

Proceeding No.: A.12-10-XXX  
Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Witness: Yvonne M. Le Mieux

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**  
**YVONNE M. LE MIEUX**  
**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**October 1, 2012**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE.....</b>	<b>1</b>		
<b>II.</b>	<b>2013 ERRRA AND CTC RATE IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>2</b>		
<b>III.</b>	<b>NON-BYPASSABLE CHARGES .....</b>	<b>3</b>		
	<b>A.</b>	<b>POWER CHARGE INDIFFERENCE ADJUSTMENT (PCIA) .....</b>	<b>4</b>	
		<b>1.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>4</b>
		<b>2.</b>	<b>INDIFFERENCE AMOUNT METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>B.</b>	<b>COST ALLOCATION MECHANISM .....</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>IV.</b>	<b>QUALIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>12</b>		

1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**  
2 **YVONNE M. LE MIEUX**  
3 **ON BEHALF OF SDG&E**

4 **I. OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE**

5 The purpose of my testimony is to present San Diego Gas & Electric Company's  
6 ("SDG&E") rate recovery proposals for the portfolio of resources addressed in this proceeding,  
7 specifically (1) rate impacts associated with the cost recovery of the 2013 Energy Resource  
8 Recovery Account ("ERRA") and On-going Competition Transition Charge ("CTC") revenue  
9 requirements, (2) 2013 Indifference Amount and the resulting non-bypassable 2013 Power Charge  
10 Indifference Adjustment ("PCIA") component of the cost responsibility surcharge ("CRS")  
11 applicable to departing load customers as well as the associated 2013 market price benchmark  
12 ("MPB") and (3) non-bypassable Local Generation Charge ("LGC") applicable to all benefiting  
13 customers proposed by SDG&E in Application ("A.") 11-05-023 consistent with the Cost  
14 Allocation Mechanism ("CAM") policy adopted in California Public Utilities Commission  
15 ("CPUC" or "Commission") Decision ("D.") 06-07-029 and D.11-05-005.

16 The allocation of allowances revenues related to California's Greenhouse Gas ("GHG")  
17 Cap-and-Trade Program is being addressed in Rulemaking ("R.") 11-03-012 and R.10-05-006 and  
18 is not addressed in this Application. When the Commission reaches a final decision in these  
19 proceedings during 2013, SDG&E will comply with the Commission's forthcoming directive.  
20 SDG&E anticipates updating its testimony to address the allocation of allowance revenues related  
21 to GHG in its amended 2013 ERRA Forecast Application during the first quarter of 2013.

1 My testimony is organized as follows:

- 2 • **Section II – 2013 ERRa and CTC Rate Impacts:** presents the rate impacts  
3 associated with the 2013 ERRa and CTC revenue requirements;

- 4 • **Section III – Non-Bypassable Charges**

- 5 A. **Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”)** applicable to departing  
6 load customers:

- 7 1. provides background on the non-bypassable PCIA component of the  
8 CRS;

- 9 2. presents the Indifference Amount methodology including:

- 10 a) the revised 2013 MPB methodology;

- 11 b) the Total Portfolio methodology and vintaging; and

- 12 c) the 2013 Indifference Amount and resulting PCIA.

- 13 B. **Cost Allocation Mechanism (“CAM”)** applicable to bundled, direct access  
14 (“DA”) and community choice aggregation (“CCA”) customers: describes  
15 and seeks approval of the non-bypassable, as proposed in A.11-05-023; and

- 16 • **Section IV – Qualifications:** presents my qualifications.

## 17 **II. 2013 ERRa AND CTC RATE IMPACTS**

18 SDG&E’s 2013 ERRa and CTC revenue requirement forecasts, as set forth in the direct  
19 testimony of SDG&E witness Amanda Jenison, are approximately \$1,103.743 million and  
20 \$51.834 million, respectively, which represent an increase of \$282.273 million and \$2.643 million  
21 from the implemented 2012 levels. The ERRa and CTC combined revenue requirement reflects  
22 an increase of approximately \$284.917 million from implemented 2012 levels. The proposed

1 revenue requirement would increase the current system average rate from 16.154 cents per kWh<sup>1</sup>  
2 to 17.755 cents per kWh, or an increase of 1.601 cents per kWh or 9.91%. Included as  
3 Attachment C to this Application is a table summarizing the illustrative rate impacts by customer  
4 class.

