From: Schultz, Adam

Sent: 11/2/2012 4:58:22 PM

To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Re: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question

Hi Erik,

Thanks for chasing this down. Unfortunately -I don't think Energy Division is prepared, though, to extend this period for regulatory delay at this time.

Always subject to revision in the future though. Down the road, I would welcome more input and data to show how these timelines are playing out.

Enjoy your weekend,

Adam

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2012 5:06 PM

To: Schultz, Adam

Subject: RE: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question

Adam,

We tracked down the following excerpt from Clean Coalition's comments (glad it wasn't my imagination): Clean Coalition supports an extension for the regulatory delay category and suggests a day-for-day extension for administrative cause for up to eighteen (18) months when the Seller has taken all commercially reasonable actions and met all its requirements and deadlines in seeking to obtain permit approval and interconnection agreements. Thanks again, Erik From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2012 4:39 PM To: 'Schultz, Adam' Subject: RE: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question Thanks Adam for your time today on the RAM resolution. One other thought ---- rather than extending the regulatory delay from 6 to 12 months, would it be more palatable to allow a "day for day" regulatory delay extension for the 6-12 month period. I have some recollection that this was an option suggested by another party --- not sure if it was Clean Coalition, SEIA, or my imagination, but I thought I'd mention it. I could look into it if that would help.

Erik

From: Schultz, Adam [mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2012 2:45 PM

To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: RE: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question

Sure thing. Let's chat at 4pm. Give me a call @ 415-703-2692

Thank you for the file.

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2012 1:41 PM

To: Schultz, Adam

Subject: RE: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question

Adam,

Here's the excel file. We are following up with our IT department about making future postings in Excel rather than pdf.

Are you free to discuss RAM and PV Program this afternoon by phone? How about between 2-3 or 4-5? Erik

From: Schultz, Adam [mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2012 5:00 PM

To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: RAM Reso, PV issues, and FIT question

Hi Eric,
I think I covered all the DG programs in that one subject line!
I owe you a phone call tomorrow re: RAM resolution and the PV program.
In the meantime, I wanted to ask if you could track down the Excel version of this file:

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

 $PG\&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.\\ To learn more, please visit $\frac{http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/}{http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/}$