Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Residential Rate OIR Rate Design and Bill Impact Analysis Model

User Guide

Version 4.0 3/8/2013 FINAL




Table of Contents

Table of Co	ontents1
Overview	
Methodolc	
Descript	ion of Inputs and Running Instructions5
"Summa	ry" Tab5
Rate [Design Inputs (Non-TOU and TOU)6
•	Current Rate Date6
•	2 Tier Rate Ratio
•	# of Tiers
•	Baseline Allowance Percent7
•	Baseline Allowance from the Sample (Do not use the percent input)7
•	Tier-3 to Tier-4 Delta (cents/kWh)7
•	Tier-4 to Tier-5 Delta (cents/kWh)7
•	Tier 1 Increase (Over Current)7
•	Tier 2 Increase (Over Current)7
•	Minimum Charge imposed in lieu of Customer Charge7
•	Minimum Charge Applicable to Delivery Charge only7
•	Customer Charge (\$/Mo.)7
•	Fixed Charge Break Point (kW)7
•	Fixed Charge High Demand (\$/Mo.)
•	Fixed Charge Low Demand (\$/Mo.)8
•	CARE Discount for Tier-1, Cust. Chg., Demand Chg. & Min. Bill Amt
•	CARE Discount for Tier-28
•	CARE Discount for Tier-3 and above
•	Income Based Discount 100% of Poverty Level or Below
•	Income Based Discount 100% to 200% of Poverty Level
•	Income Based Discount 200% to300% of Poverty Level

•	Frozen CARE T1/T2
•	Use Existing CARE Tier-3 Rate
•	Apply Income Based Discount Instead of Tier Based CARE Disc8
Addit	ional TOU Rate Design Specific Inputs8
•	Number of TOU Periods8
•	TOU Rate Percentage Differential: On-Peak; Partial-Peak
•	TOU Rate Percentage Differential: Partial-Peak, Off-Peak9
•	TOU Baseline Credit in Cents per kWh9
•	Flat non-TOU Tier-19
Runni	ng Instructions9
Ste	p 1 - Update Current Rate Bill9
Ste	p 2 – Update Cost Based Bill
Ste	p 3 – Update Baseline Quantity9
Ste	p 4 – Update Income Based Discount Calculation
Ste	p 5 - Calculate TOU Rates
Ste	p 6 - Calculate Non-TOU Rates9
	p 7 - Update the Various Rate and Bill Impact Tables provided in the Tool to reflect Non-TOU
	es
	p 8 - Update the Various Rate and Bill Impact Tables provided in the Tool to reflect TOU rates 10
Rate S	Summary Tables
1)	Resulting Non-TOU Residential Rates10
2)	Resulting TOU Residential Rates10
Avera	ge Rate Impact Summary Tables12
1)	Rate Impact Summary by Zone12
2)	Rate Design Measure Table12
Total	Usage by Baseline Territory13
"Detaile	d Input" Tab13
Basic	Inputs for Calculation tab13
•	Percent Non-Residential Usage of the Total Usage Less CARE and Streetlights13
•	Billions
•	Minimum Charge (\$/month)14

	٠	Missing Income Replacement	14
	•	Max Customer Monthly Ave Usage	14
	Numb	per of hours per time period	
	PRISM	1 Models Hours by Period	14
	Tiereo	Rate for Energy Conservation Calculation (\$/kWh)	14
	Elastic	city Input for non-TOU Energy Conservation Estimation	
	Elastic	city Input for TOU Energy Conservation Estimation	
	Bill Im	pact Classification Percent	
	Perce	nt of Sample Classification	14
	Load I	Factor Range	14
	Incom	ne Range	
	Curre	nt Rates Data	
	Input	s (Intermediate)	14
	•	Basic inputs	
	•	Non TOU Tier Collapsing Criteria	15
	٠	Seasonal TOU Price Ratios	15
	•	Revenue Requirement Prior to Subsidy Allocation	15
	•	Generation Charge for "Minimum Bill on Delivery Charge Only" Calculation	15
	•	Fair Cost Rate Input (\$/kWh)	15
	٠	Elasticity Based Usage Adjustment Factors	15
	٠	Coincident Load Factor Averages	15
	•	Non-Coincident Load Factor Averages	
	•	Time Of Use (TOU) kWh split by zone	
	•	Average Usage Quantity (kWh per Day) by zone	
	•	Medical Allowance Baseline Quantity (kWh per month)	
Тоо	l Outpu	ıts	15
u	PGE-Bil	l-Impact-Output-1" Tab	
u	PGE-Bil	l-Impact-Output-2" Tab	
"	PGE-Bil	l-Impact-Output-3" Tab	
"	PGE-Ra	te-Efficiency-Output" Tab	16
"	PGE-Bil	l-And-Revenue-Study \$" Tab	16
u	Correla	tion" Tab	17
			3 Page
			コートロビビ

"Cost-Based-Rate-Drivers" Tab	17
"Energy Conservation" Tab	17
Other Tabs	
Definitions	
Appendix A1: "PG&E Bill Impact-Output-1" Tab	20
Appendix A2: "Bill Impact Output-2" Tab	21
Appendix A3: "Bill Impact Output-3" Tab	22
Appendix B: "Rate-Efficiency-Output" Tab	23
Appendix C: "PGE-Bill-And-Revenue Study" Tab	
Appendix D: "Correlation" Tab	25
Appendix E: "Cost-Based-Rate-Drivers" Tab	28
Appendix F: "Energy Conservation" Tab	29
Changes Made to the Model since the Last Version (Dec 2012)	30
Changes Added in February 2013 Version	
Changes Added in March 2013 (FINAL) Version	

Residential Rate OIR Rate Design and Bill Impact Analysis Model User Guide

Overview

The Electric Bill Calculation Tool provides users with a tool that can be used to evaluate the residential rate and customer bill impacts of several rate structures when compared to rates set at **Cost-of-Service** levels. Specifically, the rate scenarios that may be evaluated in this tool includes:

- 1) Customer Charges (Single or Split Demand-Based)
- 2) Minimum Charges
- 3) Flat Rates
- 4) Tiered Rates (Two Tiers or Multiple Tiers)
- 5) TOU^1 Rates with Baseline Credits

Once rate scenarios have been run, several outputs are provided showing comparative rate and bill impacts as they relate to Cost-Based, **Current**, TOU and various non-TOU rates. Information is also provided showing: 1) correlations between Usage and Income for PG&E customers in several geographic areas; and 2) estimated energy consumption changes resulting from a move from an Inclining Block Rate design to a Flat Rate design and from a Flat Rate design to TOU rates.

Methodology

Description of Inputs and Running Instructions

"Summary" Tab – Manual inputs to the Tool are made in the Summary tab. The Summary tab also contains summary tables showing resulting residential rate impacts based on the inputs.

Inputs Field – The Inputs Field is used to make all manual inputs to the Tool. Inputs are made to set user-specified conditions for various residential rate scenarios (see Figure 1).

Note: The rate and bill impacts provided in this Tool will only utilize appropriate inputs. For example, if a single-tier (i.e. Flat) rate design is designated, any specified tier differentials will be ignored.

Update Current Rate Bill	Update Co	ost Based Bill
Rate Design Calculate Non TOU Rates	Inputs Non TOU and TOU Current Rate Date == 2 Tier Rate Ratio ==	
Update Non TOU Reports	# of Tiers ≕ Baseline Allowance Percent ≕	
Baseline Allowance from the sa	mple (Do not use the percent input) =:	> No 👻
	Update Bas	seline Quantity
	Tier-3 to Tier-4 Delta (cents/kWh) = Tier-4 to Tier-5 Delta (cents/kWh) =	
	T1 Increase (Over Current) =	
	T2 Increase (Over Current) =	
		078
Minimum Charge in	nposed in lieu of Customer Charge 🖃	> No
Minimum Charge A	applicable to Delivery Charge Only	> Yes 👻
	Cust Charge \$/Mo. =	> 10.00
	Fixed Charge High Demand \$/Mo. \Rightarrow	>
	Fixed Charge Low Demand \$/Mo. =:	>
	Fixed Charge Break Point kW 🖃	> 3 00
CARE Discount for Tier-1, Cust. (Chg., Demand Chg. & Min. Bill Amt. ≕	
	CARE Discount for Tier-2 ⇒	
	ARE Discount for Tier-3 and Above =	
	unt 100% of Poverty Level or Below =	
	ount 100% to 200% of Poverty Level =: ount 200% to 300% of Poverty Level =:	
	Frozen CARE T1/T2 =	Provide the set of the set of the providence of the set of the
Update Income Based Discount Calculation	Use existing CARE Tier-3 rate =	
Apply Income Based Discou	Int Instead of Tier Based CARE Disc →	
CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	Rate Design Specific Inputs	
Number of TOU Periods =>	niteresinitessesere en	3 😽
TOU Rate Percent Differential: On-peal	k to Part-peak =>	30%
TOU Rate Pct. Differential: Part-peak to	o Offpeak (N/A if 2 TOU periods) =>	30%
TOU Base Line Credit in cents per kWh	1 =>	1.00
Flat Non-TOU Tier-1 =>		No
Calculate TOU Rates		
Update TOU Reports		

Figure 1

Rate Design Inputs (Non-TOU and TOU)

- *Current Rate Date* The date on which Current Rates became effective.
- *2 Tier Rate Ratio* The tier differential that will be applied to Tier-1 and Tier-2 rates in a two-tier rate design. For example, a 20 percent ratio would result in a Tier-2 rate that is 20 percent higher than the Tier-1 rate.

- *# of Tiers* Dropdown box allows the user to choose a tiered rate design that incorporates from 1 up to 5 rate tiers.
- **Baseline Allowance Percent** The percentage of residential electricity use that occurs at, or below, the baseline allowance amount (i.e., tier-one usage). Dropdown box allows the user to choose from between 40 and 55 percent (the current baseline allowance percentage).
- **Baseline Allowance from the Sample (Do not use the percent input)** Setting this to "Yes" will mean that the model will use the baseline quantity data from the sample. The percent input will be ignored by the model when designing rate any structure scenarios.
- *Tier-3 to Tier-4 Delta (cents/kWh²)* The absolute cent-per-kWh differential that is applied to rate tiers 3 and 4 when the number of tiers specified in the "# of Tiers" dropdown box is greater than three.
- *Tier-4 to Tier-5 Delta (cents/kWh³)* The absolute cent-per-kWh differential that is applied to rate tiers 4 and 5 when the number of tiers specified in the "# of Tiers" dropdown box is greater than three.
- *Tier 1 Increase (Over Current)* The percentage by which to increase the current Tier-1 rate. This input is used when the number of tiers specified in the "# of Tiers" dropdown box is greater than two.
- *Tier 2 Increase (Over Current)* The percentage by which to increase the current Tier-2 rate. This input is used when the number of tiers specified in the "# of Tiers" dropdown box is greater than two.
- *Minimum Charge imposed in lieu of Customer Charge* Dropdown box allow the user to specify "Yes" or "No." A minimum charge applied to any bill for monthly kWh usage up to a given level. For example, assume a minimum charge of \$4.00 and an electric rate of \$0.10 per kWh. A minimum charge of \$4.00 would be apply to any use up to 40 kWh (\$0.10 per kWh x 40 kWh = \$4.00). The per-kWh rate would apply directly to any use in excess of 40 kWh. For instance, assuming monthly use of 41 kWh, a customer would pay \$4.10 (\$0.10 per kWh x 41 kWh = \$4.10).

Note: When "Yes" is designated for a Minimum Charge, a Customer Charge will not apply.

- *Minimum Charge Applicable to Delivery Charge only* Selecting "Yes" will be meaningful only if minimum charge is chosen in lieu of customer charge. In that case, generation charge will be excluded by the model when applying the minimum bill amount criteria.
- Customer Charge (\$/Mo.) Fixed Monthly Customer Charge amount.
- *Fixed Charge Break Point (kW)* The user-defined kW threshold at which a Fixed Monthly Customer Charge amount for high-demand customers will be applied. Customers with demand levels below the threshold will pay the Fixed Monthly Customer Charge amount for low-use customers. This input is utilized when a Split Demand-Based Customer Charge rate design is designated.
- Fixed Charge High Demand (\$/Mo.) Fixed Monthly Customer Charge amount for high-

² Kilowatt Hour

³ Kilowatt Hour

use customers (used for a Split Demand-Based Customer Charge rate design).

- *Fixed Charge Low Demand (\$/Mo.)* Fixed Monthly Customer Charge amount for low-use customers (used for a Split Demand-Based Customer Charge rate design).
- *CARE Discount for Tier-1, Cust. Chg., Demand Chg. & Min. Bill Amt.* The rate discount percentage applied to the Tier-1 usage rates, customer charge, minimum bill amount and fixed demand charge amount paid by customers qualifying for low income rate discounts.
- *CARE Discount for Tier-2* The rate discount percentage applied to the Tier-2 usage rates paid by customers qualifying for low income rate discounts.
- *CARE Discount for Tier-3 and above* The rate discount percentage applied to the Tier-3 and above usage rates paid by customers qualifying for low income rate discounts.
- *Income Based Discount 100% of Poverty Level or Below* The rate discount is applied to the customer falling within the 100% of federal poverty level based on income.
- *Income Based Discount 100% to 200% of Poverty Level* The rate discount is applied to the customer falling between the 100% and 200% of federal poverty level based on income.
- *Income Based Discount 200% to300% of Poverty Level* The rate discount is applied to the customer falling between the 200% and 300% of federal poverty level based on income.
- *Frozen CARE*⁴ *T1/T2* Click to put a check in the box. A checked box will freeze CARE Tier-1 and Tier-2 rates at their current levels.
- *Use Existing CARE Tier-3 Rate* Click to put a check in the box. A checked box will freeze the CARE Tier-3 rate at its current level.
- *Apply Income Based Discount Instead of Tier Based CARE Disc* Click to put a check in the box. A checked box will cause the model to set the tier-based CARE discount to zero internally, and use income based discount instead.

Additional TOU Rate Design Specific Inputs

- *Number of TOU Periods* User can choose either two or three TOU periods. If three TOU period is chosen, then the TOU period is defined as on-peak, part-peak and off-peak based on PG&E's existing E-6 rate schedule. If two TOU period is chosen, then the model will treat the part-peak period and the off-peak period together as the off-peak period.
- *TOU Rate Percentage Differential: On-Peak; Partial-Peak* The percentage rate differential that is applied to on-peak and part-peak rates. For example, assuming a 50 percent differential, the on-peak rate would be 50 percent higher than the part-peak rate.
- *TOU Rate Percentage Differential: Partial-Peak, Off-Peak* The percentage rate differential that is applied to part-peak and off-peak rates.. For example, assuming a 40 percent differential, the part-peak rate would be 40 percent higher than the off-peak rate. If two TOU period based rate design is intended, then this ratio is set to 1 internally by the model.
- TOU Baseline Credit in Cents per kWh A credit applied to bills calculated for

⁴ CARE - California Alternative Rates for Energy

customers utilizing TOU rates. The credit is applied on a per kWh basis for electric usage <u>up to</u> a customer's baseline allowance. For instance, assuming a monthly baseline allowance of 500 kWh, a customer with monthly use of 400 kWh would receive a credit equal to the specified per-kWh baseline credit times 400 kWh, a customer with monthly use of 500 kWh would receive a credit equal to the specified per-kWh baseline credit times 500 kWh, and a customer with use in excess of 500 kWh would receive a credit limited to the specified per-kWh baseline credit times 500 kWh.

• *Flat non-TOU Tier-1* – Selecting "Yes" will cause the model to design a flat non-TOU Tier-1 rate.