5         SDG&E's 2013 ERRA and CTC revenue requirement forecasts are for recovery of 2013  
6 calendar year costs; however, due to the timing difference resulting from regulatory lag, rate  
7 recovery of the calendar year costs are not implemented into customer rates beginning on  
8 January 1 of the forecast year. Until a decision is approved, SDG&E will continue to recover the  
9 ERRA and CTC costs based on the authorized 2012 ERRA and CTC calendar year revenue  
10 requirements currently in rates which combined are \$284.917 million lower than the combined  
11 forecasted 2013 revenue requirements.

12         SDG&E is requesting a rate implementation date of April 1, 2013 for the proposed revenue  
13 requirements. Historically, the ERRA Forecast proceeding revenue requirements for calendar  
14 years 2011 and 2012 were implemented in September of the respective year while the revenue  
15 requirements for calendar years 2009 and 2010 were implemented in May of the respective year.

### 16 **III. NON-BYPASSABLE CHARGES**

17         The Commission has approved two different non-bypassable charges: (1) the PCIA  
18 applicable to departing load customers to preserve bundled customer indifference and (2) the CAM  
19 applicable to all benefiting customers including bundled, DA and CCA customers, for resources  
20 determined to be needed for local reliability purposes.

---

<sup>1</sup> Effective September 1, 2012 (Advice Letter ["AL"] 2396-E).

1           **A.     POWER CHARGE INDIFFERENCE ADJUSTMENT (“PCIA”)**

2                   **1.     BACKGROUND**

3           In D.06-07-030, as modified by D.07-01-030, the Commission adopted the Total Portfolio  
4 methodology and a MPB methodology for determining the above-market costs associated with the  
5 utility/California Department of Water Resources (“DWR”) Total Portfolio and replaced the DWR  
6 power charge component of the DA CRS with the PCIA recognizing the need to expand the  
7 definition of cost responsibility for departing load customers from just DWR resources to the  
8 above-market costs of the total portfolio. In D.07-01-025, the Commission adopted the same Total  
9 Portfolio methodology, MPB methodology and PCIA calculation for CCA customers.  
10 Furthermore, although the ERRA forecast filing directly addresses only SDG&E’s fuel and  
11 purchased power costs, the Commission ordered that the calculation of PCIA and associated  
12 revenues must be included in the ERRA forecast proceeding.

13           In D.08-09-012, guidelines were developed for determining the cost responsibility for the  
14 various departing load types. The Commission ruled that Municipal Departing Load (“MDL”)  
15 (with the exception of large municipalizations) and Customer Generator Departing Load  
16 (“CGDL”) shall be exempt from non-bypassable charges related to “new world” generation  
17 resources that were not procured on their behalf. Thus, to the extent that there are MDL and  
18 CGDL customers, these customers are responsible only for the above-market costs associated with  
19 resources procured before January 1, 2003, as well as the above-market costs associated with the  
20 DWR supply (to the extent that they are not otherwise exempt from the DWR supply). Pursuant to  
21 the Commission’s ruling in D.08-09-012, all future CGDL are exempt from the PCIA as well. The  
22 Commission also determined that former DA load that is eligible to return to DA, and does so, is  
23 subject to the same CRS treatment as large MDL and CCA. Furthermore, the Commission

1 approved a vintaging methodology, thereby linking the cost responsibility of departing load  
2 customers to their departure date, to ensure that departing load customers pay their fair share of  
3 above-market costs associated with the Total Portfolio of resources that were acquired to serve  
4 them prior to their departure from bundled load service in order to preserve bundled customer  
5 indifference. Therefore, the departing load is not required to pay for above-market costs  
6 associated with utility procurement commitments after that load departs.

