

From: Colvin, Michael
Sent: 1/30/2013 5:11:43 PM
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: A. 12-06-010

You and me both. Will push again.

MC

From: Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 1:31 PM
To: Colvin, Michael
Subject: Fw: A. 12-06-010

We continue to pace.

From: Mason, Robert [mailto:robert.mason@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 01:26 PM
To: Doll, Laura
Subject: RE: A. 12-06-010

With the additional information that the parties provided, I am revising my PD now. If I have any more definite information about scheduling to share, I'll let the parties know.

Robert M. Mason III

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave, 5th Floor

San Francisco, California, 94102

415-703-1470

rim@cpuc.ca.gov

ICURYY4ME

From: Doll, Laura [<mailto:LRDD@pge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 12:06 PM
To: Mason, Robert
Subject: Re: A. 12-06-010

Judge Mason

Can you tell me anything about schedule on this PD?

Thanks
Laura

From: Mason, Robert [<mailto:robert.mason@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 09:57 AM
To: Warner, Christopher (Law); Doll, Laura; Obiora, Noel <noel.obiora@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: A. 12-06-010

Dear Parties:

A formal ruling will be issued shortly requesting additional information/clarification so that this Application can be processed. But in the interests of time, I wanted to give the parties a heads up of the content of that ruling so you can begin to address what I need in order to complete the PD. I want this information/clarification via declarations by Friday January 18, 2013:

1. Why is this Application a request for the “recovery” of costs of gas compressor station compliance with AB 32 when it does not appear that the costs have yet to be incurred for 2013 and 2014?

2. Is this Application, in fact, a request to track costs that PG&E will attempt to seek the recovery of at a future date in another proceeding? If so, what is the proceeding?
3. What is the Annual Gas True-up rate change filing and how does that relate to this Application?
4. What is the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) filing and how does that relate to this Application?
5. Why won't the 2013 and 2014 gas compressor station costs be covered as part of PG&E's General Rate Case that was filed on November 15, 2012 (A.12-11-009)?
6. Have the gas compressor station costs for 2013 and 2014 been identified in A.12-11-009?
7. Is the Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Case different from the Gas True-up and ERRA filings?
8. If PG&E underestimates its revenue requirements for the first two years of AB 32 compliance, is PG&E proposing to cap its recovery by the amounts identified in its Application and Joint Motion? Is PG&E proposing that it be allowed to recover the gas compressor station costs that exceed the estimates for 2013 and 2014?
9. What is the Electric Cost Balancing Account (ECBA) and why would gas costs be tracked in an electric balancing account?
10. Why wouldn't the gas compression station compliances costs be tracked in the Gas Operational Cost Balancing Account (GOBA)?
11. Has DRA withdrawn its protest by virtue of the Joint Motion?

Thank you for your assistance in this matter

Robert M. Mason III

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave, 5th Floor

San Francisco, California, 94102

415-703-1470

rim@cpuc.ca.gov

ICURYY4ME

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>