Running Instructions

Once the necessary inputs have been made to run a given rate scenario, rate calculations are accomplished as follows:

- *Step 1 Update Current Rate Bill* Click on "Update Current Rate Bill" button located above the Inputs area of the tab after selecting the current rate date, and updating the inputs in the "Detailed Inputs" tab.
- *Step 2 Update Cost Based Bill* Click on "Update Cost Based Bill" button located above the Inputs area of the tab after updating customer data in "Customer Data" tab, and the inputs in the "Detailed Inputs" tab.
- Step 3 Update Baseline Quantity Click on "Update Baseline Quantity" button located inside the Inputs area of the tab after updating the selection of baseline allowance (Baseline Allowance Percent or baseline quantity from the sample).
- **Step 4 Update Income Based Discount Calculation** Click on "Update Income Based Discount Calculation" button located inside the Inputs area of the tab if "Apply Income Based Discount Instead of Tier Based CARE Disc" option is clicked.
- *Step 5 Calculate TOU Rates* Click on the "Calculate TOU Rates" button located in the Inputs area after providing the inputs in this ("Summary") tab.
- **Step 6 Calculate Non-TOU Rates** Click on the "Calculate Non-TOU Rates" button located in the Inputs area after providing the inputs in this ("Summary") tab..
- Step 7 Update the Various Rate and Bill Impact Tables provided in the Tool to reflect Non-TOU rates – Click on the "Update Non-TOU Reports" button located in the Inputs area of the tab.
- Step 8 Update the Various Rate and Bill Impact Tables provided in the Tool to reflect TOU rates – Click on the "Update TOU Reports" button located in the Inputs area of the tab.

Rate Summary Tables

Two rate summary tables are provided in the "Summary" tab.

1) Resulting Non-TOU Residential Rates (see Figure 2).

Information includes:

- Recorded Non-CARE and CARE 2011 sales by rate tier
- Percentage of 2011 sales by rate tier
- Current rates by tier
- Estimated Non-TOU Rates by tier
- Customer Charge
- Split Demand-Based Customer Charge

		Result	ting Flat Rate		
Non-CARE	Tier	Forecast Sales (GWh)	% of Sales	Jul-12 Rate	Flat Rate Rate
	1	13.23	62%	12.8	17.6
	2	2.45	11%	14.6	17.6
	3	3.22	15%	29.6	17.6
	4	1.59	7%	33.6	17.6
	5	0.85	5%	33.6	17.6
	Cust \$/M	0.0	0.0		
		harge High Demand		0.0	0.0
		harge Low Demand	\$/Mo.	0.0	0.0
	Min Cha	rge \$/Mo.		4.5	0.0
CARE	Tier	Sales (GWh)	% of Sales	Jul-12 Rate	Flat Rate Rate
	1	5.41	69%	8.3	14.1
	2	0.85	11%	9.6	14,1
	3	1.00	13%	12.5	14.1
	4	0.41	5%	12.5	14.1
	5	0 19	2%	12.5	14.1
	Cust \$/M	ю.		0.0	0.0
		harge High Demand		0.0	0.0
	Fixed CI	harge Low Demand	\$/Mo.	0.0	0.0
	Min Cha	rge \$/Mo.		3.6	0.0

Figure 2

2) Resulting TOU Residential Rates (see Figure 3).

Information Includes:

- Non-CARE and CARE Forecast Sales by TOU period
- Percentage of sales by peak period
- Estimated Seasonal TOU rates

- o On-Peak
- Partial-Peak
- o Off Peak
- Customer Charge
- Split Demand-Based Customer Charge

	Resulting	TOU Rate		
Ion-CARE	Period Si	Forecast ales (GWh)	% of Sales	Rate
Tier-1	Summer On-Peak	1.31	6.0%	15.8
	Summer Part-Peak	1.40	7.0%	15.8
	Summmer Off-Peak	3.62	17.0%	15.8
	Winter Part-Peak	0.79	4 0%	15.8
	Winter Off-Peak	5.82	27.0%	15.8
Tier-2	Summer On-Peak	0.92	4.0%	26.1
	Summer Part-Peak	0.94	4.0%	20.1
	Summmer Off-Peak	2 36	11.0%	16.8
	Winter Part-Peak	0.49	2,0%	16.1
	Winter Off-Peak	3.71	18%	16.8
	Cust \$/Mo.			5.0
	Fixed Charge High Demand \$/Mo.			0.0
	Fixed Charge Low Demand \$/Mo.			0.0
	Min Charge \$/Mo.			0.0
			% of	
CARE		ales (GWh)	Sales	Rate
Tier-1	Summer On-Peak	0.60	8%	11.8
	Summer Part-Peak	0.59	7%	11.8
	Summmer Off-Peak	1.47	19%	11.8
	Winter Part-Peak	0.31	4%	11.8
	Winter Off-Peak	2.34	30%	11.8
Tier-2	Summer On-Peak	0.32	4%	20.9
	Summer Part-Peak	0.31	4%	16.1
	Summmer Off-Peak	0.75	1036	13.4
	Winter Part-Peak	0.13	2%	12.9
		1.03	12%	13.4
	Winter Off-Peak	1.9.3		
	Winter Off-Peak Cust \$/Mo.			3.8
				3.8 0.0
	Cust \$/Mo.			

Figure 3

Average Rate Impact Summary Tables

A summary table is provided in the "Summary" tab showing: 1) Average Rate Impact Summaries by Zone; and 2) Rate Design Measures (see Figure 4) 1) Rate Impact Summary by Zone

Information includes:

- Non-CARE and CARE average system-wide and baseline territory average rate impacts
 - Cost-Based Rates
 - o Current Rates
 - Proposed Non-TOU Rates
 - Proposed TOU Rates
- 2) *Rate Design Measure Table* The Rate Design Measures Table provides the following information as it relates to Current Rates, Non-TOU Rates and TOU Rates (see Figure 5):
 - Residential CARE Subsidy (M\$)
 - Non-Residential Estimated CARE Subsidy (M\$)
 - Effective CARE Discount Percentage
 - Percentage of Fixed Cost Recovery⁵
 - Percent Fixed Cost Not Recovered⁶

⁵ The percentage of total fixed costs that are recovered through a given level of fixed charges.

⁶ This represents the percentage of total costs that are NOT collected through fixed charges. In the example shown in Figure 4, no costs are collected through fixed charges. Accordingly, one can conclude that 22 percent of total costs are fixed costs. If all fixed costs (i.e., 22 percent of total costs) were collected through a fixed charge(s), the" Fixed Cost Recovery Deviation from Cost" would be 0 percent.

	NON	CARE		
Baseline	Cost Based	Jul-12	Proposed Non-TOU	Proposed TOU
Region	Rate	Rate	3-Tier Rate	Rate
Q	14.8	17.2	17.3	17.
т	16.8	18.2	18.2	17.
\vee	16.6	16.5	15.8	17.
×	17.0	18.0	1.7.7	17.
S	17.5	18.1	17.7	17.
p	16.7	16.5	16.5	17.
R	17.3	18.1	17.5	17.
W	18.3	17.8	17.4	17.
Y	15.7	15.6	15.9	17.
Z	21.2	14.2	16.7	17.
Non-CARE Customers	17.1	18.0	17.7	17.
Baseline	CA Cost Base	Jul-12	Proposed Non-TOU	Proposed TOU
Region	Rate	Rate	3-Tier Rate	Rate
Q	N/A.	N/A	N/A	NV
, V	16.4	9.4	13.8	13.
× ×	18.4	9.1	13.0	13.
s	17.3	9.1 9.6	13.0 13.9	13.
27 27	15.8	9.0	12.5	13
r R	15.8	9.3	12.0	13.
W	17.5	9.4	13.2	13.
v*• V	17.1	5.4 8.7	11.3	13.
z	N/A	0.7 N/A	11.3 N/A	13. N/
CARE Customers	17.1	9,3	13.3	13.
	ANNE IT, IC ADDI.	047 s. 344	ville till a vill	alicer's
ate Design Measures	Cu	rrent Rate Levels	Non-TOU 3-Tier Rate	του
and the second secon	ntial CARE Subsidy (SM) => -5	627.003.686 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	259,000,00
Residential CARE subsidy funded by no		438,902,580		181,300,00
	ective CARE Discount % =>	48%	20%	18
Percent of Revenue Requirement met by		0%	5.96	5

Figure 4

There are two additional Rate Design Measure table similar to the Figure 4 table, that can be used to show the non-TOU and TOU rate impacts separately.

