

From: Schultz, Adam
Sent: 11/27/2012 11:06:42 AM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I didn't get a chance to check my voicemails from before the holiday weekend until this morning.

Now I understand your email yesterday and realize my mistake. This is what I get for trying to send out some quick emails before the long weekend so I could hit the road. I misstated the first sentence in my email to you – it should, in fact, have read "...regarding PG&E's application for rehearing...". Both PG&E and SCE filed AFRs on RAM in Jan 2011. The link that I provided in the email was correct and should have linked to PG&E's AFR.

Here it is again: <http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/EFILE/R/129682.PDF>

If you could run this by your folks and let me know what their thoughts are on this, i.e., if they think it's still relevant, and either way, the answer to the question posed in my original email, that'd be great.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Thanks,

Adam

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 12:47 PM
To: Schultz, Adam
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: Re: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Yes, I saw it and left you a VM last week to discuss. I'm tied up until about 4:30 today. Perhaps we could discuss then or tomorrow morning. Erik

From: Schultz, Adam [mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 11:36 AM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I also wanted to reforward this email that I sent on Wednesday before the long holiday to make sure you saw it.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Thanks,

Adam

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Schultz, Adam
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2012 11:41 AM
To: EBJ1@pge.com
Cc: 'Allen, Meredith'
Subject: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I was contacted by our Legal Division regarding SCE's Application for Rehearing (AFR) on RAM that was filed back in January 2011:

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/EFILE/R/129682.PDF>

This was filed before my time working on RAM, so I honestly wasn't aware that this was out there. Our Legal Division, in particular, wanted to know:

"...wondering whether any of the IOUs have had to purchase any RAM contracts above the MPR, and if so, did any of them have to purchase them after their cost limitation was exhausted."

Based on the nature of this question alone though, it made me wonder whether PG&E believes that this AFR is still relevant. Can you check with your folks on this and let me know where PG&E stands on this AFR? If it is, in fact, no longer relevant, then I'd like to ask if PG&E could withdraw the AFR so that Commission Staff doesn't have to expend resources on disposal of it.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss this early next week.

Thanks,

Adam

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>