

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-7226

Via Electronic Mail

December 19, 2012

President Michael R. Peevey Commissioner Timothy Alan Simon Commissioner Michel Peter Florio Commissioner Catherine J.K. Sandoval Commissioner Mark K. Ferron

California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: R.11-02-019 - Phase 1 Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (Item 50 on the 12/20/12 agenda)

Dear Commissioners:

Yesterday in a letter to you the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) labeled PG&E's assessment of the 2012 disallowance in the PSEP Proposed Decision a "gross mischaracterization." The Commission should not be confused. There is a massive disallowance for 2012 in the proposed decision. It is neither reasonable nor consistent with Commission precedent and policy to find safety work reasonable and necessary and then to disallow recovery of the associated costs.

Here are the facts:

First, DRA misrepresents the proposed decision's treatment of 2012 expense. PG&E requested PSEP expenses of \$231.1 million for 2012. While the proposed decision theoretically allows recovery of two months of expense of 2012, as a practical matter, a decision vote and effective date of December 20, 2012 means that, at most, less than two weeks of cost recovery are possible for 2012 without changes to the proposed decision. In terms of actual expense, the proposed decision disallows \$231.1 million. In its comments on the proposed decision DRA acknowledged that 2012 expense would not be recoverable.

• The table below explains what PG&E requested in PSEP for 2012 and what the ALJ has recommended:

| | 2012 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Expense | |
| Requested | \$231.1 million |
| ALJ's Recommendation | \$0 |
| Difference | \$231.1 million |
| Capital | |
| Requested | \$384.3 million |
| ALJ's Recommendation | \$265.2 million |
| Difference | \$119.1 million |
| Total Disallowed for 2012 | \$350.2 million |

*This \$350.2 million represents the total expense and capital disallowed from PG&E's request. The \$342.7 million was a combination of expense and capital expenditures (\$265.2 million and \$77.4 million, respectively), not revenue requirements. PG&E made that clear in its PG&E's Opening Comments. For reference, the 2012 expense and capital revenue requirement disallowed from PG&E's request is approximately \$247 million (per Table 1-5 in PG&E's Testimony). The PD makes various disallowances, which, after being applied, result in a 2012 disallowed expense and capital revenue requirement of \$84.1 million (per Table E-1 in the PD).

Above and beyond the approximately \$350 million disallowed for 2012 from PG&E's request, the proposed decision disallows additional dollars in the capital revenue requirement for 2011 and 2012, all of the costs of the Gas Transmission Asset Management Project (\$123.1 million), all contingency (\$293.3 million), lowers ROE for five years (\$130 million est.) and imposes lower escalation rate adjustments (\$41.5 million). In its response to the proposed decision, PG&E has accepted as additional shareholder contributions approximately \$179 million costs associated with strength testing of pipelines installed after 1955, pipeline replacement adjustment, and MAOP validation.

By any measure, the proposed disallowances for critically important pipeline safety expenditures are significant and unprecedented.

Very truly yours,

Brian K. Cherry VP, Regulatory Relations

cc: Via Email Paul Clanon, Executive Director Maribeth Bushey, Administrative Law Judge Service list R.11-02-019

Cuaresma, Sally

| From: | Cuaresma, Sally |
|--------------|---|
| Sent: | Wednesday, December 19, 2012 12:13 PM |
| То: | mp1@cpuc.ca.gov; 'tas@cpuc.ca.gov'; Mike Florio (mf1@cpuc.ca.gov); 'cjs@cpuc.ca.gov'; |
| | 'fer@cpuc.ca.gov' |
| Cc: | 'pac@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'mab@cpuc.ca.gov'; Cherry, Brian K |
| Subject: | PG&E's Response to DRA's 12/18/12 letter on PSEP |
| Attachments: | 12-19-12 PGE Response to DRA Dec 18 2012 letter on PSEP.pdf |

Commissioners:

Enclosed please find PG&E's response to the letter from the Division of Ratepayer Advocates you received yesterday (12/18/12).

Sally Cuaresma [on behalf of Brian Cherry] Regulatory Relations T: 415-973-5012