

From: mshames@gmail.com  
Sent: 3/20/2013 5:39:48 PM  
To: Marcel Hawiger (Marcel@turn.org)  
nes@a-klaw.com (nes@a-klaw.com); mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com (mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com); service@cforat.org (service@cforat.org);  
Cc: barbara@barkovichandyap.com (barbara@barkovichandyap.com); ssmyers@att.net (ssmyers@att.net); rl@eslawfirm.com (rl@eslawfirm.com); gxh@cpuc.ca.gov (gxh@cpuc.ca.gov); njohnson@consumercal.org (njohnson@consumercal.org); jfine@edf.org (jfine@edf.org); jkeyes@kfwlaw.com (jkeyes@kfwlaw.com); b.bordiine@d-e-c-a.org (b.bordiine@d-e-c-a.org); rsalter@edf.org (rsalter@edf.org); Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7); faith.mabuhayalliance@gmail.com (faith.mabuhayalliance@gmail.com); jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com (jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com); blaising@braunlegal.com (blaising@braunlegal.com); andykatz@sonic.net (andykatz@sonic.net); gloria.ing@sce.com (gloria.ing@sce.com); jcorralej@lbcgla.org (jcorralej@lbcgla.org); JPacheco@SempraUtilities.com (JPacheco@SempraUtilities.com); SRahon@SempraUtilities.com (SRahon@SempraUtilities.com); akbar.jazayeri@sce.com (akbar.jazayeri@sce.com); bruce.reed@sce.com (bruce.reed@sce.com); scarter@nrdc.org (scarter@nrdc.org); dwooley@kfwlaw.com (dwooley@kfwlaw.com); sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com (sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com); Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com); asmart@svlg.org (asmart@svlg.org); hayley@turn.org (hayley@turn.org); Warner, Christopher (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJW5); lwisland@ucsusa.org (lwisland@ucsusa.org); enriqueg@greenlining.org (enriqueg@greenlining.org); Matthew Freedman (matthew@turn.org)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: R.12-06-013: Request for Extension

SDCAN supports the extension request. Amongst other things, I think intervenors should have an opportunity to not only run models but compare results and work to achieve some degree of consensus upon a limited number of rate plans for consideration. The current schedule precludes that coordination.

Michael Shames

On Wed, Mar 20, 2013 at 3:52 PM, Marcel Hawiger <Marcel@turn.org> wrote:

To: Representatives of Active Parties in R.12-06-013

On May 19 the ALJ issued a Ruling setting May 1 as the due date for rate design proposals, with comments on proposals due June 3. TURN will likely request an extension of these deadlines. The current schedule does not provide sufficient time to a) run the bill calculators, and b) obtain bill impact analyses directly from utilities for rate design options

not supported by the calculators. Additionally, TURN faces other procedural deadlines which limit our work on these issues. TURN will seek an extension of four weeks.

Pursuant to Rule 11.6 TURN seeks input from the 'active' parties concerning your position on the extension request. Please let me know by COB this Friday, if you a) support the proposed extension, b) oppose the proposed extension, c) are neutral, or d) support an extension for a different time period.

Thank you in advance for your responses.

Marcel Hawiger  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK  
115 Sansome Street, Suite 900  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
(415) 929-8876 ex. 311  
(510) 684-1301 (cell)

--

"What if we are all victims of a mass delusion and nothing exists? In that case we definitely overpaid for our carpets." - Woody Allen

Michael Shames  
San Diego Consumers' Action Network  
6975 Camino Amero  
San Diego, CA 92111  
619-393-2224