliance costs forecast is described in more detail below.

5

16

A. DIRECT CURRENT GREENHOUSE GAS COSTS

6 Under CARB's Cap-and-Trade Program, as mentioned above, the "first deliverer" of electricity within California must surrender one allowance or offset credit for each metric ton of 7 GHG emissions.¹² Accordingly, SDG&E will have a direct compliance obligation for GHG 8 9 emissions from burning natural gas at its owned power plants, such as the Palomar Energy Center ("Palomar") and Miramar I and II (collectively, "Miramar"). For purposes of the calculation of the 10 11 compliance obligation, SDG&E used a factor of 117 lbs. per Million British Thermal Units ("MMBtu") of natural gas or 0.05307 MT per MMBtu.¹³ SDG&E forecasts that its owned power 12 of CO₂e in 2013.¹⁴ At SDG&E's forecasted GHG cost, the AB 32 plants will emit 13 compliance cost to procure allowances or offsets for GHG emissions from SDG&E-owned power 14 15 plants is forecast to be

¹¹ SDG&E may adjust its 2013 GHG procurement based on the results of the November 2012 auction.

¹² The "first deliverer" is defined in Section 95811(b) of CARB's Final Regulation Order.

¹³ CARB's Mandatory Reporting Regulations requires use of emission factors from federal regulations - 40 Code of Federal Regulation ("CFR") Section 98. For pipeline natural gas, there are three components – CO2, CH4, and NO2. Table C-1 of 40 CFR Section 98 provides an emissions rate for CO2 of 0.05302 MT/ MMBtu. Table C-2 of 40 CFR Section 9 gives a default emission factor for CH4 of 0.000001 MT/MMBtu. Based on a Global Warming Potential of 21, results in a CO2e emission rate of 0.00002 MT/MMBtu. The default NO2 emission rate is given as 0.0000001 MT/MMBtu, and the Global Warming Potential is 310, resulting in a CO2e emission rate of 0.00003 MT/MMBtu. Combining the 3 elements results in an overall emission rate of 0.05307 MT/MMBtu or converting to pounds, 117 lbs./MMBtu (0.05307 MT x 2204.6 lbs./MT).

¹⁴ In this ERRA forecast application, as in its past forecast applications, SDG&E forecasts energy production from its portfolio using the Ventyx Planning and Risk software. The simulated dispatch is based on a forecast of power, gas, and GHG prices, physical constraints of each generating unit, and contractual limitations. SDG&E's forecast methodology economically dispatches resources in a least-cost manner as directed by the Commission, rather than dispatching resources to just meet SDG&E's forecast of bundled customer demand. Under the least-cost dispatch principle, a generating resource or contract is dispatched if its marginal operating cost is less than the market price of power, while simultaneously observing all operating constraints.

1 In addition, SDG&E has agreements with generators where if SDG&E is dispatching the 2 plant, it will provide compliance instruments to a generator for it to use for GHG compliance. These agreements include Otay Mesa Energy Center ("OMEC") and several peaking units. The 3 compliance obligation for these agreements, like that for SDG&E's owned plants, is estimated by 4 calculating the product of the forecast of MMBtu burned, the emission factor of 0.05307 metric 5 tons/MMBtu, and the forecasted allowance price. SDG&E forecasts that generators with such 6 of GHG emissions in 2013, the compliance costs for which agreements will emit 7 SDG&E will be contractually responsible are estimated to be 8

9 An entity that delivers out-of-state electricity to a delivery point inside California is also 10 responsible for the GHG emissions associated with generation of that electricity. For known 11 imports, called "specified sources," GHG emissions related to the output of the plants delivered to 12 California are based on a share of the emissions of the plant. SDG&E has a contract with Portland 13 General Electric's Boardman coal plant in Oregon that expires at the end of 2013 and owns the 14 Desert Star Energy Center ("Desert Star") combined cycle plant in Nevada, both of which are 15 specified sources. The compliance obligation for these imports is estimated by calculating the product of the forecast of the fuel burned, the emission factor, the forecasted allowance price and 16 the fraction delivered to California. SDG&E forecasts that these imports will incur a compliance 17