7 On May 10, 2012, the Commission approved D.11-12-018 which implemented various  
8 updates and reforms in the rate setting methodologies and rules applicable to DA service in  
9 recognition of regulatory and industry changes that have occurred in recent years that have  
10 impacted energy procurement practices. The decision revised the methodology for the MPB used  
11 to calculate above-market costs and DA customers' cost responsibility. The same MPB  
12 methodology is used to calculate the CTC and the vintage PCIAs. Changes to the MPB  
13 methodology included a renewable portfolio standards adder ("RPS adder") (to more accurately  
14 reflect the market value of renewable resources) and an updated resource adequacy capacity adder  
15 ("CAP adder") which consequently results in vintage MPBs. The Total Portfolio calculation was  
16 revised to better reflect time of use load variations and also removed load-related costs incurred by  
17 the California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") and charged to the investor-owned  
18 utilities. My testimony takes into account these various decisions and directives of the  
19 Commission.

## 20 **2. INDIFFERENCE AMOUNT METHODOLOGY**

21 To maintain bundled customer indifference to the departure of SDG&E customers to  
22 non-utility service, SDG&E calculates the indifference amount to determine the cost responsibility  
23 for DA, CCA and other departing load then allocates the amount to those customers through a

1 non-bypassable charge called the PCIA. Under Commission rules, departing customers are  
2 responsible for their fair share of above-market costs incurred by the utility on behalf of those  
3 customers when electric generation costs exceed the current market price. The indifference  
4 amount consists of those above-market costs and is comprised of the sum of CTC revenue  
5 requirement and PCIA. It is calculated by subtracting the market value of the supply resources  
6 (using a MPB, as explained above) from the actual costs of applicable supply resources. The  
7 PCIA is then calculated by taking the indifference amount and subtracting the above-market costs  
8 already charged to customers through the CTC charge. Details of the MPB, the Total Portfolio  
9 methodology (including vintaging) and the resulting PCIA are described below.

10 **a) 2013 MARKET PRICE BENCHMARK (“MPB”)**

11 The MPB is a calculated proxy which represents the market value of electricity. Recent  
12 changes to DA rate setting methodologies, approved in D.11-12-018, directed the California  
13 utilities to update the MPB calculation methodology used for calculating the CTC and PCIA.  
14 With the addition of an RPS adder to the MPB, the utilities are required to submit the following  
15 information annually to the Energy Division by October 1:

- 16 • most recent 12 months figures derived from US Department of Energy survey of  
17 Western US renewable energy premiums in calculating a weighted proxy for the  
18 Market Price Benchmark compiled by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory; and
- 19 • all RPS-compliant resources that are used to serve customers during the current year  
20 (i.e., most recent 12 months) and those projected to serve customers during the next  
21 year, including both contracts and IOU-owned resources, including the projected costs  
22 together with the net qualifying capacity of energy produced by each of these resources



1 (providing relevant costs in dollars and volumes in MWh and qualifying capacity in  
2 kW).<sup>2</sup>

3 In response to the submission, the Energy Division will provide the utilities with the Utility  
4 Retained Generation green (“URGgreen”) component of the RPS adder and the CAP adder to be  
5 used to determine MPB for the current forecast year. With the addition of a RPS adder and a CAP  
6 adder to the MPB calculation methodology, SDG&E now has vintage MPBs. Furthermore, the  
7 MPB calculation must be weighted to reflect variations in load shape on a time-of-use basis based  
8 upon the most recent IOU bundled load profile data that is publicly available.<sup>3</sup>

9 Since the utilities are not required to submit the RPS-compliant resources input data to the  
10 Energy Division until October 1 to calculate the URGgreen component of the RPS adder and the  
11 2013 vintage MPBs will be based on daily forward price quotes from October 1 through  
12 October 31, 2012, the 2013 vintage MPBs are not available for this filing. In calculating the  
13 above-market costs for the On-going CTC, SDG&E used a MPB of \$47.24/MWh which was  
14 calculated using SDG&E’s forecasted 2013 Total Portfolio resources based on the 2012 Energy  
15 Division input assumptions. Once the updated information is available, SDG&E will amend this  
16 Application to reflect the revised 2013 vintage MPBs.

