

From: Brown, Carol A.  
Sent: 12/4/2012 12:58:45 PM  
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: AL language

I had a little chat with the judge – and he is open to “thinking” about using the AL process as an option instead of an application. He said he would not do it as an option since the other suppliers are the ones who say they are hurt by your over-procurement! He felt it was better to have you responsible for submitting something, rather than waiting for one of the suppliers to file a complaint!

**From:** Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 04, 2012 10:21 AM  
**To:** Brown, Carol A.  
**Subject:** AL language

Carol

Here’s the language from Decision 04-09-022 – the one that is being modified by the Peevey PD. Seems like it would make sense just to leave the AL mechanism in place.

**Other Advice Letters.** After consultation with ORA, TURN and Energy Division, PG&E may file an advice letter, pursuant to the Commission’s standard procedures for advice letters, to seek modifications to the Capacity Commitment Range, and to the Expedited Capacity Advice Letter procedures.

**Other Actions Not Requiring Approval through the Advice Letter Process.** Capacity renewals not needing additional advice letter filings should also include capacity held under evergreen provisions in addition to capacity renewed under ROFR rights.

Thanks

Laura

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

---

To learn more, please visit <http://www.fsg.com/about/company/privacy/consent/>