

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to
What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct
Access May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly
Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060.

Rulemaking 07-05-025
(Filed May 24, 2007)

**JOINT REQUEST OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E),
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39-E) AND SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 M) FOR TWO-DAY EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO CONFIRM STIPULATION TO
WAIVER OF COMMENT PERIOD**

STACY W. WALTER

Attorney for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: (415) 973-6611
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516(Fax)
E-mail: SWW9@pge.com

MONICA GHATTAS

Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-3623
Facsimile: (626) 302-1910
E-mail: monica.ghattas@sce.com

GEORGETTA J. BAKER

Attorney for
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
COMPANY
101 Ash Street
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 699-5064
Facsimile: (619) 699-5027
E-mail: gbaker@semprautilities.com

Dated: **December 10, 2012**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to
What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct
Access May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly
Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060.

Rulemaking 07-05-025
(Filed May 24, 2007)

**JOINT REQUEST OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E),
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39-E) AND SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 M) FOR TWO-DAY EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO CONFIRM STIPULATION TO
WAIVER OF COMMENT PERIOD**

In response to *Administrative Law Judge's Ruling to Confirm Stipulation to Waiver of Comment Period* ("Ruling") issued in the above-referenced Rulemaking on December 4, 2012, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) (collectively referred to as the "the IOUs") jointly request a two-day extension to respond to the Ruling.

The Ruling directs parties to indicate whether they are willing to stipulate to or oppose waiver of the 30-day public review and comment period for the Proposed Decision in this proceeding that grants the uncontested proposals of active parties relating to the process improvements for administering Direct Access enrollments. Such reduction or waiver of review is provided for in Rule 14.6(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

The IOUs request a two-day extension to indicate whether they can stipulate to or must oppose the waiver. The PD was not posted until today and quick review reveals that Appendix 2 is incomplete.¹ A two-day extension will allow the IOUs to thoroughly review the PD to

¹ Only Section A of the Direct Access Customer Relocation Declaration Form was included in Appendix 2 of the PD. Section B of this form is also needed because it includes the updated definition of "Current Location" referenced in Ordering paragraph 7 of the PD.

determine whether or not they can waive (or shorten) the review and comment period in accordance with Paragraph 2 of the Ruling.

Accordingly, the IOUs propose that unless parties affirmatively object to waiving the 30-day review and comment period by close of business on Wednesday, December 12, 2012, the parties shall be deemed to have stipulated to waiver of the 30-day review and comment period consistent with Rule 14.6(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

STACY W. WALTER

/s/ Stacy W. Walter

By: Stacy W. Walter
Attorney for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

MONICA GHATTAS

/s/ Monica Ghattas

By: Monica Ghattas
Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

GEORGETTA J. BAKER

/s/ Georgetta Baker

By: Georgetta Baker
Attorney for
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

December 10, 2012