18 obligation of representing an estimated cost of

In addition to specified sources, importing of "unspecified sources" also generates a
compliance obligation. SDG&E has both a long-term power contract categorized as "unspecified",
which SDG&E expects to import into California, and an expectation of procuring market imports
from unspecified sources. The Cap-and-Trade compliance obligation for these unspecified imports
is calculated by multiplying the number of megawatt-hours ("MWh") imported times the CARB

default rate as stated in its regulation, (currently 0.428 MT per MWh),¹⁵ and adjusted upward by 2 1 percent to account for transmission losses between the point of generation and the California 2 for 2013. Finally, the Cap-and-Trade 3 border. SDG&E estimates these costs at regulations provide a "Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") adjustment" equal to the default 4 emission rate multiplied times the MWh from eligible renewable resources, as measured at the 5 point of generation. CARB has recognized that building of new renewable generation outside 6 California reduces GHG. The RPS adjustment reduces the GHG compliance burden created by 7 assigning the default emission rate, 0.428 MT/MWh to the GHG-free renewable energy, as 8 9 measured at the point of generation, but the adjustment does not account for the transmission losses from the point of generation to California. The RPS Adjustment associated with SDG&E 10 11 out-of-state renewable energy is forecasted to be for 2013.

12

B. DIRECT FUTURE GREENHOUSE GAS COSTS

For 2016, SDG&E uses the Commission position limit for 2016 allowances in 2013 and the
forecasted price to estimate impact of forward purchases in the current year. The forecasted
allowance acquisition costs for 2016 are equal to allowance.

16 C. INDIRECT GREENHOUSE GAS COSTS

17 The SDG&E forecast of for direct GHG costs, described above, does not
18 include indirect costs of the Cap-and-Trade Program. SDG&E, along with all other purchasers of
19 wholesale electricity, will be subjected to indirect GHG compliance costs that generators incurred
20 and passed on to their buyers. It should be recognized that this indirect additional cost of GHG
21 compliance will be embedded in the market price of electricity procured in the wholesale market
22 from third parties, thereby increasing SDG&E's cost to purchase wholesale electricity in 2013, as

¹⁵ CARB's Cap-and-Trade Regulation, section 95852(b)(1)(B) and CARB's Mandatory Reporting Regulation, section 95111 (b)(1).

well as from suppliers under contracts that include market-based prices. SDG&E's forecast of the
 overall cost of electricity from third parties and in the wholesale market therefore includes
 embedded GHG costs. These GHG costs are indirect; they are already embedded in electricity cost
 forecasts in this filing, and are not listed separately to avoid double-counting.

Expressing SDG&E's indirect GHG costs in MT per year is helpful for estimating the 5 6 sensitivity of procurement costs to changes in GHG allowance prices. The cost of GHG will affect 7 both market purchases and contracts based on the price of energy (such as combined heat and power ["CHP"] facilities), because the price of energy will change in tandem with the change in 8 9 the GHG allowance prices, as sellers of electricity would require higher revenues to offset the costs 10 related to GHG. The indirect cost is calculated for both market purchases and CHP contracts as 11 the MWh of electricity production multiplied by the default rate of 0.428 MT/MWh and the 12 forecasted price. The indirect GHG costs are estimated but again these costs are embedded in electricity market prices, and not included in the direct GHG costs. 13

D. SUMMARY

To comply with the requirements of AB 32, SDG&E requests the Commission approve the forecast GHG compliance costs for 2013 of for recovery in SDG&E's ERRA.

This concludes my direct testimony.

