### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations Rulemaking 12-06-013 (Filed June 21, 2012)

# COMMENTS OF THE SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION AND THE CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION ON THE COORDINATION OF ELECTRIC PROCEEDINGS AFFECTING RATES

CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION Bryan Crabb, Executive Director 1107 9<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 820 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 228-4567

Email: <u>bcrabb@calseia.org</u>

Dated: November 21, 2012

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY, LLP Jeanne B. Armstrong 505 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 392-7900 Facsimile: (415) 398-4321

Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

Attorneys for the Solar Energy Industries Association

### OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations

Rulemaking 12-06-013 (Filed June 21, 2012)

## COMMENTS OF THE SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION AND THE CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION ON THE COORDINATION OF ELECTRIC PROCEEDINGS AFFECTING RATES

In accord with the Administrative Law Judges' Ruling Soliciting Comments on the Coordination of Electric Proceedings affecting Rates, which was issued in the above captioned proceeding on November 6, 2012 (ALJ Ruling), the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA)<sup>1</sup> and the California Solar Energy Industries Association (CALSEIA) (collectively the Solar Parties) submit the following comments.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The ALJ Ruling delineates a series of questions intended to elicit the information necessary to coordinate this rate design rulemaking with the other proceedings before the Commission that address energy issues impacting rate and rate structure itself. The Solar Parties' comments are focused exclusively on Question No. 6 which queries:

Is it more appropriate to address certain rate design issues in other proceedings? If so, explain which proceedings are best equipped to explore and resolve specific issues.

The comments contained in this filing represent the position of the Solar Energy Industries Association as an organization, but not necessarily the views of any particular member with respect to any issue

As detailed below, the Solar Parties submit that not only is it "more appropriate" but the Commission has already expressed its intent to address rate design issues pertaining to Net Energy Metering (NEM) in another proceeding. Such determinations, however, would ultimately need to feed into the Commission's decisionmaking on the appropriate designs for residential rates.

#### II. NEM ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED IN ALTERNATE RULEMAKING

In Decision 12-05-036, the Commission, citing a concern regarding the lack of empirical information regarding the extent and nature of cross-subsidization of NEM customers by other customers on the utility systems (if any), and how complex aspects of rate-setting for the various rate tiers in the residential class compound or otherwise affect these cross-subsidies,<sup>2</sup> ordered the Energy Division to oversee the preparation of an updated NEM cost-effectiveness report.<sup>3</sup> The process of preparing the ordered NEM report has commenced, with Energy Division engaging Energy + Environmental Economics to perform the study and parties submitting comments on its appropriate scope. The results of the NEM study should illuminate how different rate designs will impact the costs and benefits of NEM.

Subsequent to study completion, the Commission has stated its intent to undertake a new rulemaking in order "to reassess the NEM program in light of the study results" so as to set future policy for the NEM program with a full awareness of the economic impacts of any policy choices on all classes of ratepayers.<sup>4</sup> The intended outcome of such rulemaking is the

4 *Id*.at pp. 15 and 19.

Decision 12-05-036 at p 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* at p.19.

development of new rules to guide the NEM program. It is the Commission's desire to have

such rulemaking proceeding completed by the end of 2014. 5

While the Commission has determined that NEM issues will be addressed in an alternate

rulemaking, the results of such rulemaking will be a valuable input to this Residential Rate

Design proceeding. Thus, ultimately the schedule for this proceeding must be developed to

allow for the work product of the NEM rulemaking to be reflected in the Commission's final

determinations on the appropriate designs for residential rates.

III. CONCLUSION

The Commission has established an alternate proceeding to address the interrelation

between NEM and residential rate design. Ultimately, the results of such proceeding will need to

be factored into any Commission determinations on the future design of residential rates.

Respectfully submitted November 21, 2012, in San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,

DAY & LAMPREY, LLP

Jeanne B. Armstrong

505 Sansome Street, Suite 900

San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 392-7900

Facsimile: (415) 398-4321

Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

By /s/ Jeanne B. Armstrong

Jeanne B. Armstrong

Attorneys for The Solar Energy Industries Association

3326/021/X146228.v1

-

*Id.* at p. 15.

- 3 -

SB GT&S 0187329