Total Usage by Baseline Territory

A summary table is provided in the "Summary" tab showing total usage (GWh) for non-CARE and CARE customers by PG&E baseline territory.

"Detailed Input" Tab – Various inputs that may be needed for rate design are provided by the user in this tab.

Basic Inputs for Calculation tab

- Percent Non-Residential Usage of the Total Usage Less CARE and Streetlights This is normally 70% for PG&E.
- *Billions* This is used as a common billion divisor or multiplier as necessary in the model.

- *Minimum Charge (\$/month)* The minimum monthly charge will be used by the model if "Minimum Charge in Lieu of Customer Charge" option is chosen in the "Summary" tab..
- *Missing Income Replacement* User can choose the replacement of annual income for the model to estimate bill to income ratio when this data is missing.
- *Max Customer Monthly Ave Usage* This is normally 2000 for PG&E customers.

Number of hours per time period

These inputs are used in the "Energy conservation" tab.

PRISM Models Hours by Period

These inputs are used in the "Energy conservation" tab.

Tiered Rate for Energy Conservation Calculation (\$/kWh)

These inputs are used in the "Energy conservation" tab to calculate estimate energy conservation.

Elasticity Input for non-TOU Energy Conservation Estimation These inputs are used in the "Energy conservation" tab.

Elasticity Input for TOU Energy Conservation Estimation These inputs are used in the "Energy conservation" tab.

Bill Impact Classification Percent

These bill impact range inputs are used in the "PGE Bill Impact Output-1" tab to report the results in a desired level of granularity. It is also used in the "PGE Bill Impact Output-2" tab.

Percent of Sample Classification

These ranges are used in the "PGE Bill Impact Output-1" tab to report the results in a desired level of reporting granularity.

Load Factor Range

These load factor range inputs are used in the "PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-3" tab to report the bill impact results at a desired level of load factor ranges.

Income Range

These range inputs are used in the "PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-3" tab to report the bill impact results at a desired level of income ranges.

Current Rates Data

These inputs are based on the respective advice letters and are used by the model to determine current rate based bill amount, and the revenue collection by various rate design scenarios. The naming of these inputs are intended to explain what these are.

Inputs (Intermediate)

- Basic inputs These inputs require updating based on the sample data used in this model.
- Non TOU Tier Collapsing Criteria These inputs are used in defining how the tiers would

be collapsed when designing a two, three or a four tiered rate structure.

- *Seasonal TOU Price Ratios* User can change these ratios to get a desired level of seasonal price differentiation.
- **Revenue Requirement Prior to Subsidy Allocation** If calibration to current rate based revenue collection is desired then these inputs should be driven by respective data from "Revenue Summary" tab. Alternatively, the user can choose a different set of inputs. However, the resulting rates will not be comparable to the current rates in that case.
- *Generation Charge for "Minimum Bill on Delivery Charge Only" Calculation* These inputs are used as estimates of the generation charge that should be outside the minimum charge when such option is chosen.
- *Fair Cost Rate Input (\$/kWh)* These inputs are used for cost based bill amount calculation. The naming for these inputs are intended for explaining what these are.
- *Elasticity Based Usage Adjustment Factors* The usage (kWh) adjustment factors can be provided as inputs for non-TOU and TOU rate designs in two tables.
- *Coincident Load Factor Averages* These inputs are used to replace missing values.
- Non-Coincident Load Factor Averages These inputs are used to replace missing values.
- Time Of Use (TOU) kWh split by zone These inputs are used to replace missing values.
- Average Usage Quantity (kWh per Day) by zone These inputs are used to calculate baseline quantity based on baseline percent chosen by the user.
- *Medical Allowance Baseline Quantity (kWh per month)* This input is used to calculate baseline quantity based on baseline percent chosen by the user.

Tool Outputs

"PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-1" Tab – Bill impact information is provided in tabular and graphic form in this tab. The information is segmented based on levels of percentage bill impacts that will be experienced by customers. A dropdown box is used to show bill impacts specific to Non-CARE, CARE or All Customers (see Appendix A).

Data Source: 2009 RASS sample merged with 2011 recorded usage.

The information provided in the bill impact tables includes:

- Bill Percentage Change Groups
- Number of Customers in Each Group
- Percentage of Customers in Each Group
- Average Monthly Kwh Use of Customers in Each Group
- Average Load Factor of Customers in Each Group
- Average "On-Peak" Percentage of Customers in Each Group
- Average Current Rates for Customers in Each Group
- Average Proposed Rates for Customers in Each Group
- Average Percentage Rate Change for Customers in Each Group
- Average Current Bills for Customers in Each Group
- Average Proposed Bills for Customers in Each Group

• Average Bill Change for Customers in Each Group

"PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-2" Tab – Bill impact information is provided in tabular form in this tab. The information is segmented based on levels of percentage bill impacts and dollar bill impacts that will be experienced by customers.

"PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-3" Tab – This tab shows the bill impacts by load factor ranges and income ranges.

"PGE-Rate-Efficiency-Output" Tab – This tab shows various rates and percentage rate changes from Current Rates (see Appendix B). The information is presented in tabular and graphic form based on average kWh usage levels.

The information shown in the rate tables includes:

- Average Monthly Usage-Level Categories
- Average Cost-Based Rate by Usage Level
- Average Current Rates by Usage Level
- Average Non-TOU Rate by Usage Level
- Average TOU Rate by Usage Level
- Cost-Based Rate Percentage Change from Current Rates
- Proposed Non-TOU Rate Percentage Change from Current Rates
- Proposed TOU Rate Percentage Change from Current Rates

"PGE-Bill-And-Revenue-Study \$" Tab – This tab shows the difference in monthly average bills and annual revenue recovery when cost of service is compared to current and optional rate designs (see Appendix C). The information is segmented based on average kWh usage levels.

The information shown in the tables in this tab includes:

- Average Monthly Usage-Level Categories
 - Cost-Based Rates
 - Current Rates
 - Non-TOU Rates
 - TOU Rates
 - Current, Non-TOU and TOU Average Monthly Bill Differences when Compared to Cost-Based Rates
- Total Annual Revenue by Average Monthly Usage Levels
 - Cost-Based Rates
 - Current Rates
 - Non-TOU Rates
 - TOU Rates
 - Current, Non-TOU and TOU Total Annual Revenue Differences when Compared to Cost-Based Rates

"Correlation" Tab – This tab includes instructive content related to the correlation between usage and income (see Appendix D).

The information provided in the tab includes:

- Chart Showing Correlation Between Usage and Income for: 1) All Customer; 2) Non-CARE Customers; and 3) CARE Customers in Several Geographic Areas
 - Coast
 - Hills
 - Inner Valley
 - Outer Valley
 - PG&E Service Territory
- Scatter Graphs Showing
 - Correlation = 0 (No Correlation)
 - Correlation = 1 (Full Correlation)
 - Correlation .23 (Low Correlation)
 - Income Vs. Usage for Non-CARE and Care Households
 - Subsidization by Lower Income Customers Due to Low Correlations
- Tables showing Income versus Usage levels for Non-CARE and CARE Customers
- Tables showing subsidization resulting from lack of correlation

"**Cost-Based-Rate-Drivers**" **Tab** – This tab shows the major Electric Rate cost components along with their cost-based allocations (see Appendix E).

