From:	Schultz, Adam
Sent:	11/21/2012 11:40:58 AM
To:	Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)
Cc:	Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Bcc: Subject:	AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision
Subject.	711 K (110111 January 2011) on Krivi Decision
Hi Erik,	
_	
I was contacted by our Legal Division regarding SCE's Application for Rehearing (AFR) on RAM that was filed back in January 2011:	
http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/EFILE/R/129682.PDF	
This was filed before my time working on RAM, so I honestly wasn't aware that this was out there. Our Legal Division, in particular, wanted to know:	
"wondering whether any of the IOUs have had to purchase any RAM contracts above the MPR,	
and if so,	did any of them have to purchase them after their cost limitation was exhausted."
	the nature of this question alone though, it made me wonder whether PG&E believes that this
	relevant. Can you check with your folks on this and let me know where PG&E stands on this s, in fact, no longer relevant, then I'd like to ask if PG&E could withdraw the AFR so that
	on Staff doesn't have to expend resources on disposal of it.
Let me know if you'd like to discuss this early next week.	
Thanks,	
Adam	

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov