

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 1/16/2013 12:13:12 PM
To: Blackney, Robert (robert.blackney@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);
Mathai-jackson, Grady (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MGML); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Reilly, Brooke A (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=BARII); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Preliminary 33% RPS Compliance Report Questions

Hi Robert

Here are the answers to the questions from your prior emails. Please let us know if you have any further questions.

Regards

Anu Vege

Q1: How much of the 2012 procurement information is based on actual facility/contract generation versus forecasts? (e.g. January – October: Actual generation, November – December: Forecasted generation)

A1: In PG&E's 2011 Preliminary Annual 33% RPS Procurement Compliance Report for deliveries in 2012, actual RPS Deliveries were used for the months of January through October. PG&E used forecast data for November and December 2012.

Q2: How much of the 2012 Retail Sales information is based on actual sales versus forecasts? (e.g. January – October: Actual sales figures, November – December: Forecasted sales)

A2: In PG&E's 2011 Preliminary Annual 33% RPS Procurement Compliance Report

for retail sales in 2012, actual retail sales were used for the months of January through October. PG&E used forecast data for November and December 2012.

Q3: Assuming Energy Division Director, Ed Randolph, requests an additional Compliance Reporting in the first or second quarter of 2013, does PGE believe there will be a substantive (greater than 5-10%) changes in the information? If so, when would the most accurate information for 2012 be available?

A3: PG&E does not foresee substantive changes in its information between the data used in its 2011 Preliminary Annual 33% RPS Procurement Compliance Report, submitted on December 28, 2012, and new data which would be available to PG&E in the first or second quarter of 2013. PG&E agrees with D.12-06-038 (p. 76) that an August 1, 2013 reporting date is appropriate for 2012 RPS compliance data. As more fully discussed in comments submitted on that decision, an August 1st date allows retail sellers to review 2012 WREGIS data and resolve any problems so that the annual RPS compliance reports are consistent with final WREGIS data. *Id.* at 77.

From: Blackney, Robert [mailto:robert.blackney@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 4:13 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Mathai-jackson, Grady (Law); Reilly, Brooke A; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted

Redacted

Subject: RE: Preliminary 33% RPS Compliance Report Questions

Hello Redacted

Energy Division staff have one more question that was omitted from the prior e-mail:

– How much of the 2012 Retail Sales information is based on actual sales versus forecasts? (e.g. January – October : Actual sales figures, November – December : Forecasted sales)

Please try respond to Energy Division's questions by close of business **Wednesday, January 16**. Any questions or concerns should be directed to Robert Blackney 415.703.3072.

Thanks,

Robert Blackney | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.3072

Robert.Blackney@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Blackney, Robert
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 2:35 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: 'Mathai-jackson, Grady (Law) (MGML@pge.com)'; 'bari@pge.com'; 'EBJ1@pge.com'; [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Subject: Preliminary 33% RPS Compliance Report Questions

Hello Anu,

Energy Division staff would like to acknowledge the receipt of a Preliminary 33% RPS Compliance Report from PGE, on (or before) the December 28, 2012 deadline.

In order to better understand what information is presented within the Preliminary 33% RPS Compliance Report, and determine what compliance filings are necessary in the upcoming year, Energy Division staff would like to ask PGE some additional questions regarding their compliance submission:

- How much of the 2012 procurement information is based on actual facility/contract generation versus forecasts? (e.g. January – October : Actual generation, November – December : Forecasted generation)
- Assuming Energy Division Director, Ed Randolph, requests an additional Compliance Reporting in the first or second quarter of 2013, does PGE believe there will be a substantive (greater than 5-10%) changes in the information? If so, when would the most accurate information for 2012 be available?

Energy Division staff appreciate your responses. If you have any questions, please contact Robert Blackney at 415.703.3072.

Thank you,

Robert Blackney | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.3072

Robert.Blackney@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov