From:	Petlin, Gabriel
Sent:	11/6/2012 4:39:32 PM
To:	Redacted
Cc:	Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)
Bcc:	
Subject:	RE: Extension of Initial Suspension Period Advice 3304-G/4052-E

Redact

I would like to suggest November 16, 2-4 pm.

Gabe Petlin

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch| Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | gp1@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2012 12:28 PM To: Petlin, Gabriel Cc: Dietz, Sidney Subject: RE: Extension of Initial Suspension Period Advice 3304-G/4052-E

Gabe

When can we meet?

From: Petlin, Gabriel [mailto:gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday October 30, 2012 4:49 PM TdRedacted PG&E Tariffs; Dietz, Sidney Cc: Benjamin, Robert Subject: RE: Extension of Initial Suspension Period Advice 3304-G/4052-E

Thank you.

Gabe Petlin

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch | Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | <u>gp1@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 4:43 PM To: Petlin, Gabriel; PG&E Tariffs; Dietz, Sidney Cc: Benjamin, Robert Subject: RE: Extension of Initial Suspension Period Advice 3304-G/4052-E

Gabe

PG&E agrees to a 30 day extension. We will review the request to extend to December 30 and respond in writing by early next week.

Redacted

Senior Policy Analyst

Pricing and Payment Products

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Petlin, Gabriel [mailto:gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, October 30_2012 4:01 PM To: PG&E Tariffs^{Redacted} Dietz, Sidney Cc: Benjamin, Robert Subject: Extension of Initial Suspension Period Advice 3304-G/4052-E Importance: High

Dear Redac and Sid,

The energy Division suspended the Advice 3304-G/4052-E for 120 days beyond the originally requested effective date of June 30, 2012, to allow further review. This initial suspension period of 120 days maybe extended beyond 120 days with written agreement of the utility. The Energy Division still requires additional time for review of the AL in light of the additional information PG&E has provided us which we are still reviewing and we have not prepared a draft resolution for comment. In light of this it would be most expeditious if PG&E sends me an email today agreeing to extend the initial suspension period by 60 days beyond the initial extension of 120 days. That would extend the suspension from October 30th to December 30th. The Energy Division is very committed to completing its review of the AL.

Thank you for your cooperation and understanding.

Gabe Petlin

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch| Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | <u>gp1@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit <u>http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</u>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit <u>http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</u>