19

18

1

VI. QUALIFICATIONS

2 My name is Ryan A. Miller. My business address is 8315 Century Park Court, San Diego, CA 92123. I am employed by San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). My 3 current title is Electric and Fuels Trading Manager in the Electric & Fuel Procurement 4 Department of SDG&E. My responsibilities include overseeing a staff that performs short-term 5 6 energy procurement and policy functions such as day-ahead electric and fuel trading, short-term wholesale market transactions, analysis and optimization of day-ahead energy and fuel 7 procurement strategies, development and execution of short-term natural gas hedging strategies, 8 9 management of SDG&E's Utility Electric Generation ("UEG") fuel transportation agreements, procurement of resource adequacy products, and development of Greenhouse Gas procurement 10 11 and hedging strategies.

I joined SDG&E in December 2002, and have held various positions with increasing
levels of responsibility within the Electric & Fuels trading group.

Prior to joining SDG&E, I worked as a Power Scheduler and Mid-Marketer with Mirant
Energy.

16 I received a Bachelor's degree in Management Science (MSCI) from the Georgia
17 Institute of Technology.

18 I have previously testified before the Commission.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF RYAN A. MILLER

A.12-10-XXX

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E) for Adoption of its 2013 Energy Resource Recovery Account Revenue Requirement and Competition Transition Charge Revenue Requirement Forecasts

I, Ryan A. Miller, declare as follows:

1. I am the Electric and Fuels Trading Manager for San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I included my Prepared Direct Testimony ("Testimony") in support of SDG&E's October 1, 2012 Application for Adoption of its 2013 Energy Resource Recovery Account ("ERRA") and Competition Transition Charge ("CTC") revenue requirement forecasts. Additionally, as the Electric and Fuels Trading Manager, I am thoroughly familiar with the facts and representations in this declaration, and if called upon to testify I could and would testify to the following based upon personal knowledge.

2. I am providing this Declaration to demonstrate that the confidential information ("Protected Information") in support of the referenced Application falls within the scope of data provided confidential treatment in the IOU Matrix ("Matrix") attached to the Commission's Decision ("D.") 06-06-066 (the Phase I Confidentiality decision). Pursuant to the procedure adopted in D.08-04-023, I am addressing each of the following five features of Ordering Paragraph 2 of D.06-06-066:

- that the material constitutes a particular type of data listed in the Matrix;
- the category or categories in the Matrix the data correspond to;
- that SDG&E is complying with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data;
- that the information is not already public; and
- that the data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure.

3. The Protected Information contained in my Testimony constitutes material, market sensitive, electric procurement-related information that is within the scope of Section 454.5(g) of the Public Utilities Code.¹ As such, the Protected Information is allowed confidential treatment in accordance with the Matrix, as follows:

Confidential Information	Matrix Reference	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
RAM-4 lines 8,11	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-4 lines 14,15	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-5 line 13	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
		confidential for three years
RAM-5 line 15	II. B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
RAM-6 line 7	I.A.3	Utility Gas Demand Forecasts - Consumption;
		confidential for the front three years.
RAM-6 line 8	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-6 line 18	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
		confidential for three years
	II.B.1	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years,
RAM-7 lines 3	IV.J	Forecast of wholesale market purchases; front
		three years confidential.
RAM-7 line 11	II.B.4,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-7 lines 15	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-7 line 17	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years
RAM-8 line 12	II.A.2	Utility electric price Forecast; confidential for
		three years

¹ In addition to the details addressed herein, SDG&E believes that the information being furnished in my Testimony is governed by Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C. Accordingly, SDG&E seeks confidential treatment of this data under those provisions, as applicable.

Confidential Information	Matrix	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
	Reference	
RAM-8 line 16	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts; confidential for three years

4. I am not aware of any instances where the Protected Information has been disclosed to the public. To my knowledge, no party, including SDG&E, has publicly revealed any of the Protected Information.

5. SDG&E will comply with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for the Protected Information.

6. The Protected Information cannot be provided in a form that is aggregated, partially redacted, or summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a manner that would allow further disclosure of the data while still protecting confidential information.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 28th day of September, 2012, at San Diego, California.

4. Mul

Ryan A. Miller Electric and Fuels Trading Manager San Diego Gas & Electric Company