The information provided in the tab includes:

- Cost Components
 - Generation Energy Charges by Season and Peak Period (i.e., On-Peak, Partial-Peak, Off-Peak)
 - Generation Capacity Cost
 - Transmission Capacity Cost
 - Primary/Secondary Distribution Capacity Costs
 - Customer Access Charge
 - Other Fixed Charges
- Marginal Cost of each Component
- Allocation Methodology for each Component
- Graphic Depiction of Annual Generation and Transmission Capacity Cost Profiles

"Energy Conservation" Tab – This tab shows estimated consumptions changes when moving from one rate design to another (see Appendix F).

The information provided in the tab includes:

• Tables Showing Estimated Annual KWh Consumption Changes for Non-CARE and CARE

Customers When Moving from:

- Current (Inclining Block) Rates to Flat Rates
- Flat Rates to TOU Rates

Other Tabs – There are several other tabs in this model as described below.

- Calculation tabs: There are eight calculation tabs in this model used for rate design and reporting calculations. Users are not supposed to make any changes in these tabs.
- Input Intermediate tab: This tab is hidden and is used by the model to preprocess the input data
- Load Factor Summary: The load factor summary tab contains data that are used for missing value replacement. These data were generated using a SAS program. User can choose to either use these values, or use other appropriate missing values by providing those in the "Detailed Input" tab.
- Revenue Summary: This tab has Advice Letter specific data corresponding to the current rate dates provided in the "Detailed Input" tab.

Definitions


Cost-Based Rates – Rates based on costs that are largely consistent with 2011 General Rate Case (GRC) data. The **revenue requirement** used in calculating rates is consistent with 1011 GRC Phase-II submission, adjusted for the 2009 RASS sample merged with 2011 usage data.

Cost of Service – Customer class cost of service allocaton that is alligned with marginal cost allocation principles.

Current Rates – Currently effective residential rate designs and/or rate levels.

Split Demand-Based Customer Charges – Fixed monthly customer charges that vary depending on customers' levels of electric (kW) demand.

Appendix A1: "PG&E Bill Impact-Output-1" Tab



Percent Bill Impact

Non TOU 3-1	ots	NonCARE Customers										
Impact	Customer		Average		Average Cer	nts/kWh	<i></i>	Monthly 5			Average Bill (o Income Ratio
Percent Range	Number	Percent	Monthly - kWh	Load Factor	Jul-12	Proposed	Change	Jul-12	Proposed	Change	Jul-12	Proposed
Below -20%	11,411	0%	565	20%	23.04	17.34	-25%	130.11	97.93	(32.18)	2.2%	1.5%
> -20% to -15%	44,854	1%	1,861	19%	28.27	23.26	-18%	526.05	432.88	(93.18)	4.4%	3.6%
> -15% to -10%	172,210	5%	1,139	17%	23.90	20.97	-12%	272.12	238.72	(33.40)	2.9%	2.6%
> -10% to -5%	327,422	10%	871	16%	21.25	19.65	-8%	185.18	171.17	(14.01)	2.2%	2.1%
> -5% to 0%	407,567	12%	755	15%	18.25	17.80	-2%	137.80	134.42	(3.37)	1.8%	1.7%
> 0% to 5%	487,970	15%	608	14%	16.19	16.59	.2%	98.37	100.77	2.39	1.2%	1.2%
> 5% to 10%	627,612	19%	454	13%	14.29	15.36	7%	64.85	69.67	4.82	0.8%	0.9%
> 10% to 15%	627,873	19%	335	12%	13.17	14.74	12%	44.07	49.32	5.25	0.7%	0.8%
> 15% to 20%	221,243	7%	229	10%	13.10	15.33	17%	30.01	35.13	5.11	0.5%	0.5%
> 20% to 25%	139,640	4%	173	11%	13.03	15.93	22%	22.52	27.52	5.00	0.4%	0.5%
> 25% to 30%	94,096	3%	1,42	10%	12.98	16.48	27%	18.40	23.35	4.95	0.3%	0.4%
> 30% to 35%	71,916	2%	119	10%	13.09	17,39	33%	15.63	20.77	5.14	0.2%	0.3%
> 35% to 40%	31,337	1%	81	9%	13,79	19.04	38%	11.17	15.41	4.25	0.2%	0.3%
> 40% to 45%	29,811	1%	64	8%	14.81	21.08	42%	9.43	13.43	4.00	0.2%	0.2%
> 45% to 50%	29,791	1%	197	10%	13.02	19.10	47%	25.68	37.68	12.00	0.5%	0.7%
> 50% to 55%	8,575	0%	70	11%	13.21	20.03	52%	919	13.94	4.75	0.1%	0.2%
> 55% to 60%	6,315	<u></u> 0%	65	12%	13.12	20.52	56%	8.55	13.37	4.82	0.1%	0.2%
> 60% to 65%	2,244	0%	53	- 8%	13.81	22.36	62%	7.26	11.75	4,49	0.1%	0.1%
> 65% to 70%	2,442	0%	41	13%	14.96	25.01	67%	6.15	10.28	4.13	0.1%	0.2%
> 70% to 75%	2,903	035	48	9%	13.51	23.25	72%	6,49	11.17	4.68	0.1%	0.1%
> 75% to 80%	2,095	0%	50	10%	12.97	22.78	76%	6.52	11.46	4.94	0.1%	0.2%
> 80% to 85%	1,048	0%	26	20%	17.49	32.27	85%	4,50	8.31	3,81	0.2%	0.4%
> 85% to 90%	433	0%	40	9%	13.65	25.35	85%	5.46	10.14	4.68	0.1%	0.1%
> 90% to 95%	1,121	0%	- 34	8%	14,38	27,43	91%	4.93	9.40	4,47	0.1%	0.1%
Above 95%	1,622	0%	37	20%	13.16	26.42	101%	4.85	9.73	4.88	0.1%	0.2%
Group Total	3,353,549	100%	530	13%	17.95	17.65	-2%	95.23	93.63	(1.60)	1.3%	1.3%

Similar to the non-TOU rate impact shown above, this tab has TOU rate impact results as well.

Appendix A2: "Bill Impact Output-2" Tab


		1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1
マ い い い い い い い い い い い い い い い い い い い	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
572 572 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
а алана алана ал		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			1000 000 000 1000 00
5 · · · · · · · 22 · #§ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
	100 11 100 110		Sector Se
		5000 State S	A constraint of the second sec
	546 S	54 6 8 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1000 - 10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1995年19月1日 1995年19月1日 1995年19月1日 1995年1月 1995 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005		
1997年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997			And a second sec
	1000 - 10	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 A state of the sta	 	and the second s
11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1778 1778 1778 1778 1778 1778 1778 1778		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	······································	 ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3		SHIT 2
2 4 4			
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		and the second s	
		ality of Land A. Yue 	
51 - 52		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	£
	60% 60% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% 86	11月1日 - 11月1000000000000000000000000000000000	tota conservations and the second sec
60.65 10.01 10	A Construction of the cons	Constant of the second	
	14,4,15, 14,4,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,15,		
Approprie			
Appendix A3	Bill Impact Output - 3		 All All All All All All All All All All
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	- Min 4 Min 4 Min 4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1999年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997年1997

PG&E Bill Impact Tables by Load Factor and Income Ranges

Load Factor Description	Load Factor Range	Avg. Percent Non TOU Bill Impact	Avg. Current Bill	Avg. Non TOU Bill	Avg. Cost Based Bill	Avg. Percent TOU Bill Impact	Avg. TOU Bill	Number of Households
Non CARE								
Low	0 to 25%	-8%	95.05	87.10	90.88	-7%	88.27	3,276,526
Medium	25% to 40%	-28%	179.28	129.52	101.73	-27%	131.43	70,879
High	Above 40%	44%	28.24	40.53	36.48	42%	39.97	6,144
Non CARE Average CARE		e*Q.	96.71	87.91	91.01	-8%	89.10	3,353,549
Low	0 to 25%	51%	46.79	70.52	87.47	53%	71.77	1,202,126
Medium	25% to 40%	37%	76.95	105.56	100.22	41%	108.30	63,527
High	Above 40%	41%	53.60	75.84	68.05	42%	76.16	2,378
CARE Average		50%	48.31	72.29	88.07	52%	73.61	1.268.031
All Customers								
Low	0 to 25%	1%	82.10	82.65	89.96	2%	83.84	4,478,652
Medium	25% to 40%	-10%	130.91	118.19	101.02	-8%	120.50	134,406
High	Above 40%	43%	35.31	50.38	45.29	42%	50.07	8,522
All Customers Avera	de	0%	83.43	83.62	90.20	2%	34.85	4.621.580

