

From: Kahlon, Gurbux
Sent: 1/15/2013 1:47:28 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Cadenasso,
Eugene (eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov); Myers, Richard A.
(richard.myers@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TRH4)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Thanks, Shilpa.

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 10:16 AM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux; [Redacted] Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.
Cc: Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Gurbux,

Of the 429.7 million, \$247.3 million is Contingency, \$102.6 million is Pipeline Records Integration, \$3.5 million is from Valve Automation, \$75.6 is from Pipeline Modernization, and \$0.6 million from the Program Management office. For background, all of this information is from comparing Table E-3 in the decision with Table 1-3 in our testimony.

Hope this helps.

Shilpa

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 9:17 AM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted] Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.
Cc: Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Thanks, Shilpa. Do you have a breakdown of \$429.7 million? I believe the bulk of it was contingency amount.

Gurbux

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [<mailto:SRRd@pge.com>]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux; [Redacted] Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.
Cc: Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Gurbux,

The \$429.7 million of capital is entirely disallowed or lost. We will not be able to recover it ever.

We'll work on your first question.

Shilpa

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [<mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 4:17 PM
To: [Redacted] Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
Subject: RE: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Thanks, Katherine. Would you be able to break this down into how much of the amount in each column PG&E had volunteered to absorb—possibly in parentheses in the same table?

That would enable one to see what was voluntarily absorbed vs. what was disallowed by the PSEP decision.

Also, how much of the 429.7 million in disallowed capital spend would PG&E be able to recover post PSEP decision? Do you have the breakdown of this total into contingency and other categories? Since the bulk of it may be contingency, I would think that it is lost to PG&E going forward.

Gurbux

From: Redacted
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Cadenasso, Eugene; Kahlon, Gurbux; Myers, Richard A.
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Hogenson, Todd (GT&D)
Subject: R.11-02-019: PGE's Response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request

Good Afternoon:

Attached please find Pacific Gas & Electric's response to Energy Division's 1st Oral Data Request in the Gas Pipeline Safety OIR proceeding.

If you have any trouble opening the attachments, please let me know.

Thank you,

Redacted

Rate Case Coordinator
Pacific Gas & Electric

77 Beale Street, 996 | San Francisco, CA 94105

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>