17 **b) TOTAL PORTFOLIO AND VINTAGING METHODOLOGY**

18 Pursuant to D.06-07-030, the utilities implemented a Total Portfolio methodology to reasonably  
19 ensure that bundled customers are indifferent with respect to departing load. Rather than focus on  
20 each individual resource cost, the Total Portfolio method recognizes that because

---

<sup>2</sup> D.11-12-018 at Ordering Paragraph (“OP”) 4.

<sup>3</sup> D.11-12-018 at OP 7.

1 bundled customers are served from the entire portfolio of commodity resources, when load departs,  
2 the utility may offset a portion of the departing load costs through additional market sales.

3         The use of the Total Portfolio methodology treats bundled and departing load customers in  
4 a similar manner by allowing both to benefit from below-market resources and requiring all  
5 customers – utility and non-utility – to pay their respective share of above-market costs. To derive  
6 the indifference amount, the market value of the supply portfolio is subtracted from the Total  
7 Portfolio costs.

8         The market value of the supply portfolio is calculated by multiplying the MPB by the total  
9 MWhs in the supply portfolio. If the indifference amount is positive, the Total Portfolio costs are  
10 above-market for the year. Given that DA, CCA and other departing load customers pay for  
11 certain above-market costs recovered in the CTC rate component, the CTC revenue requirement is  
12 then subtracted from the indifference amount to determine the PCIA.

13         In instances where the PCIA is positive, SDG&E determines the remittance to DWR and  
14 SDG&E's allocation of the DWR revenue requirement is reduced by this amount. If the  
15 indifference amount is less than or equal to zero, then the PCIA is set to zero for customer billing  
16 purposes. As determined by the Commission in D.07-05-005, negative amounts are tracked for the  
17 purpose of applying against any future positive indifference amounts prior to subtracting the CTC  
18 revenue requirement.

19         The Commission's Order in D.08-09-012 adopted a vintaging methodology which is a  
20 process of assigning a departure date to departing load customers in order to determine those  
21 customers' Total Portfolio resource obligation. If a customer provides notice to the utility of its  
22 departure prior to July 1 in a given year, then the departing load is assigned the vintage of Total  
23 Portfolio resources from the prior calendar year. If notice to the utility is provided on or after

1 July 1, then the departing load is assigned the vintage of Total Portfolio resources in that same  
2 calendar year. For current non-exempt DA customers, the vintage of resources excludes those  
3 added by SDG&E after 2001 when DA was suspended. Former DA load that is eligible to return  
4 to DA with the limited reopening of DA under Senate Bill (“SB”) 695 is subject to the PCIA  
5 calculations applicable to large MDL and CCA.

6 **c) 2013 INDIFFERENCE AMOUNT and PCIA**

7 In SDG&E’s 2012 ERRRA forecast implementation advice letter filing, SDG&E calculated  
8 positive PCIA’s for both DA and CCA. There is no CCA load, large MDL or CGDL customers  
9 subject to the PCIA on SDG&E’s system, and therefore, there is no tracking of negative amounts  
10 or billing for positive amounts for CCA, large MDL or CGDL. In September 2012, consistent  
11 with D.12-07-006, SDG&E implemented its 2012 vintage and updated its 2011 vintage PCIA’s for  
12 2012, with the 2011 vintage being applicable to customers departing load in the first half of the  
13 year and the 2012 vintage being applicable to customers departing load in the second half of the  
14 year. Likewise, in this Application, SDG&E is proposing to update the 2012 vintage PCIA’s and to  
15 calculate the 2013 vintage PCIA’s to account for customers’ departing load in the second half of  
16 2013.<sup>4</sup>

17 With respect to this 2013 ERRRA proceeding, SDG&E’s supply portfolio to calculate the  
18 2013 indifference amount and the resulting 2013 vintage PCIA’s includes applicable costs from  
19 SDG&E’s forecasted 2013 ERRRA and CTC revenue requirements, authorized 2013 DWR costs  
20 allocated to SDG&E and SDG&E’s authorized 2013 Non-Fuel Generation Balancing Account  
21 (“NGBA”) revenue requirement.

