

From: Prusnek, Brian C
Sent: 11/30/2012 1:53:16 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Zafar, Marzia (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Kinosian, Robert (robert.kinosian@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: small data request

Don't we usually get five days to respond?

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 9:31 AM
To: Zafar, Marzia; Michael.Hoover@sce.com; Prusnek, Brian C; Johnson, Aaron; Allen, Meredith; Dietz, Sidney; Malnight, Steven
Cc: Kinosian, Robert; Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: RE: small data request

Marzia – I will have Sid work with Aaron to get you the information you need.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 9:06 AM
To: Michael.Hoover@sce.com; Cherry, Brian K; Prusnek, Brian C
Cc: Kinosian, Robert
Subject: small data request

Good Morning Gentlemen,

PPD is working on a concept paper to propose an alternative to NEM. Accordingly, we need some info from the IOUs. Please tell me by Tuesday/Wednesday next week the answers to these questions. Of course, earlier would be so much more appreciated.

1) Is the tax treatment of NEM different then tax treatment for a feed-in tariff? If yes, how is it different?

2) Percent of customers who are consistently in Tiers 4 and 5 for usage;

3) Incremental distribution costs that are being incurred due to residential PV development, if any;

4) Percentage of residential PV generation that is used on site versus going into the grid.

If you have any questions for our questions, please let me know.

Again, we do really appreciate this.

Regards,

Marzia

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>