From: Florio, Michel Peter
Sent: 12/5/2012 7:47:41 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Request

??? If you don't want it held, and there's no alternate, then what's the point of meeting?? I suppose we can talk about that when we get together, but it is a bit peculiar . . . . .

-----Original Message-----
From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2012 7:25 PM
To: Florio, Michel Peter
Subject: Re: Request

Mike - procedurally, we don't want it held. We want it voted out on the 20th.

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

On Dec 5, 2012, at 6:45 PM, "Florio, Michel Peter"
<MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:MichelPeter.Florio@cpuc.ca.gov>> wrote:

We can try to find a time if you really want to - I'm still getting equal time requests from our first meeting, but I guess doing it close to the 20th could help avoid another round (unless it's held, which is certainly possible). Check with Joan and see what's available.   Mike

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2012 3:23 PM
To: Florio, Michel Peter
Subject: Request

Mike - PG&E is facing a proposed decision that imposes the largest infrastructure disallowance on any gas utility in the history of regulation and I am being told that we can't meet with you directly. Are you willing to reconsider ? We can do it Monday, the week of the 20th if you wish, if you are concerned about a plethora of folks asking for equal time (we would then file the 3 day notice Thursday).. We met once as the applicant to brief you on the filing, but given the gravity of the PD, we'd like you to hear our side of the story. Thanks.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/