

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of 2013-2014 Energy Efficiency Programs and Budget (U39M).

Application 12-07-001
(Filed July 2, 2012)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902M) for Approval of Electric and Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs and Budgets for Years 2013 through 2014.

Application 12-07-002
(Filed July 2, 2012)

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) for Approval of Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs and Budgets for Years 2013 through 2014.

Application 12-07-003
(Filed July 2, 2012)

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Integrated Demand Side Management Programs and Budgets for 2013-2014.

Application 12-07-004
(Filed July 2, 2012)

(CONSOLIDATED)

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.4(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby gives notice of the following ex parte communications. The communications occurred on Friday, November 2, 2012, at approximately 3:00 p.m., at the offices of the California Public Utilities Commission

(CPUC) in San Francisco. The communications were oral and included handouts, which are attached to this notice. [Rule 8.4(a)(c)]

Sidney Dietz, Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E, initiated the communication with Colette Kersten, Advisor to Commissioner Catherine Sandoval. Also in attendance were: Steven Malnight, Vice President-Customer Energy Solutions, Jana Corey, Director-CES, Policy & Integrated Planning. [Rule 8.4(b)]

Mr. Dietz stated that PG&E supports the Proposed Decision (PD) and appreciates the CPUC's work in providing it on time for launch of programs in early 2013. Mr. Malnight stated that PG&E is committed to energy efficiency as a resource, and that PG&E's programs and budgets proposals meet the CPUC's and PG&E's priority in finding deeper savings using a customer- and data-driven approach. Mr. Malnight further stated that PG&E's initial filing also supports partnerships with third parties and local governments for program delivery. Mr. Malnight further stated that the PD went too far in reducing PG&E's proposed budget, and would prevent PG&E from executing programs to support the policy objectives and requirements in the guidance decision. Ms. Corey stated that PG&E had already reduced its budget in its filing compared to the comparable annual budget of the 2010-2012 portfolio cycle. Mr. Malnight stated that the money slated for hiring a consultant for planning workforce development was not needed since a recent study, which included a comprehensive group of stakeholders, was just completed in October. [Rule 8.4(c)]

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian K. Cherry

Brian K. Cherry

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C

San Francisco, CA 94177

Phone: 415-973-4977

Fax: 415-973-7226

E-mail: BKC7@pge.com

Attachments

Dated: November 7, 2012