

From: Florio, Michel Peter
Sent: 12/3/2012 1:15:39 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: RE: RE: RE:

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 02, 2012 09:39 PM Pacific Standard Time
To: Florio, Michel Peter
Subject: Re: RE: RE: RE:

Now Mike, you asked me the perception. I am not claiming it is true. I've known Sepideh for 20 years and she has been a strong advocate for DRA during that time period. I have also been able to work with her on many issues across the board. That said, there are many people on the utility side who believe that her historical background is hard to overcome. It might be the incorrect perception but perception can be reality. I'd suggest Sepideh be more sensitive to that perception when she deals with non-DRA/TURN parties.

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

On Dec 2, 2012, at 9:02 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" <MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> A DRA mole?? What the heck does that mean?? She conveys DRA's positons to me just like she does with other parties', but doesn't advocate for them. In fact, she more than occasionally conveys to DRA that they need to "get real" about certain issues. I understand SCE has the same fear, but it's really not grounded in reality

>
>
>
>

> From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
> Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 8:26 PM
> To: Florio, Michel Peter
> Subject: Re: RE: RE:

>

> That is a conversation for another day. Many of us believe she is a DRA mole.

>
> Brian K. Cherry
> PG&E Company
> VP, Regulatory Relations
> 77 Beale Street
> San Francisco, CA. 94105
> (415) 973-4977
>
>
> On Nov 30, 2012, at 8:19 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" <MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:
>
>> Why does she scare everyone so much? Edison seems to fear her even more. She's a pussycat!
>>
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]
>> Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 07:28 PM Pacific Standard Time
>> To: Florio, Michel Peter
>> Subject: Re: RE: RE:
>>
>> Thanks. Just keep an eye on Sepideh for me.
>>
>> Brian K. Cherry
>> PG&E Company
>> VP, Regulatory Relations
>> 77 Beale Street
>> San Francisco, CA. 94105
>> (415) 973-4977
>>
>>
>> On Nov 30, 2012, at 6:51 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" <MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:
>>
>>> Marcelo will be the lead, but I suspect everyone will be involved -- and we won't leave the case to an intern,
as I understand may have happened in the past

>>>
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]
>>> Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 6:18 PM
>>> To: Florio, Michel Peter
>>> Subject: Re: RE:
>>>
>>> Thanks Mike. Looking forward to working with you and Marcel.
>>>
>>> Brian K. Cherry
>>> PG&E Company
>>> VP, Regulatory Relations

>>> 77 Beale Street

>>> San Francisco, CA. 94105

>>> (415) 973-4977

>>>

>>>

>>> On Nov 30, 2012, at 6:10 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter" <MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

>>>

>>>> Don't worry Brian -- I will certainly be looking at both (all?) sides, my feeble attempts at raising your blood pressure notwithstanding. In fact, after the briefing from your senior management this afternoon (no GRC discussion), I'm eager to dig in and see just how different this one may be. Also, I noticed in your application the suggestion for an early workshop to walk through the application for the parties -- an excellent idea and something that I would try very hard to attend myself (you could coordinate with Joan on timing to make sure that happens). We are already scheduling an initial internal kickoff meeting with the case team, and I'm inviting ALJ Darling to share with us her "lessons learned" and insights about where the time lapses occurred in Edison and how to avoid them here. Hopefully the workshop could aside a few minutes for any needed discussion of data issues and modeling coordination with Energy Division -- I think that sometimes those types of issues can slow things down more than the substance. We can never anticipate every contingency, but will at least try to practice a little "risk management."

>>>> I will keep an open mind about individual meetings -- the equal time thing is the big worry here, but if it seems necessary then we'll figure out a way to deal with it. My advisors do a good job of sharing though (and I always review the presentations), so that in the end may prove to be adequate. We'll see Happy weekend, Mike

>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]

>>>> Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 7:16 AM

>>>> To: Florio, Michel Peter

>>>> Subject:

>>>>

>>>> Mike - I do have one request and ask that you be open to having us come in and talk to you, not just your advisors, to brief you on the case and update you in important matters. This case is very different than what you've seen before with a risk based approach, third party evaluation by the Commission and a focus on safety. I think it will be critical that you see both sides.

>>>>

>>>> Brian K. Cherry

>>>> PG&E Company

>>>> VP, Regulatory Relations

>>>> 77 Beale Street

>>>> San Francisco, CA. 94105

>>>> (415) 973-4977

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

>>>> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

>>> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

>>

>>

>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

>> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

>

>

> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>