

From: Sher, Nicholas
Sent: 1/25/2013 11:15:17 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE); Kraska, David (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5)
Cc: [Redacted] Reiger, J. Jason (Jonathan.Reiger@cpuc.ca.gov); Mee, Charles (charles.mee@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Unanswered questions on PG&E AL 4058-E

Hi David,

On December 17 and 18 2012, Energy Division sent a number of questions to PG&E relating to AL 4058-E that PG&E has yet to answer.

Please, within the next five business days, provide the answers to the following questions Energy Division has previously requested:

- (1) Please provide the cost of development of the NERC assessment plan;
- (2) Please provide the cost of discrepancy identification, analysis, and elimination (or findings report);
- (3) Please provide the cost of reconstructing the 125 circuits listed by PG&E in the excel spreadsheet provided to Energy Division (that is, the cost of mitigating the clearance issues, PG&E believes are present - see attached PG&E spreadsheet);
- (4) The spreadsheet provided by PG&E indicates "see response 2" as to why PG&E decided not to de-rate any of the circuits/lines, please provide Response 2;
- (5) The spreadsheet listed a number of discrepancies, but did not describe those discrepancies in detail. If PG&E has detailed findings, please provide the detailed findings.

Yours,

Nicholas Sher