

From: Halligan, Julie
Sent: 1/23/2013 10:29:10 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Bureau Veritas

Brian,

We have been looking into this issue and we too are concerned about the appearance of a conflict. I have asked BV to provide me with more detail on the work they are doing for you, and they have identified two projects. I also asked BV how much longer these projects are expected to last. One of the projects appears short, the other is not as clear to me at this point. As you can imagine, our intent is to have BV stop performing other work for PG&E while our project is ongoing. So yet, I can affirm your thinking on the issue.

Julie

From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 5:24 PM
To: Halligan, Julie; Clanon, Paul
Subject: Bureau Veritas

Julie and Paul – Bureau Veritas is doing work for PG&E on the electric side and they are doing work for the Commission on the gas side. I know we have both looked at whether or not this is a conflict and decided that legally no conflict exists. However, certain parties here at PG&E are now concerned about the appearance of a conflict. We are now considering vacating their contract, but haven't made that decision yet. I have been asked to check with both of you to see if the Commission would consider vacating their contract with Bureau Veritas. I have already explained to people within the company the difficult, time consuming nature of contracting with the state plus the dearth of companies willing to submit bids for state work and told them that I did not see the Commission taking action that would include vacating the contract. Could one of you affirm my thinking on that? Thanks. I'd be happy to chat if you want to vent too..... ;-)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>