

From: Schultz, Adam
Sent: 1/17/2013 2:41:58 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Thalman, Jon Eric (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JETg)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Jan 31 - CPUC Staff Workshop

Redact

Thanks for working on this for me.

Jon – is there a time tomorrow (Friday) that we could set up a short call to discuss what we've got in mind for the workshop agenda and how PG&E could play a role? I think 11:30am might be best for me.

Let me know.

Thanks,

Adam

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 11:40 AM
To: Schultz, Adam
Cc: Allen, Meredith; Thalman, Jon Eric
Subject: RE: Jan 31 - CPUC Staff Workshop

Hi Adam

I am following up on our conversation. Jon Eric Thalman and [Redacted] from PG&E will be attending the workshop and one of them will make a short presentation. I am copying Jon Eric on this email so you can coordinate further.

Thanks

[Redacted]

From: Schultz, Adam [mailto:adam.schultz@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2013 12:40 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: Jan 31 - CPUC Staff Workshop

Hi [Redacted]

I am organizing a CPUC Staff Workshop (ie, not led by an ALJ within an open proceeding) on Thursday, January 31 and I was hoping that someone from PG&E could participate and make a short presentation.

The workshop will focus on the methodology developed by consulting firm E3 for this study, published in March 2012:

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/8A822C08-A56C-4674-A5D2-099E48B41160/0/LDPVPotentialReportMarch2012.pdf>

The purpose of the workshop will be to solicit stakeholder input (from the utilities, from developers, from advocacy groups, etc.) on the analysis done in this report by E3. The reason for doing this is that the CPUC intends to refine this methodology based on feedback and then, ultimately, to use the methodology for a statewide analysis of cost-effective DG potential (of all technologies).

A significant piece of this analysis will look at how to quantify the “locational benefits” of renewable DG (eg, avoided transmission and distribution upgrade costs, avoided line losses, etc.). I was hoping to have a representative from PG&E who could talk about PG&E’s efforts to quantify these types of locational benefits and to discuss the barriers and challenges to operationalizing a mechanism that recognizes/rewards such benefits (whether it occurs through the bid ranking process, by way of an ‘adder’, or another mechanism entirely).

I don’t have a particular person in mind, but I know that PG&E participated at a workshop in September/October 2011 related to the Feed-in-Tariff proceeding where a lot of the same material was covered. I can forward a full agenda when it’s developed, but I wanted to reach out now to see if I could get someone from PG&E to participate.

Let me know if you’d like to discuss.

Thanks!,

Adam Schultz

Adam C. Schultz, J.D. | Renewable Energy Policy & Procurement
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415.703.2692

Adam.Schultz@cpuc.ca.gov | www.cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>