

From: Sher, Nicholas
Sent: 2/8/2013 11:51:50 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE)
Cc: Kraska, David (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5); Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov); Reiger, J. Jason (Jonathan.Reiger@cpuc.ca.gov); Rosauer, Michael (michael.rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov);
Redacted Bone,
Traci (traci.bone@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: PG&E Responses to Questions re NERC Alert

Great, thank you. Anytime next week should work.

From: Allen, Meredith [MEAE@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, February 08, 2013 11:30 AM
To: Sher, Nicholas
Cc: Reiger, J. Jason; Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"; Rosauer, Michael; Kraska, David (Law); Redacted
Bone, Traci
Subject: Re: PG&E Responses to Questions re NERC Alert

Hi Nicholas,

Red will contact you to set up a time to drop off the flash drive.

We will also follow up on the TO14 testimony and can definitely set up a meeting to discuss.

Thanks,
Meredith

On Feb 8, 2013, at 1:30 PM, "Sher, Nicholas" <nicholas.sher@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> Hi Meredith,

>

> Please have the flash drive delivered to me at Redacted.

>

> In addition, I note that the dollar amounts provided are different than the amounts listed in PG&E's testimony in its TO 14 case at the FERC. Perhaps we should have a meeting to discuss why the amounts are different? (I am not sure that I am comparing apples to apples).

>

> Thanks,
> Nicholas

>

> From: Allen, Meredith [MEAE@pge.com]
> Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 1:21 PM
> To: Sher, Nicholas; Reiger, J. Jason; Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"; Rosauer, Michael
> Cc: Kraska, David (Law); Redacted
> Subject: PG&E Responses to Questions re NERC Alert

>

> Nicholas,

>

> Below are the responses to questions 2, 3 and 5. I revised the questions for 2 and 3 based on our back and forth regarding what we could provide.

- >
- > Please let me know if you have questions or need additional information.
- >
- > Thanks,
- > Meredith
- >
- >
- > 2. Please provide an estimate of the cost per mile of discrepancy identification?
- >
- > PG&E Response: The estimated cost per mile associated with identifying the discrepancies is approximately \$1900/mile for assessment work in 2011, 2012 and 2013. This estimate includes the costs per mile regardless of whether the costs were capitalized or expensed. This includes the cost to LiDAR survey, prepare PLS CADD models and verify the results in the field.
- >
- > 3. Please provide the costs to mitigate the discrepancies identified to date?
- >
- > PG&E Response: The attached document provides by circuit the capital costs incurred for identification and mitigation work conducted on circuits once discrepancies were identified. In certain situations, capital costs were not incurred due to the fact that the mitigation activity did not require installation of a capital unit (e.g. retensioning a conductor). In these instances, the costs were accounted for as an expense and have not been separately tracked.
- >
- > 5. The spreadsheet listed a number of discrepancies, but did not describe those discrepancies in detail. If PG&E has detailed findings, please provide the detailed findings.
- >
- > PG&E Response: Through the NERC 2011 and 2012 assessments, PG&E evaluated 354 circuits. Except as noted below, for each of these circuits there is a document that describes the feet to structure and/or feet to ground at particular temperatures. PG&E is providing documents for circuits even if discrepancies were not identified. We have compiled these documents on a flash drive that we can hand deliver. Please let us know who we should have delivered to. Please note these documents are confidential. For 1 circuit in Priority 1 and 2 circuits in Priority 2, this document was not prepared because the scope of ongoing construction work encompassed the same analysis required by NERC and therefore the analysis was completed through the project already underway.
- >
- >
- >
- >
- > PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
- > To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>