Income Range	Avg. Percent Non TOU Bill Impact	Avg. Current Bill	Avg. Non TOU Bill	Avg. Cost Based Bill	Avg. Percent TOU Bill Impact	Avg. TOU Bill	Number of Households
Non CARE							
0 to 30K	-23%	66.53	51.54	74.87	-22%	52.23	351,895
30K to 60K	-2%	74.39	73.27	81.48	-1%	74.02	875,392
60K to 75K	-4%	97.32	93.33	96.61	-2%	95.02	464,643
75K to 100K	-5%	95.58	91.17	90.73	-3%	92.40	596,618
100K to 500K	-14%	125.39	107.77	101.88	-13%	109.24	1,065,002
Non CARE Average	-9%	96.71	87.91	91.01	-8%	89,10	3,353,549
CARE							
0 to 30K	38%	40.48	55.68	78.96	39%	56.42	694,134
30K to 60K	55%	53.20	82.64	94.19	58%	84.19	404,439
60K to 75K	64%	73.10	120.22	113.89	70%	124.10	62,731
75K to 100K	78%	55.78	99.46	98.05	82%	101.31	67,939
100K to 500K	62%	84.48	136.47	128.23	67%	140.73	38,788
CARE Average	50%	48.31	72.29	88.07	52%	73.61	1,268,031
All Customers							
0 to 30K	10%	49.24	54.29	77.58	12%	55.01	1,046,029
30K to 60K	13%	67.70	76.23	85.50	14%	77.23	1,279,831
60K to 75K	2%	94.44	96.53	98.66	4%	98.48	527,374
75K to 100K	1%	91.51	92.02	91.48	2%	93.31	664,557
100K to 500K	-12%	123.95	108.78	102.81	-11%	110.35	1,103,790
All Customers Average	0%	83.43	83.62	90.20	2%	84.85	4,621,580

Appendix B: "Rate-Efficiency-Output" Tab



		Cost of Ser	vice vs. Current a	and Proposed R	ate Designs		
Average Monthly Usage	Average Cost (cents/kWh)	Average Current Rate (Cents/kWh)	Proposed Avg. Non TOU Flat Rate (Cents/kWh)	Proposed Avg. TOU Flat (Cents/kWh)	Percent Change- Current	Percent Change- Proposed Non TOU Flat Rate	Percent Change Proposed TOU
100	51.15	13.71	16.76	16.51	-73%	-67%	-68%
200	28.45	11.97	16.71	16.37	-58%	-41%	-42%
300	22.51	11.64	16.38	16.21	-48%	-27%	-28%
400	19.50	12.44	16.66	16.45	-36%	-15%	-16%
500	17.69	12.95	16.52	16.44	-27%	-7%	-7%
600	17.38	13.48	16.36	16.49	-22%	-6%	-5%
700	16.16	15.67	16.67	16.75	-3%	3%	4%
800	16.41	16.19	16.65	16.87	-1%	2%	3%
900	16.09	17.51	16.87	17.09	9%	5%	6%
1000	15.04	17.85	16.72	16.80	19%	11%	12%
1100	14.93	18.43	16.83	17.10	23%	13%	15%
1200	13.96	20.62	17.12	17.27	48%	23%	24%
1300	14.39	18.35	16.63	16.89	28%	16%	17%
1400	13.39	19.52	16.66	16.64	46%	24%	24%
1500	13.07	16.80	15.94	16.01	29%	22%	23%
1600	14,50	19.71	17.16	17.59	36%	18%	21%
1700	12.62	23.82	17.23	17.25	89%	37%	37%
1800	11.87	21.20	16.52	16.49	79%	39%	39%
1900	13.00	21.14	16.81	16.74	63%	29%	29%
2000	11.94	22.41	16.35	16.05	88%	37%	34%

There are separate charts for non-TOU and TOU rate designs as well in this tab.

Version 4.0 3/08/2013 FINAL

onthly Av	verage Bill		Difference from Cost				
Average nthiy Usage	Average Cost	Average Current	Average Non TOU Flat Rate	Average TOU	Current	Non TOU Flat Rate	TOU
100	\$32.47	\$8.70	\$10.64	\$10.48	(\$23.77)	(\$21.83)	(\$21.99)
200	\$43.83	\$18.43	\$25.74	\$25.22	(\$25.39)	(\$18.09)	(\$18.60)
300	\$56.22	\$29.06	\$40.90	\$40.48	(\$27.15)	(\$15.31)	(\$15.74)
400	\$58.30	\$43.58	State (18.34 State)	\$57.60	(\$24.72)	(69,96)	(\$10.70)
500	\$78.43	\$57.40	\$73.24	\$72.86	(\$21.03)	(\$5.19)	(\$5.57)
600	195 17	\$72.01	589 57	\$90.22	(\$21.36)	(85.50)	(\$4,88)
700 800	\$104.55	\$101.37	\$107.81	\$108.37	(\$3.19)	\$3.26	\$3.81
900	\$136.17	8121.08 \$148.18	\$142.75	\$144.62	(\$1.50)	\$1.86	
1000	5130.17	5140.10 6170.17	3142.70	5144.0Z	\$12.01	\$6.58	\$3.45
1100	\$155.90	\$192.38	\$175.76	\$178.56	\$36.48	\$19,86	\$22.66
1200	8459.33	3752.50	5197.48	8197.73	0.00.40	\$10.00	522.00
1300	\$180.29	\$229.99	\$208.35	\$211.69	\$49.70	\$28.06	\$31.40
1400	11111	5262.20	5223.78	2000-00-5223 44 Selected	182.35	543.92	\$43.56
1500	\$190.28	\$244.56	\$231.99	\$233.11	\$54.28	\$41.71	\$42.83
1600	\$221.65	\$301.19	\$262.32	\$262.79	579.64	\$40.77	547.24
1700	\$205.52	\$387.96	\$280.65	\$280.96	\$182.44	\$75.13	\$75.44
1800	\$207.12	\$369.98	\$288.18	\$287.70	\$162.86	\$81,06	\$50.59
1900	\$240.22	\$390.46	\$310.53	\$309.26	\$150.25	\$70.31	\$69.04
2000	\$294.30	\$553.58	\$463.96	\$396.44	\$258.68	\$109.06	\$101.65
Totai	\$90.20	\$82.28	\$87.53	\$82.28	(\$7.91)	(\$2.67)	(\$7.91)


