

From: Cadenasso, Eugene  
Sent: 11/6/2012 9:34:49 AM  
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: Reduced pressure on PG&E Line 300

Laura,

Thanks for your reply. There's no rush on this.

Eugene

**From:** Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 05, 2012 11:42 PM  
**To:** Cadenasso, Eugene  
**Subject:** Re: Reduced pressure on PG&E Line 300

Eugene

We will get answers as soon as possible. I'm hoping that will be by friday this week. Thanks for the clarity of this request.

Laura

**From:** Cadenasso, Eugene [mailto:[eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov)]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 05, 2012 04:54 PM  
**To:** Doll, Laura  
**Subject:** Reduced pressure on PG&E Line 300

Laura,

We have some questions about the existing pressure reduction on PG&E backbone Line 300.

- 1) Is the Line 300 pressure reduction due to complexities at the Colorado River crossing?
  
- 2) To what extent has the pressure been reduced on Line 300 and when is the pressure reduction expected to be lifted?
  
- 3) Is it possible that the pressure reduction could impact PG&E's ability to provide reliable service to its customers? If so, describe the circumstances (e.g., extreme cold weather) that could result in a service disruption and likelihood.
  
- 4) Has PG&E made any operational changes to mitigate the impact of the pressure reduction? If so, describe the changes.
  
- 5) Has PG&E developed any contingency plans to address the possibility of service being disrupted due to the pressure reduction?

Thank you,

Eugene

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>