

From: Lee, Cheryl  
Sent: 11/5/2012 4:11:58 PM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)  
Cc: Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: CONFIDENTIAL: Shortlist with PAV

Erik,

Thank you for sending the documents along. I am familiar with the documents from their use in reducing the number of bids PG&E initially shortlisted in its 2011 RFO and do not need to discuss them further. However, if you think a walk-through of the example (attachment 05.a Confidential Appendix B) would be helpful, I am available tomorrow (Tuesday) morning.

-Cheryl

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 02, 2012 5:25 PM  
**To:** Lee, Cheryl  
**Cc:** Douglas, Paul  
**Subject:** FW: CONFIDENTIAL: Shortlist with PAV

Confidential Information Attached

Cheryl,

As described in my voice mail, attached is an example of PG&E's prior application of the PAV methodology. We would be happy to walk through these documents with you at your convenience.

I hope the revised PAV methodology description we included in our comments on the proposed

decision was helpful and sufficient to clarify the methodology so that it can be adopted by the Commission. If not, we would at least request that Conclusion of Law 15 (and the corresponding language in the body of the decision) be modified so that it does not say PAV is inconsistent with LCBF.

PG&E has been using PAV with prior support from Energy Division and the PRG. We are also very open to the Commission examining PAV and LCBF issues in the 2013 RPS case. However, we believe it would be a mistake to prejudge that investigation by concluding that PAV is inconsistent with LCBF.

Thank you for your consideration of this issue. Todd and I look forward to discussing with you at your convenience.

Best regards,

Erik

*Erik Jacobson  
Senior Director, Regulatory Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77Beale Street, Rm. 1083  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
tel: 415-973-4464  
cell: 415-310-7617  
[ebj1@pge.com](mailto:ebj1@pge.com)*

**From:** Burns, Sandra  
**Sent:** Friday, November 02, 2012 4:47 PM  
**To:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Cc:** Torr, Angela C  
**Subject:** CONFIDENTIAL: Shortlist with PAV

Here is the Revised RPS Shortlist Advice Letter filed in February 2012 and confidential appendices. This filing documented how we got from the “long” shortlist developed in the fall of 2011 to the “short” shortlist filed in February 2012.

The revised shortlist (Confidential Appendix C) shows the application of PAV methodology by component to each shortlisted transaction.

I will be out on Monday, but Angela should be able to track down additional information if you need it for the CPUC.

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

This correspondence is for discussion purposes only. It is not an offer to buy or sell. Any agreements between the parties are subject to PG&E senior management approval and the prior execution of definitive documents.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>