Appendix C: "PGE-Bill-And-Revenue Study" Tab

Annual Ave	rage Revenue					Difference from Cost	
Average			Non TOU Flat Rate			Non TOU Flat Rate	
Monthly Usage	Cost Based	Current Total	Total	TOU Total	Current Total	Total	TOU Total
100	\$69,387,243.00	\$18,594,402.13	\$22,738,620.93	\$22,399,544.40	(\$50,792,840.87)	(\$46,648,622.07)	(\$46,987,698.60)
200	5233 (884,244,04	\$98,376,403,03	\$137,358,129,28	\$134 597 404 02	(\$135,507,844,01)	(\$96,526,114,76)	(\$99.286.940.02)
300	\$363,133,283.84	\$187,736,888.60	\$264,230,973.18	\$261,474,507.65	(\$175,396,395.24)	(\$98,902.310.65)	(\$101.658,776.19)
400	\$672,490,892,89	\$429,092,426,63	8574 407 020 29	5567 122 052 45	(\$243 398 466 26)	(\$98.083.072.60)	(\$105.368.840.45)
500	\$568,400,293.52	\$415,988,566.07	\$530,785,555.22	\$528,034,143.50	(\$152,411,727.44)	(\$37,614,738.29)	(\$40.366.150.02)
600	\$601.964,878.96	\$466.862.557.31	0566.641.260.94	5571.097.824.48	(\$135 102 321 65)	(\$35,423,618,03)	(\$30,867,054,49)
700	\$520,333,818.82	\$604,467,850.55	\$536,546,338.99	\$539.309,255.53	(\$15,865,968.27)	\$16,212,520.17	\$18,975,436.71
800	\$505,088,921,02	\$408,568,032,00	9512,733 062,77	\$519,420,149,67	(\$5,520,888,69)	\$7.644.141.76	\$14,331,228.65
900	\$376,341,553.82	\$409,531,012.41	\$394,536,902.06	\$399,694,298.93	\$33,189,468.69	\$18,195,348.24	\$23.352,745.10
1000	5206 286 181 88	\$244,800.075.09	\$229,201,209,60	\$230,385,107,23	538,513,893,20	\$22,915,027,62	\$24,099,005,34
1100	\$188,871,319,48	\$233,068,589.43	\$212,933,400.30	\$216,328,911.16	\$44,197,269.95	\$24,062,080.81	\$27,457,591.68
1200	\$173.040.976.34	\$264 619 362 79	\$219,587,135,78	\$221,556,379,47	\$85,478,376,45	\$40,646,169,35	\$42,515,403,12
1300	\$109,665,532.50	\$139,899,603.69	\$126,734,212.32	\$128,765,772.93	\$30,233,971.19	\$17,068,679.82	\$19,100,240.43
1400	\$101.824,355.27	\$148,446,522,64	\$126 692 234 94	\$126,484,182,12	\$46,622,167,36	\$24,867,879,66	\$24,959,826,84
1500	\$83,541,287.62	\$107,373,199.04	\$101,855,353,11	\$102,345,290.50	\$23,831,911.43	\$18,314,065.60	\$18,804,002.88
1600	\$33,341,009,25	\$45,326,784,76	\$33,478,585,07	\$40,450,568,85	\$11,985,775,50	36 136 575 82	\$7 109 549 39
1700	\$30,953,570.13	\$58,431,475.72	\$42,268,745.29	\$42,315,118.15	\$27,477,905.59	\$11,315,175.15	\$11,361,648.02
1800	512.966,154.29	523,161,717,65	\$18 040 884 67	\$18 011 124 49	\$10,185,583,35	\$5,974,730,38	55.044.970.20
1900	\$10,245,123.75	\$16,653,010.23	\$13,243,721.76	\$13,189,572.24	\$6,407,886.48	\$2,998,598.01	\$2,944,448.49
2000	3134,476,191,43EE	\$252,435,884,71	\$184 207 696 25	\$180,782,356,56	\$117,959,693,28	\$49:731 494 82	\$46,306,385,12
Total	\$4,961,403,151	\$4,527,486,254	\$4,814,750,860	\$4,824,198,940	(\$433,916,898)	(\$146,652,292)	(\$137,204,211)

Data Shows Income Is A Poor Predictor of Usage **Correlation Between Usage and Income**




-High income households have a high usage.



Income data have been obtained from RASS 2009 sample. Customers who qualify for CARE program due to their income and The correlation charts are illustrative only based on the assumption that income and usage are log-normally distributed number of households have been considered as CARE customers.

Note



"High usage households are defined to have Tier-3 and above usage in all 12 months of 2009. The remaining customers are defined to be Low Usage households. Customers who qualify for CARE program due to their income and number of household members. been considered as CARE customers. NOTE

Lack of Correlation Causes Subsidization By Lower Income Households

₽•Many high	n-income custom	ers pay below th	e cost.		
pendix	Income	Annual Usage (kWh)	Annual Bill Amount	Annual Cost Based Amount	Under Payment
	175,000	6,596	\$805	\$1,074	(\$269)
"Cost	125,000	5,740	\$674	\$935	(\$261)
	125,000	5,468	\$636	\$891	(\$254)
Based	125,000	6,924	\$879	\$1,128	(\$249)

•At the same time, many low-income customers pay above the cost.

Income	Annual Usage (kWh)	Annual Bill Amount	Annual Cost Based Amount	Over Payment
55,000	12,675	\$2,631	\$2,065	\$567
55,000	13,988	\$3,099	\$2,279	\$821
67,500	15,384	\$3,612	\$2,506	\$1,106
45,000	15,147	\$3,717	\$2,467	\$1,250

•In 2009,

•approximately 20% of the "low income" households over paid. There are approximately 30% "low income" households

•approximately 34% of the "high income" households have overpaid, which means that about 66% of the high income households have under-paid. There are approximately 23% 'high income" households.

Note


These are basic, full service customers from climate zone "S" (Contra Costa, Yolo, Solano and San Joaquin counties). All of them are under E-1 rate schedule and do not qualify for CARE program (based on their household income and number of household members). March 1, 2009 rates have been used to calculate Annual Bill Amount. Residential average rate as of Mar 1, 2009 (\$0.16289/kW/h) has been used to calculate Annual Cost Based Amount.

"Cost-Based-Rate-Drivers" Tab

SB

_GT&S_0049156

		C	ost Basis		
Cost Components		Marginal Cost	Unit	Allocation	Other
Generation Energy Charge	Summer, on-peak	5.89	Cents/kWh	Volumetric	
	Summer, part-peak	5.41	Cents/kWh		
	Summer, Off-peak	3.95	Cents/kWh		
	Winter, on-peak	5.35	Cents/kWh		
	Winter, off-peak	4.09	Cents/kWh		
Generation Capacity Cost	Annual	109.32	\$/kW-yr	Allocated to summer months.	Reserve margin = 15%
Transmission Capacity Cost	Annual	71.13	\$/kW-yr	Allocated to summer months	Line Loss = 7%
Distribution Capacity Cost	Primary		\$/kW-yr	Allocated equally to all months	Line Loss = 5.3%
	Secondary	1.37	\$/kW-yr	Allocated equally to all months	Line Loss = 5.3%
~				•••	
Customer Access Charge			\$/year	Allocated equally to all months	
Other Fixed Charge		103.71	\$/year	Allocated equally to all months	



Appendix F: "Energy Conservation" Tab

The results below are ba	y Conservation Estin used on 100% volumetric rat							
Energy Conservat	ion Current Rate	Flat Rate	TOU Rate					
Jsage , kWh	29,201,592,102	27,766,749,871	27,759,897,422					
Conserved kWh		1,445,842,232	1,441,694,680					
Percent conserved		4.95%	4.94%					
5-Tiered Rates			Flat	Rate		TOU Rate		
an a	NonCARE	CARE	CANADA STRAND CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER O	HE WORKS AND		NonCARE	CARE	
Tier-1	\$0.12845	\$0.08316	\$0.17568	\$0.14054	Summer, on-peak	\$0.31609	\$0.25287	
Tier-2	\$0.14602	\$0.09563	\$0.17568	\$0.14054	Summer, part-peak	\$0.21073	\$0.16858	
Tier-3	\$0.29561	\$0.12474	\$0.17568	\$0.14054	Summer, off-peak	\$0.15052	\$0.12042	
Tier-4	\$0.33561	\$0.12474	\$0.17568	\$0.14054	Winter, part-peak	\$0.16858	\$0.13487	
Tier-5	\$0.33561	\$0.12474	\$0.17568	\$0.14054	Winter, off-peak	\$0.15052	\$0.12042	
Consumption C	hange: Current F	Rate Design to	Flat Rate					
Ion-CARE								
Tier	Current Rate Based usage (kWh/yr)	Current Rate (\$/kWh)	New price (\$/kWh)	Change in price (%)	Price elasticity	Change in quantity (%)	Flat Rate usage (kWh/yr)	Change in usage (kWh/yr)
1	13,233,792,276	\$0.12845	\$0.17568	36.8%	0.20	-7.4%	12,260,620,935	(973,171,34
2	2,454,588,914	\$0.14602	\$0.17568	20.3%		-4.1%	2,354,875,521	(99,713,39
3	3,219,633,696	\$0.29561	\$0.17568	-40.6%		8.1%	3,480,879,096	261,245,39
	1,592,558,742	\$9.33561	\$0.17568	-47.7%	-6.25	9.5%	1,744,341,734	161,782,99
5	846.108,407	\$0.33561	\$0 17568	-47 7%	0.20	3.5%	926,748,991	80,640,58
Total	21,346,682,036					-2.71%	20,767,466,276	(579,215,76
CARE		2620 100 0-4-				0		<u>61</u>
Tier	Current usage (kWh/yr)	2020 IBR Rate (\$/kWh)	New price (\$/kWh)	Change in price (%)	Price elasticity	Change in quantity (%)	New usage (kWh/yr)	Change in usage (kWh/yr)
1	5,410,384,731	\$0.08316	\$0.14054	69.0%		-13.8%	4,663,714,797	(746,669,934
2	845,817,186	\$0.09563	\$0.14054	47.0%		-9.4%	766.368.513	(79,448,67)
3	1,598,708,149	\$0.12474	\$0.14054	12.7%	0.25	-2.5%	1,558,200,285	(40,507,86
Total	7,854,910,066					-11.03%	6,988,283,595	(866,626,47
Consumption C	hange: Flat Rate	to TOU Rate						
Ion CARE Summer						:		
unmer	Hours per season	Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour)	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)	Consumption Change (%)	New Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Change in usage (kWh/season)
Peak		2,188,205,954	2,849,227	\$0.17568	\$0.316090	-10.14%	1,966,425,976	(221,779,97)
Partial-Peak	100 C	2,583,548,119	2.696,814	\$0.17568	\$0.210727	-2.54%	2,617,817,302	(65,730,81
Off-Peak	2.855	5,481,096,765	2,037,582	\$0.17568	\$0.150519	4.24%	5,713,480,313	232,383,541
[otal	4,416	10,252,850,838					10,197,723,589	(55,127,24
Vinter								
	Hours per season	Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour)	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)	Consumption Change (%)	New Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Change in usage (kWh/season)

	Hours per season	season (kWh/season)	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour)	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)	Consumption Change (%)	usage per season (kWh/season)	Change in usage (kWh/season)
Partial-Peak		1,207,355,706	3,168,913	\$0.17568	\$0.16858	-1.43%	1,190,030,425	(17,325,281)
Off-Peak	3 963	9,307,259,731	2,348,539	\$0.17568	\$0.15052	0.82%	9,384,005,728	76,745,996
Total	4,344	10,514,615,438					10,574,036,153	59,420,715
CARE Summer								
Sammer							Maxy Cyntemas	
	Hours per season	Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour)	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)	Consumption Change (%)	New Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Change in usage (kWh/season)
Peak		season	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour) 1,020.041	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)		usage per season	
Peak Partial-Peak		season (kWh/season)	hour (kWh/hour)	Old Nate (arkivit)		Change (%)	usage per season (kWh/season)	(kWh/season)
		season (kWh/season) 783,391,621	hour (kWh/hour)	\$0.14054 \$0.14054	\$0.25287	Change (%) -10.08%	usage per season (kWh/season) 704,425,402	(kWh/season) (78,966,219)

OTH GUN		5 170AL 1040 1100 1	 I V V (kola II 	00.14004			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mary PM T. Marke
Total	4,416	3,595,926,113					3,576,591,635	(19,334,477
Winter								
	Hours per season	Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Customer usage per hour (kWh/hour)	Old Rate (\$/kWh)	New Rate (\$/kWh)	Consumption Change (%)	New Customer usage per season (kWh/season)	Change in usage (kWh/season)
Partial-Peak	281	385,856,433	1,012,747	\$0.14054	\$0.13487	-1.44%	380,312,173	(5,544,260
Off-Peak	1 000	3,006,501,049	758,643	50.14054	\$0.12042	0.82%	3,031,233,871	24,732,823
Total	4,344	3,392,357,482					3,411,546,044	19,188,562

Several model input flexibilities, rate design and reporting features have been added to the model since the last version submitted to Energy Division. These are listed below.

Changes Added in February 2013 Version

- 1. Baseline quantity can be now chosen from 40% to 55% in the increment of 1% in addition to the using the baseline quantity from the sample data.
- 2. Model now provides the model users ability to model a rate design scenario with a non-TOU baseline rate, and un-tiered TOU rates for usage above the baseline.
- 3. CARE discount can be of separate values for tiers 1, 2 and 3. Customer charge, minimum bill and fixed demand charges will be subject to tier-1 CARE discount.
- 4. All feasible tier collapsing, for non-TOU two, three or four tiered rate structure scenarios are now user defined.
- 5. Minimum bill amount can now be applicable to delivery charges only, if so desired by the model user. User can also choose to apply minimum bill amount to the total bill amount.
- 6. The rate design results are reported now by baseline territory, and the aggregation at "Coast", "Hill", "Inner Valley" and "Outer Valley" have been removed.
- 7. A reporting tab "Bill Impact Output-2" has been added. In this tab, the customer counts are reported at 25 different groups across percent bill impact and dollar bill impact dimensions for non-CARE and CARE customers separately for both non-TOU and TOU rate design scenarios.
- 8. A "Load Factor Output" reporting tab has been added that shows the impacts disaggregated into a low, med, and high load factor levels.
- 9. Cost Base Revenue Requirement input in the "Detailed Input" tab formula has been changed to correctly display the revenue requirements used by the model for rate design, while zeroing out the column that is not used.

Changes Added in March 2013 (FINAL) Version

- 1. The "Guideline" tab has been updated to reflect the modifications since the December version.
- 2. Distribution "New Business" Marginal Cost has been added as an input in the "Detailed Inputs" tab. This marginal cost will now be used along with the Distribution "Primary" cost in the cost based bill amount calculation.
- 3. The "Other Cost" component can now be partially or fully a volumetric cost. The user can choose the percent of "Other Cost" that should be treated as volumetric (\$/kWh). This is possible for CARE and non-CARE customers separately.
- 4. The calculation of Current Bill amount has been modified to use the "Baseline Allowance Percent" chosen by the user, rather than defaulting it to the historical baseline data.
- 5. Percent of Sample choice in the "Detailed Inputs" tab has been updated.
- 6. Description for the elasticity estimates has been added in the "FlattoTOUCalc-Summer" tab.
- 7. Energy Conservation calculation has been linked to model runs so that the update of the

energy conservation estimate for the non-TOU rate structure take place automatically.

- 8. Print formatting of the output and input tabs have been provided.
- 9. A separate table to show bill impact by income range has been added in "PGE-Bill-Impact-Output-3" tab.
- 10. In the "Detailed Inputs" tab, the input cells have been color coded with yellow. If the user chooses to change the default values of the inputs, then such inputs will automatically be highlighted red. In addition, the default values can be restored by clicking the macro button "Restore Default Detailed Inputs" in this tab.
- 11. User instructions have been added for the tier collapsing criteria in the "Detailed Inputs" tab.
- 12. The choice of "Baseline Allowance Percent" has now been extended from 40% to 55% range to 40% to 60% range.
- 13. An Income based discount mechanism that can be adopted in lieu of the tiered CARE discount has been added in the model. A tab called "IncomeBasedDiscount" has been added to perform calculation for this functionality.

Not	es:					
	_		 		 	
	- 1	1			 	
	_	1			 	
	_					
	-		 		 	
		1	 		 	
	-		 		 	
	_	1			 	
	_		 		 	
		1	 			
	1	1	 		 	
		1	 		 	
	_		 		 	
		1			 	
					3	2 Page