---

<sup>4</sup> The 2012 vintage PCIA’s are applicable to customers’ departing load in the first half of 2013.

1 Since the 2013 vintage MPBs are not currently available, as described above in the 2013  
2 MPB section III.A.2.a, it is not possible at this time to provide the 2013 vintage PCIAs for DA,  
3 CCA and other departing load. Once the 2013 MPB is available, SDG&E will provide its 2013  
4 vintage PCIAs applicable to departing load. However, since SDG&E has no CCA load or large  
5 MDL, there will be no remittance forecast to DWR, even if the applicable PCIAs are positive.

6 **B. COST ALLOCATION MECHANISM (“CAM”)**

7 My testimony seeks approval of the Local Generation Charge (“LGC”), an accounting  
8 mechanism necessary to recover costs that are deemed to be subject to the CAM. Other associated  
9 balancing account and accounting mechanism requests regarding the CAM are described in  
10 Ms. Jenison’s associated, direct testimony. At the time of this Application, SDG&E does not  
11 anticipate incurring CAM-related costs in 2013. Therefore, there are no CAM-related cost  
12 recovery requests in this Application.

13 SDG&E proposed, in A.11-05-023, to implement the LGC which is designed to recover  
14 new generation costs as a per kilowatt hour non-bypassable charge from all benefiting customers  
15 which includes all bundled service, DA and CCA customers. As discussed in Ms. Jenison’s  
16 testimony, SDG&E negotiated a purchase power agreement (“PPA”) for a resource-adequacy  
17 product with Calpine Energy Services L.P. (“Calpine”) for its Sutter power plant. SDG&E was  
18 authorized to record the contract costs in the Sutter Energy Center Memorandum Account  
19 (“SECMA”) and intends to recover the costs associated with the PPA, consistent with the CAM  
20 policy, through the LGC proposed in A.11-05-023.<sup>5</sup> As of the date of this Application, a proposed  
21 decision or final decision has not been issued in A.11-05-023. If the Commission does not render

---

<sup>5</sup> Disposition letter from Energy Division approving SDG&E’s Advice Letter 2354-E, issued and effective on May 25, 2012.

1 a decision regarding the CAM-related accounting mechanisms in A.11-05-023 or another  
2 proceeding before its Proposed Decision is issued in the instant proceeding, SDG&E respectfully  
3 requests that the Commission approve the LGC in its final decision in this case to ensure timely  
4 recovery of the costs recorded in the SECMA.

5

6 This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

7

1 **IV. QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Yvonne M. Le Mieux. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San  
3 Diego, CA 92123. I am a Principal Regulatory Economics Advisor in the Electric Rates section  
4 of the GRC and Revenue Requirement department. My current responsibilities include  
5 implementing electric rate changes and analytical support for cost recovery and rate design.

6 I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with Distinction in  
7 Accounting from San Diego State University in 2003. I have been a Certified Public Accountant  
8 (“CPA”), licensed in the State of California, since 2005 and a Certified Internal Auditor (“CIA”)  
9 since 2006.

10 I have been employed with SDG&E and Sempra Energy since 2003. In addition to my  
11 current position in Electric Rate Design, I have held various positions with increasing  
12 responsibility including a Senior Regulatory Accounts Advisor position in the Financial Analysis  
13 department, a Senior Auditor position in the Audit Services department under the Financial and  
14 Operational discipline and a Staff Accountant position in the Sempra Energy Global Accounting  
15 department at Sempra Energy’s corporate offices.

16 I have previously testified before this Commission on cost recovery issues.