BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of | Rulemaking 12-06-013 |
|---|-----------------------|
| Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic | (Filed June 21, 2012) |
| Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations. | |
| | |

NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION AND, IF REQUESTED (and X checked), ALJ RULING ON SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| Customer (party intending to claim intervenor compensation): | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| San Diego Consumers' Action Network | | | | |
| Assigned Comm | issioner: Peev | ey | | Assigned McKinney/Sullivan |
| Intent (NOI) is t conformance wi | rue to my best in the Rules of | knowledge, inform Practice and Proc | nation edure, | Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of and belief. I further certify that, in this NOI and has been served this day of Service attached as Attachment 1). |
| | | Signature: | /s/ M | lichael Shames |
| Date: | 11/20/2012 | Printed Name: | Mich | ael Shames |

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES

(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

| A. Status as "customer" (see Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b)): The party claims "customer" status because it (check one): | Applies (check) |
|---|--------------------|
| 1. Category 1: Represents consumers, customers, or subscribers of any electrical, gas, telephone, telegraph, or water corporation that is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission (§ 1802(b)(1)(A)) | X |
| 2. Category 2: Is a representative who has been authorized by a "customer" (§ 1802(b)(1)(B)). | X |
| 3. Category 3: Represents a group or organization authorized pursuant to its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers, or to represent "small commercial customers" (§ 1802(h)) who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation (§ 1802(b)(1)(C)), or to represent another eligible group. | X |
| 4. The party's explanation of its customer status, economic interest (if any), with documentation (such as articles of incorporation or bylaws) that supports the "customer" status. Any attached documents should be identified in Part IV. | |

San Diego Consumers' Action Network (SDCAN) is an unincorporated nonprofit association that is authorized by its articles and bylaws to represent the interests of SDG&E's residential and small business customers. Specifically, its Articles state at Article 3 that SDCAN is charged with "Advocating on behalf of customers of these necessary-services companies at state legislative, regulatory or civil court forums." SDCAN's members are SDG&E customers and their interests reflect those of the customers which SDCAN seeks to represent in this proceeding. Its eligibility has already been determined by ALJ Wilson in a ruling on October 18, 2012 in A. 12-06-003. Because its members are customers, it qualifies under each of the 1802(b)(1) categories.

| B. Timely Filing of NOI (§ 1804(a)(1)): | Check |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Is the party's NOI filed within 30 days after a Prehearing Conference? Date of Prehearing Conference: <u>October 24, 2012</u> | Yes_X No |
| 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)? 2a. The party's description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other time | Yes No X |
| See answer to #1 above 2b. The party's information on the proceeding number, date, and decision nu any Commission decision, Commissioner ruling, or ALJ ruling, or other doc authorizing the filing of its NOI at that other time: | |

PART II: SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION

(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

| A. Flanne | d r articipation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(1)): |
|--------------|--|
| par | e party's description of the nature and extent of the party's planned ticipation in this proceeding (as far as it is possible to describe on the date this DI is filed). |
| SDCAN p | lans to continue submitting comments and, if warranted, expert testimony if |
| difficult to | evidentiary phase of this proceeding. In the absence of a scoping memo, it is assess the issues in which SDCAN will be involved. But given SDCAN's knowledge of rate design issues, it expects to be involved in most aspects of |

• The party's statement of the issues on which it plans to participate. See above

A Dianned Desticination (8 1904(a)(2)(A)(i)).

the rulemaking.

| ltem | Hours | Rate \$ | Total \$ | # |
|--|----------|-------------------|----------|---|
| | ATTORNEY | FEES | | |
| Michael Shames | 100 | \$333 | \$33,300 | |
| | | Subtotal: | \$33,300 | |
| | EXPERT I | EES | - | |
| Experts | 100 | \$250 (avg) | \$25,000 | |
| | | | | |
| | | Subtotal: | \$25,000 | |
| | OTHER F | EES | | |
| Estimated miscellaneous expenses related to this proceeding (e.g., | | | \$500 | |
| photocopying, telecommunications) | | Subtotal: | 500 | |
| | Соѕт | s | | |
| Travel & Lodging | | | \$2,000 | |
| Legal services | | | - | |
| Copying & delivery | | | - | |
| | | Subtotal: | \$2,000 | |
| | T | OTAL ESTIMATE \$: | \$60,800 | |

Comment 1: Michael Shames is an experienced practitioner before the CPUC, with an established rate of \$333 per hour from his prior position with UCAN. This rate, or whatever is the appropriate attorneys fee rate at the time of filing, will be fully justified when SDCAN files its Request for Compensation at the end of this proceeding.

When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows to table as necessary. Estimate may (but does not need to) include estimated claim preparation time. Claim preparation is typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate.

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

(To be completed by party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation; see Instructions for options for providing this information)

| A. The party claims "significant financial hardship" for its claim for intervenor compensation in this proceeding on the following basis: | |
|---|--|
| 1. "[T]he customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs | |
| of effective participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness | |

| | fees, and other reasonable costs of participation" (§ 1802(g)); or | |
|--------|---|---|
| 2. | "[I]n the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the | Х |
| | individual members of the group or organization is small in comparison | |
| | to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding" (§ 1802(g)). | |
| 3. | A § 1802(g) finding of significant financial hardship in another | |
| | proceeding, made within one year prior to the commencement of this | |
| | proceeding, created a rebuttable presumption of eligibility for | |
| | compensation in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)). | |
| | ALJ ruling (or CPUC decision) issued in proceeding number: | |
| Octobe | r 18, 2012 in A. 12-06-003. Also, pending in A. 11-10-002. | |

B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI):

PART IV: THE PARTY'S ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE

(The party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents (add rows as necessary.) Documents are not attached to final ALJ ruling.)

| Attachment No. | Description |
|----------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Certificate of Service |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING¹ (ALJ completes)

| | Check all that apply |
|--|----------------------------|
| 1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons: | |
| a. The NOI has not demonstrated status as a "customer" for the following reason(s): | |
| b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s): | |
| c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated participation (Part II, above) for the following reason(s): | |
| 2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set forth in Part III of the NOI (above). | |
| 3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the following reason(s): | |
| 4. The ALJ provides the following additional guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)): | |

¹ An ALJ Ruling will not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the ALJ desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings, unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer's claim for compensation); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(g).

IT IS RULED that:

| | Check all that apply |
|---|----------------------------|
| 1. The Notice of Intent is rejected. | |
| 2. Additional guidance is provided to the customer as set forth above. | |
| 3. The customer has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a). | |
| 4. The customer has shown significant financial hardship. | |
| 5. The customer is preliminarily determined to be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation. | |

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE