

From: Schultz, Adam  
Sent: 11/27/2012 11:17:46 AM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Thanks.

**Adam C. Schultz, J.D.** | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415.703.2692

[Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov) | [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 27, 2012 11:17 AM  
**To:** Schultz, Adam  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Yes, I finally figured that out after I looked at the link. We are following up on your request and will get back to you. No need to discuss right now. Thanks

**From:** Schultz, Adam [mailto:[adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 27, 2012 11:07 AM  
**To:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I didn't get a chance to check my voicemails from before the holiday weekend until this morning.

Now I understand your email yesterday and realize my mistake. This is what I get for trying to send out some quick emails before the long weekend so I could hit the road. I misstated the first sentence in my email to you – it should, in fact, have read "...regarding PG&E's application for rehearing...". Both PG&E and SCE filed AFRs on RAM in Jan 2011. The link that I provided in the email was correct and should have linked to PG&E's AFR.

Here it is again: <http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/EFILE/R/129682.PDF>

If you could run this by your folks and let me know what their thoughts are on this, i.e., if they think it's still relevant, and either way, the answer to the question posed in my original email, that'd be great.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Thanks,

Adam

**Adam C. Schultz, J.D.** | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415.703.2692

[Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov) | [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [<mailto:EBJ1@pge.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 26, 2012 12:47 PM  
**To:** Schultz, Adam  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** Re: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Yes, I saw it and left you a VM last week to discuss. I'm tied up until about 4:30 today. Perhaps we could discuss then or tomorrow morning. Erik

**From:** Schultz, Adam [<mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 26, 2012 11:36 AM  
**To:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I also wanted to reforward this email that I sent on Wednesday before the long holiday to make sure you saw it.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Thanks,

Adam

**Adam C. Schultz, J.D.** | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415.703.2692

[Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov) | [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Schultz, Adam

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 21, 2012 11:41 AM  
**To:** [EBJ1@pge.com](mailto:EBJ1@pge.com)  
**Cc:** 'Allen, Meredith'  
**Subject:** AFR (from January 2011) on RAM Decision

Hi Erik,

I was contacted by our Legal Division regarding SCE's Application for Rehearing (AFR) on RAM that was filed back in January 2011:

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/EFILE/R/129682.PDF>

This was filed before my time working on RAM, so I honestly wasn't aware that this was out there. Our Legal Division, in particular, wanted to know:

**"...wondering whether any of the IOUs have had to purchase any RAM contracts above the MPR, and if so, did any of them have to purchase them after their cost limitation was exhausted."**

Based on the nature of this question alone though, it made me wonder whether PG&E believes that this AFR is still relevant. Can you check with your folks on this and let me know where PG&E stands on this AFR? If it is, in fact, no longer relevant, then I'd like to ask if PG&E could withdraw the AFR so that Commission Staff doesn't have to expend resources on disposal of it.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss this early next week.

Thanks,

Adam

**Adam C. Schultz, J.D.** | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415.703.2692

[Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov) | [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>