

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 12/20/2012 9:26:50 AM
To: Sepideh Khosrowjah (sepideh.khosrowjah@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fwd: EE - Motion of the Greenlining Institute to Withdraw its Petition for Modification

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: December 20, 2012, 9:16:55 AM PST
To: Reg Affairs EE 2013-2014 PMT <RegRelEE2009-2011TestimonyTeam@pge.com>, Reg Affairs EE General <RRQPPPCoreEnergyEfficProgram@exchange.pge.com>
Subject: EE - Motion of the Greenlining Institute to Withdraw its Petition for Modification

Today, Greenlining Institute filed a Motion to Withdraw its Petition for Modification of D.12-05-015 (decision approving 2013-14 EE programs and budgets). Greenlining filed its petition on November 20th, 2012, urging the Commission to take up the question of background check policies for the utilities' energy efficiency contractors.

- [REDACTED] Greenlining have reached an agreement with PG&E on what both parties believe is a fair and reasonable background check policy, that addresses the critical concerns of customer safety and equitable access by all to jobs in this fast-growing economic sector.

- [REDACTED] Greenlining considers this agreement to be a mutual victory for

both parties, and heartily commends PG&E on their willingness to engage with Greenlining and address the concerns of our communities.

- [REDACTED] They remain optimistic that Southern California Edison and the Sempra utilities will agree that PG&E's revised policy is fair and reasonable, and that they will adopt the same policy PG&E has, if they choose to adopt a background check policy.

- [REDACTED] While Greenling does not anticipate the need to raise the issue again with the Commission, they reserve the right to do so in the unlikely event that conversations with Southern California Edison and the Sempra utilities do not prove fruitful.