

Gail L. Slocum Attorney 77 Beale Street, B30A San Francisco, CA 94105

Mailing Address: P. O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

415.973.6583 Fax: 415.973.0516 E-Mail: GLSg@pge.com

January 24, 2013

BY ELECTRONIC AND HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Paul Clanon Executive Director California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re:

Request for a Two-month Extension in Schedule for Filing PG&E's 2014

General Rate Case Phase II, A.12-12-002

Dear Mr. Clanon:

Pursuant to Rule 16.6 of the California Public Utilities Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, for the reasons set forth below, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) respectfully requests a two-month extension in the schedule for filing its 2014 General Rate Case Phase II (GRC Phase II) Application. Under the Commission's Rate Case Plan in D.89-01-080, at page B-2, PG&E's GRC Phase 2 filings are due 90 days after its GRC Phase 1 Application is filed. PG&E's 2014 GRC Phase I Application (A.12-11-009) was filed on November 15, 2012. The 90-day deadline would thus fall on February 13, 2013.

PG&E is requesting permission to file its GRC Phase 2 Application and serve the supporting testimony approximately two months later, on Thursday, April 18, 2013. As the Commission knows, the showing in the GRC Phase 2 proceeding presents the utility's electric marginal costs, revenue allocation and rate design proposals.

This delay is needed because of workflow and resource constraints. This is compounded by additional complexities relating to PG&E's efforts to try to make use of more interval data for the first time ever in this Phase 2 proceeding, for use in developing rates and calculating bill comparison results of proposals in this proceeding. The process of obtaining and processing the necessary data to make it suitable for this purpose has added further time and effort.



Mr. Paul Clanon January 24, 2013 Page 2

Therefore, PG&E requests you grant, at your earliest convenience, a two-month extension of the deadline to file the 2014 GRC Phase II application. Not only is this extension reasonable and in the public interest, but because all parties' subsequent due dates would be calibrated from the new filing date, PG&E does not believe any party would be prejudiced by this extension of time.

Thank you in advance for your prompt consideration and approval of this request.

Very truly yours.

Gall L. Slocum

cc: Karen Clopton, Chief ALJ, CPUC

All Parties of Record in A.10-03-014 (PG&E's 2011 GRC Phase II)