

1 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**
2 **OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

3
4 Order Instituting Investigation on the
5 Commission's Own Motion into the
6 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
Electric Company with Respect to Facilities
Records for its Natural Gas Transmission
System Pipelines.

I.11-02-016
(Filed February 24, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

7 Order Instituting Investigation on the
8 Commission's Own Motion into the
9 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
10 Electric Company's Natural Gas Transmission
Pipeline System in Locations with Higher
Population Density

I.11-11-009
(Filed November 10, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

11 Order Instituting Investigation on the
12 Commission's Own Motion into the
13 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
14 Electric Company to Determine Violations of
15 Public Utilities Code Section 451, General
Order 112, and Other Applicable Standards,
Laws, Rules and Regulations in Connection
with the San Bruno Explosion and Fire on
September 9, 2010.

I.12-01-007
(Filed January 12, 2012)
(Not Consolidated)

16 Order Instituting Rulemaking on the
17 Commission's Own Motion to Adopt
18 New Safety and Reliability Regulations
for Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution
Pipelines and Related Ratemaking
Mechanisms.

R.11-02-019
(Filed February 24, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

19
20 **CITY OF SAN BRUNO'S RESPONSE TO MOTION**
21 **OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION AND SAFETY DIVISION TO**
22 **SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS, IN ORDER TO FACILITATE**
23 **NEGOTIATIONS TOWARD A STIPULATED OUTCOME**

24 STEVEN R. MEYERS
25 BRITT K. STROTTMAN
26 Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
27 555 12th Street, Suite 1500
Oakland, CA 94607
Phone: (510) 808-2000
Fax: (510) 444-1108
E-mail: smeyers@meyersnave.com
Attorneys for CITY OF SAN BRUNO

28 October 10, 2012

1 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**
2 **OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

3 Order Instituting Investigation on the
4 Commission's Own Motion into the
5 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
6 Electric Company with Respect to Facilities
7 Records for its Natural Gas Transmission
8 System Pipelines.

I.11-02-016
(Filed February 24, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

9 Order Instituting Investigation on the
10 Commission's Own Motion into the
11 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
12 Electric Company's Natural Gas Transmission
13 Pipeline System in Locations with Higher
14 Population Density

I.11-11-009
(Filed November 10, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

15 Order Instituting Investigation on the
16 Commission's Own Motion into the
17 Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and
18 Electric Company to Determine Violations of
19 Public Utilities Code Section 451, General
20 Order 112, and Other Applicable Standards,
21 Laws, Rules and Regulations in Connection
22 with the San Bruno Explosion and Fire on
23 September 9, 2010.

I.12-01-007
(Filed January 12, 2012)
(Not Consolidated)

24 Order Instituting Rulemaking on the
25 Commission's Own Motion to Adopt
26 New Safety and Reliability Regulations
27 for Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution
28 Pipelines and Related Ratemaking
Mechanisms.

R.11-02-019
(Filed February 24, 2011)
(Not Consolidated)

19
20 **CITY OF SAN BRUNO'S RESPONSE TO MOTION**
21 **OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION AND SAFETY DIVISION TO**
22 **SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS, IN ORDER TO FACILITATE**
23 **NEGOTIATIONS TOWARD A STIPULATED OUTCOME**

24 The City of San Bruno ("San Bruno") opposes the Consumer Protection and Safety
25 Division's ("CPSD") motion to suspend these proceedings in order to facilitate negotiations
26 among the parties toward a stipulated outcome. It has been twenty-five months since the disaster
27 in San Bruno, fourteen months since the National Transportation Safety Board concluded its
28 investigation and issued its decision and recommendations, and twenty months since the first
Order Instituting Investigation commenced. We urge the California Public Utilities Commission

1 ("CPUC") to demonstrate its commitment to expedite these proceedings to reach a regulatory
2 conclusion that is in the best interests of the residents of San Bruno and ratepayers of the State of
3 California. There is simply no assurance that suspension of these proceedings will achieve a more
4 just and expeditious resolution. PG&E's support of CPSD's motion presumes that negotiations
5 will be fruitful, there is no assurance whatsoever of this.

6 The investigations have been conducted, testimony has been filed and witnesses are ready
7 for cross examination. These proceedings are close to an adjudicated conclusion.

8 San Bruno has participated in these proceedings in good faith for almost two years in the
9 belief that a just and reasonable outcome which is transparent and in the public interest will be
10 achieved. We have followed the lead of the CPUC and we have coordinated closely with the other
11 parties. Although this is our first experience with the CPUC, San Bruno has a strong and vested
12 interest in a process and in a result that holds PG&E and CPUC accountable for the tragedy of
13 September 2010. The motion to suspend the hearings is without basis and it is a last minute
14 change in direction that shows this process is flawed.

15
16 Respectfully submitted,

17 /s/ Steven R. Meyers

18 Steven R. Meyers

19 Britt K. Strottman

20 Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson

21 555 12th Street, Suite 1500

22 Oakland, CA 94607

23 Phone: (510) 808-2000

24 Fax: (510) 444-1108

25 E-mail: smeyers@meyersnave.com

26 Attorneys for CITY OF SAN BRUNO

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
October 10, 2012

1980579.1