BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of 2013-2014 Energy Efficiency Programs and Budget (U39M).

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902M) for Approval of Electric and Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs and Budgets for Years 2013 through 2014.

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) for Approval of Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs and Budgets for Years 2013 through 2014.

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Integrated Demand Side Management Programs and Budgets for 2013-2014. Application 12-07-001 (Filed July 2, 2012)

Application 12-07-002 (Filed July 2, 2012)

Application 12-07-003 (Filed July 2, 2012)

Application 12-07-004 (Filed July 2, 2012)

(CONSOLIDATED)

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.4(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure,

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby gives notice of the following ex parte

communications. The communications occurred on Friday, November 2, 2012, at

approximately 3:00 p.m., at the offices of the California Public Utilities Commission

(CPUC) in San Francisco. The communications were oral and included handouts, which are attached to this notice. [Rule 8.4(a)(c)]

Sidney Dietz, Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E, initiated the communication with Colette Kersten, Advisor to Commissioner Catherine Sandoval. Also in attendance were: Steven Malnight, Vice President-Customer Energy Solutions, Jana Corey, Director-CES, Policy & Integrated Planning. [Rule 8.4(b)]

Mr. Dietz stated that PG&E supports the Proposed Decision (PD) and appreciates the CPUC's work in providing it on time for launch of programs in early 2013. Mr. Malnight stated that PG&E is committed to energy efficiency as a resource, and that PG&E's programs and budgets proposals meet the CPUC's and PG&E's priority in finding deeper savings using a customer- and data-driven approach. Mr. Malnight further stated that PG&Es initial filing also supports partnerships with third parties and local governments for program delivery. Mr. Malnight further stated that the PD went too far in reducing PG&E's proposed budget, and would prevent PG&E from executing programs to support the policy objectives and requirements in the guidance decision. Ms. Corey stated that PG&E had already reduced its budget in its filing compared to the comparable annual budget of the 2010-2012 portfolio cycle. Mr. Malnight stated that the money slated for hiring a consultant for planning workforce development was not needed since a recent study, which included a comprehensive group of stakeholders, was just completed in October. [Rule 8.4(c)] Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Brian K. Cherry</u> Brian K. Cherry Vice President, Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.Q. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C San Francisco, CA94177 Phone: 415-973-4977 Fax: 415-973-7226 E-mail: BKC7@pge.com

Attachments

Dated: November 7, 2012