From:	Sterkel, Merideth "Molly
Sent:	11/29/2012 8:32:44 AM
To:	Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:	Allen, Peter (peter.allen@cpuc.ca.gov); Kraska, David (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5); Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov); Borak, Mary Jo (maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bee	

Bcc:

Subject: Re: GO 131-D status of NERC Alert interset structures projects

Meredith,

We would like to meet to discuss. Evidently PG&e did not answer Charles Mee's data request on this advice letter? What happened?

Charles was working with legal and submitted some questions before he went on family leave for a few weeks. He is now back and this can't be approved until we straighten it out.

Thanks, Molly

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 27, 2012, at 7:41 AM, "Allen, Meredith" <<u>MEAe@pge.com</u>> wrote:

Mary Jo, Peter,

Would you please let us know if you have any feedback on this issue by this Friday? We are planning to move forward with the Moss Landing-Metcalf 500 kV inter-set on Monday Dec. 3.

Thanks,

Meredith

From: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" [mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 2:09 PM To: Allen, Peter; Borak, Mary Jo

Cc: Kraska, David (Law); Allen, Meredith **Subject:** FW: GO 131-D status of NERC Alert interset structures projects

Mary Jo and Peter,

Sorry for not forwarding sooner. I assume you'll take it from here. It looks like all the maps were attached to the email, by the way.

Molly

Molly Tirpak Sterkel

California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting

415-703-1873

mts@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Kraska, David (Law) [mailto:DTK5@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 11:35 AM
To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: Fw: GO 131-D status of NERC Alert interset structures projects

Trying again.

From: Kraska, David (Law) Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 11:32 AM To: 'molly.sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov' <molly.sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov> Cc: Allen, Meredith; Redacted Subject: Fw: GO 131-D status of NERC Alert interset structures projects From: David Kraska Redacted Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 11:30 AM To: Kraska, David (Law) Subject: GO 131-D status of NERC Alert interset structures projects

Dear Molly,

Per your request to Meredith Allen, I am writing to provide you with a brief analysis of the reasons we believe the intersetting of individual structures as part of our work to address NERC/GO 95 clearance requirements is exempt from General Order 131-D permit and notice requirements.

Background

When an existing transmission conductor must be raised to maintain compliance with GO 95 standards, one option is to interset a new structure within the existing span to prevent the wire from sagging too close to the ground at mid-span. This is the preferred alternative where the existing structures at either end of the existing span cannot easily be increased in height due to engineering or environmental concerns.

As part of our ongoing assessment of our existing 230 and 500 kV transmission lines, PG&E has currently identified the need to install a single interset structure at seven separate locations on four existing 230 or 500 kV lines. All seven of these interset structures would be in line with the existing transmission line spans and entirely within existing easements. The conductor will not be replaced, and we have confirmed that these new structures will not create significant biological, cultural or visual impacts. I have attached maps showing the location and setting of each structure so that you are aware of where the work will take place. In sum, the work in question is extremely limited in scope and will prevent PG&E from having to implement more impactful alternatives in order to maintain compliance with GO 95 standards.

<u>Analysis</u>

The Commission can properly conclude that addition of these few interset structures within existing easements does not require either a permit or notice for several independent reasons.

First, we believe that intersetting a single tower on an existing transmission line is not construction of "major electric transmission line facilities" that is covered under Section III.A of GO 131-D (i.e., the CPCN requirement). Section III.A requires a CPCN for construction "of major electric transmission line facilities which are designed for immediate or

eventual operation at 200 kV or more," with four listed exemptions. Thus, under Section III.A, a CPCN is not required if (a) the project does not involved construction of "major" transmission line facilities or (b) the project fits within a specified exemption. According to recent CPUC precedent, an entirely new 500 kV looped line over 3,000 feet in length and connecting into a new electric substation is not the construction of "major" transmission line facilities "in view of the relatively short length of the new transmission line segments and in the context of the overall project." (Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling, East County Substation Project, dated March 15, 2011, at 4.) In another recent project, two sets of new parallel 500 kV transmission lines 2,500 to 3,500 feet in length were not considered "major" facilities that required a CPCN. (Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling, Red Bluff Substation Project, dated February 25, 2011, at 6.) Installing one or two interset towers on an existing transmission line, in the absence of significant environmental impacts due to location, is far less construction than the interconnection projects that the CPUC recently found not to be "major" transmission line construction.

Second, even if this work were covered under Section III.A (which it is not based on the authorities discussed above), we believe it would be exempt from the CPCN requirement. Section III.A provides exemptions from the CPCN requirement for construction involving "the replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures, the minor relocation of existing power line facilities, the conversion of existing overhead lines to underground, or the placing of new or additional conductors, insulators, or their accessories on or replacement of supporting structures already built." (GO 131-D, § III.A.) Intersetting a structure solely to raise conductor height constitutes a "minor relocation of existing power line facilities" because the purpose and effect of the project is to relocate the conductor to a higher elevation.

Finally, we further believe that intersetting isolated structures, where we have confirmed that there is no reasonable possibility of a significant impact, is categorically exempt from CEQA as a minor alteration of existing facilities (i.e., the transmission line) under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15301. That section exempts the maintenance or minor alteration of existing facilities involving negligible or no expansion of an existing use, and specifically includes public utility facilities. As stated above, PG&E is not increasing the voltage or capacity of these existing facilities in any way, nor otherwise changing their existing use.

Conclusion

As you may know, there is some urgency to install these interset structures before winter if possible so that the associated line-to-ground

clearances can be increased before next summer, when temperatures and loading on the circuits typically results in the lowest conductor sag. Given the extremely limited scope of these projects, and their lack of environmental impact, it would seem counterproductive (and inconsistent with the intent of GO 131-D) to require CPCN proceedings for singlestructure, safety-driven projects.

Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns about our proposed approach, or if you would like to meet to discuss further.

Thanks,

David

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/