



FILED

01-17-13
09:01 AM

RIM/acr 1/17/2013

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific
Gas and Electric Company for Recovery of
Costs of Gas Compressor Station
Compliance with AB 32 (U39G).

Application 12-06-010
(Filed June 18, 2012)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING INSTRUCTING THE PARTIES TO
SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and Division of Ratepayer
Advocates (DRA) are instructed to address the following questions so that the
Proposed Decision can be prepared and submitted to the Commission for a vote:

1. Why is this Application a request for the "recovery" of costs of gas compressor station compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 32 when it does not appear that the costs have yet to be incurred for 2013 and 2014?
2. Is this Application, in fact, a request to track costs that PG&E will attempt to seek the recovery of at a future date in another proceeding? If so, what is the proceeding?
3. What is the Annual Gas True-up rate change filing and how does that relate to this Application?
4. What is the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) filing and how does that relate to this Application?
5. Why won't the 2013 and 2014 gas compressor station costs be covered as part of PG&E's General Rate Case that was filed on November 15, 2012 (Application (A.) 12-11-009)?
6. Have the gas compressor station costs for 2013 and 2014 been identified in A.12-11-009?

7. Is the Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Case different from the Gas True-up and ERRA filings?
8. If PG&E underestimates its revenue requirements for the first two years of AB 32 compliance, is PG&E proposing to cap its recovery by the amounts identified in its Application and Joint Motion? Is PG&E proposing that it be allowed to recover the gas compressor station costs that exceed the estimates for 2013 and 2014?
9. What is the Electric Cost Balancing Account and why would gas costs be tracked in an electric balancing account?
10. Why wouldn't the gas compression station compliances costs be tracked in the Gas Operational Cost Balancing Account?
11. Has DRA withdrawn its protest by virtue of the Joint Motion?

Please have the responses prepared via declarations executed under penalty of perjury, and serve and file same no later than January 18, 2013.

IT IS RULED that:

1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Division of Ratepayer Advocates shall file responses to the above questions no later than January 18, 2013.
2. The responses will be in the form of declarations executed under penalty of perjury.

Dated January 17, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

ROBERT M. MASON III

Robert M. Mason III
Administrative Law Judge