

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Adopt New Safety and Reliability Regulations for Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution Pipelines and Related Ratemaking Mechanisms.

R. 11-02-019
(Filed February 24, 2011)

NOTICE OF ERRATA

The City files this errata to the application for rehearing filed on January 28, 2013. The purpose of this errata is to correct a typographical error in the City's application. The 7th line of the first full paragraph on page 6 should be modified to read (changes noted in underline):

“The Decision’s failure to perform a comprehensive analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of potential remedial actions constitutes a failure to uphold the Commission’s statutory obligations to ensure the public safety. A decision that fails to address the safety merits of PG&E’s proposal or the significant flaws identified by the other parties fails to “take all reasonable and appropriate actions necessary” to “place safety of the public and gas corporation employees as the top priority.”¹ Containing no thoughtful analysis on the primary safety issues raised in this proceeding, the Decision shows no understanding of the implications of the safety issues presented by the PSEP. Instead, the Decision focuses on the ratemaking aspects of the plan and who should bear the costs of the proposal. Unfortunately, this focus on ratemaking does not “provide adequate protection against risks to life and property posed by pipeline transportation and pipeline facilities.” As a result, the Decision provides no assurances as to the safety of the plan. Because the Decision contains no thoughtful analysis of the alternatives

¹ Cal. Pub. Util. Code § 963.

