Residential Rates OIR Customer Survey Discussion topics

January 31, 2013 Webinar

1. Question

Why do we want to conduct a customer survey now rather than after the rate design proposals have been developed?

Answer

The principles of understandability, simplicity and choice are difficult to measure and customers can often have very different definitions, so finding out their direct feedback is very useful. We believe that knowing their preferences will help to inform the development of better proposals from the beginning. In addition, because of the work on the bill calculator models, we already have sufficient knowledge about the range of potential rate structures, so there is no need to wait until formal proposals are submitted to launch the customer survey.

2. Question

What are the objectives of the customer survey?

Answer

The survey is designed to provide insight into:

- o The extent that customers understand their current rate structure.
- Rate structure configurations that would best motivate customers to conserve / shift their energy use.
- Relevance of customer-centric rate design principles established in RROIR
 (characteristics of rate plan options should be understandable, stable, predictable, etc.)
 to customer interest in various rate plan configurations.
- o Customer tolerance for bill change and appetite for bill savings.
- o Customer preferences for alternative rate structure configurations.

3. Question

What is the purpose of each section of the survey?

Answer

Section I – Establish current rate knowledge and energy use attitudes and behavior.

Section II - Educate participant about rate plan structures and components to prepare them for Choice Exercise and establish beliefs about importance of rate plan characteristics (understandable, stable, provides choice, etc.).

Section III – Establish customer preferences for rate plan options.

Section IV - Gather additional customer profile information for segmentation.

4. Question:

How will survey address customer perspectives on bill savings / bill increase potential for each rate option?

Answer

- Section three of the survey is designed to educate customers about the potential for different rate structures to increase or decrease their bills based on their energy use behavior.
- o It is not possible to provide a sample or shadow bill in this survey. The respondents are anonymous and randomly selected through an internet panel. Even if this were possible, we believe shadow bills would not accurately represent the question to be answered because the potential bill savings / increase will depend on the customer's ability to change their energy use behavior on the rate. We understand this is not the perfect situation where each customer understands the individual impact to his or her own bill, but we strongly believe we can get high quality directional information about rate preferences without this level of customization.

5. Question

How will survey incorporate environmental benefits of rate plans?

Answer

The survey will capture the importance customers place on environmental benefits in the rate plan characteristics evaluation in section three, and then participants will evaluate each rate plan structure against that characteristic later in the survey.

6. Question

How are we making sure we are getting sufficient input from groups such as seniors?

Answer

Our survey recruitment process will have the objective of building a representative core sample of 2,100 (n=700 per IOU) by screening based on these demographics variables: income level, age, size (by square footage ranges and bill size), sex and region (climate zone based on zip code). Statistically significant findings will be possible at the IOU level for this representative population.

The additional 3,000 observation over-sample will allow statistically significant findings for additional sub-groups. Over-sampling adds enough observations to allow these sub-groups to be analyzed separately to identify how they might be the same or different from the core samples of n=700 per IOU.

We anticipate being able to make meaningful comparisons between the following sub-groups:

- o Low-income (e.g., bottom 25% HHI)
- High-usage (upper 25% of estimated bill amounts)
- Age groups (older, middle, younger)

- Solar
- SmartRate participants
- Spanish-speakers
- California municipal utility customers with different rate structures: LADWP, SMUD and Riverside Public Utilities
- TOU customers from other jurisdictions with a high penetration of customers on TOU rates: Hydro One (Ontario, Canada) and Arizona (Arizona Public Services / Salt River Project)
- Climate Zone

7. Question

How will the survey avoid sampling bias?

Answer

Sampling bias is inherent in all research, but researchers typically take steps to minimize this within the constraints of time and budget. Most of the respondents sample will come from commercially available online panels. Online panels, by design, are not absolutely representative because they are created by "opt-in" methods among people who are active on the Internet. Nonetheless, comparative studies have shown that similar results are obtained from online panel samples as from truly representative online samples, suggesting that compensation of panel samples does not significantly affect results. Because of this, online panel samples have become the norm for survey research.

8. Question

How are we ensuring appropriate representation of the Disabled sub-segment?

Answer

We have added questions to determine if the participant is disabled, or if there is a disabled person in the household.

9. Question

How will this research provide input into the default rate?

Answer

The survey will ask questions to identify participants that would not likely switch from their current rate plan, even if offered a range of other options. Attitudes, preferences and behaviors of these customers will provide input into the default rate.

10. Question

Why aren't more focus groups the best way to begin the process of gathering customer input on rate structures?

Answer

The IOUs have conducted several focus groups over the last few years and quantitative studies that have guided the development of this survey. These are available via data request, as mentioned in the December webinar.

11. Question

How will the research be designed to ensure that the answers will not be skewed towards the rate options preferred by each IOU?

Answer

The choice exercise will randomly present different rate plan structures and configurations that span the range being considered in the bill calculator model, with no priority given to any particular rate plan.

