

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 12/21/2012 4:29:20 PM  
To: 'Kito, Michele' (michele.kito@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted] Simon, Sean A.  
(sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PU Code 910 Data Request Follow Up

Michele –

Attached please find PG&E's supplemental response to your conversation with [Redacted] (related to PU Code 910 questions 1g-1i).

PG&E is still working on finalizing our supplemental response to your question 3 below (related to PU Code 910 question 5a). Due to folks being out of the office, we anticipate sending the final supplemental response for Q5a during the first week of January. Thank you for your understanding.

Please feel free to contact us with any further questions or concerns regarding this data request as you prepare for the February 1<sup>st</sup> report to the Legislature.

Thank you,

[Redacted]

Pacific Gas & Electric Company  
Regulatory Affairs: Energy Supply

[Redacted]

**From:** Kito, Michele [mailto:michele.kito@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 13, 2012 1:08 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted] Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); [Redacted] Simon, Sean A.  
**Subject:** RE: PU Code 910 Data Request Follow Up

Hi Lauren,

In response to a data request early this year (September 2012), Energy Division had received detailed RPS cost information and an estimate of PG&E's assessment of the incremental cost of renewables, broken out by renewables costs, integration costs and incremental transmission costs for 2012 – 2022. Given that PG&E had already conducted this analysis for 2012 – 2022 (and, we understand, has shared this information with legislators), we had expected that it would not be a problem to provide this type of information in response to this data request and specifically targeting 2011. I will separately forward this data response and the transmission information contained therein.

1. Could PG&E confirm that these transmission cost estimate are correct?
2. Could PG&E estimate the RPS related transmission revenue requirement in rates for 2011 and provide a detailed spreadsheet with these 2011 calculations?
3. With respect to Question 5(a), we were asking for ALL fossil and nuclear expenditures. PG&E provided only UOG expenditures. Please supplement with all fossil purchased power expenditures, separated by capacity and energy payments, with actual generation for each facility. In terms of what I was hoping you would provide, I would direct you to PG&E ERRRA Forecast Workpapers for Chapter 3, data for each facility – **actual** capacity payment, actual energy payment and actual GWh.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact me.

**Michele Kito**

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch| Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-2197 | [michele.kito@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:michele.kito@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** [Redacted]

**Sent:** Wednesday, December 12, 2012 4:36 PM

**To:** Kito, Michele

**Cc:** [Redacted] Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); [Redacted]; Simon, Sean

A.

**Subject:** PU Code 910 Data Request Follow Up

Michele –

Per our conversation, I want to confirm what specific additional information we need to provide Energy Division in regards to the PU Code 910 data request questions 1g, 1h, 1i, and 5a. As you know, given the ambiguity in the legislation itself and the different approaches by each IOUs, this task becomes very challenging.

Based on our conversation and the approaches the other IOUs took to which you referred to, can you please send me an email clarifying what specifically you expect for those 4 questions (and if there are any other responses you feel are incomplete/misinterpreted)? We would like to have a better understanding of what type of information Energy Division is looking for.

PG&E is more than willing to work with Energy Division to get you the information you need. We tried to answer the questions as best we could, given the ambiguity and the short time, but there appears to be some confusion caused by the interpretation of the questions.

Once we get more clarity, I will work with our team to get you the all information you need as soon as possible. Just to forewarn you, given the holidays are coming up, we may not be able to provide an immediate response. Please let me know if you'd like to schedule a follow meeting/call with our team to make sure we are all on the same page.

Thank you,


Redacted

Sr. Regulatory Analyst

Regulatory Affairs: Energy Supply  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street, MC B9A | San Francisco, CA 94105

Redacted

This email is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law, including the attorney-client privilege. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. If you have received this communication in error, please return the original message to the sender via email.

 Stay GREEN: Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>