12. Question

How will the survey identify customer usage patterns?

Answer

We will not be asking participants directly about their usage profiles. We will, however, be gathering information such as climate zone, square footage, year home built, energy end uses, and previous energy use behaviors that can provide insight into usage patterns.

13. Question

What are the screening criteria?

Answer

- Electric Utility (SCE, SDG&E, PG&E, Riverside, LADWP, SMUD, Hydro One, Arizona Public Service / Salt River Project.
- Household size (# of people in household, SF)
- Basic demographics (age, income level, gender)
- o Climate Zone
- Involvement in energy decision-making

14. Question

What are some examples of what the survey might be able to tell us?

Answer

- Examples of what we might be able to learn from this survey include (illustrative only):
 - 67% of the senior segment prefer a flat rate with a customer charge less than \$10.
 - Overall, customers think the ability to save money on their bill and having a choice are the most important characteristics of an electric rate plan.
 - Participants that have already changed their energy use behavior in the past have a high preference for a plan with a demand charge.
 - "Try Before You Buy" (bill protection), increases the willingness to try a steep TOU rate by 40%.

15. Question

How will this survey avoid the issues that hindered the choice task in the Gas Hedging Study PG&E conducted in early 2009 with Socratic Technologies?

Answer

The primary issue with the Gas Hedging Study was that respondents did not appear to

understand or be engaged with the conjoint. Measures taken in the Rates Customer Survey to avoid this problem include:

- Engaging them before the choice exercise by taking more time in the survey to educate
 the respondents about the factors and levels they will encounter in the choice exercise
 and including questions about how those concepts apply to them.
- More choice tasks 10 to 16, compared to only 6 in the GHS, and three options per choice set. Having more tasks and the more choices per task helps us to achieve better reliability and stability of the conjoint results.
- Removing respondents that do not meet standards focused on ensuring responses are
 deliberate and thoughtful, including "speeders" who complete the survey faster than
 normal, "straightliners" who have response patterns that indicate they did not pay
 attention to questions, etc.

Consideration of Red-line Feedback on Survey Draft A – February 11, 2013

There were a very large number (over 200) of suggested changes to the survey, many of them overlapping and / or conflicting. The following summarizes the most substantive suggestions that were incorporated, and the reasons why some were not.

Suggestions incorporated into the survey Draft B include:

- 1. The four tier rate plan example was changed to three tiers.
- 2. Similar wording was added to the tiered, TOU and flat rate descriptions to explain the possible negative bill impacts if enough actions to reduce kWh or kW could not be made.
- 3. A question was added in the choice exercise to evaluate bill impact (risk / reward) expectations
- 4. A question was added in the choice exercise to re-visit the risk that not changing behavior might result in higher bills, especially in hotter climates in the summer after participants select a preferred rate structure.
- 5. PG&E's bill calculator was used to provide revenue neutral rates for the rate plan description examples.
- 6. Almost all of the rate structure descriptions were completely rewritten, and now include some of the actual wording suggestions from the commenters.
- 7. The demand charge example was revised to more accurately reflect actual demand of different end-uses, and wording "poorly managed" changed
- 8. Description of "Fair" removed in question 3.8 and open-ended question added.

Suggestions not incorporated into the survey Draft B include:

1. Removing Questions 2.1a and 2.1 b. about current knowledge of rates.

As explained in the last webinar on January 31st and in the "Topics for Discussion Document", it is important to set a baseline of what customers know before they are exposed to the educational section. This will provide insights on the necessity and effectiveness of education about rates.

2. Showing an example of a 550 kWh customer in the rate structure descriptions. Including potential kWh and kW savings from various changes in behavior.

The purpose of the education section is not to have customers understand how these particular structures would impact their bills, but to help them understand how the rate structures work that behavior changes might be required for success on each rate structure.

3. Removing or substantially changing questions 4.4 and 4.4 that ask customers about their experience and attitudes towards a sample of electricity shifting and reducing behaviors.

We believe these questions are critical to educating the customer about specific actions they can take that might lower their bills. The questions are placed in the most appropriate place (directly after the rate plan structure descriptions) to tie back to the relevant rate structure.

4. Removing the demand charge and monthly service fee sections.

We want to know what customers think about demand charges and monthly service fees and how they affect rate plan option choices. The explanations provided in the survey are likely more than most residential customers would receive if those types of charges were included in a default rate. The questions also allow us to gain insight on whether or not there are some groups of customers that can comprehend and would prefer a demand charge, with even a minimal amount of information.

5. Including explanation of minimum bill.

We introduce the concept of a monthly service fee, which is broad enough to include both a fixed monthly charge and a minimum bill charge. We used fixed monthly charge in the actual example, as it is simpler to explain than minimum bill. We believe the insights we get on fixed customer charge will be directionally relevant to minimum bill charge.