

From: Franz, Damon A.
Sent: 3/27/2013 3:56:08 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Quick Question on LMEC/Gilroy

Thanks Erik. Do you know how local RA is accounted for in SCE's part of the LMEC contract? Obviously there is local RA value, but SCE doesn't serve this local area, so how is that value accounted for?

I can't tell you whether the Commission will adopt the resolution on April 4. As you know, Commissioners Ferron and Florio have concerns about it. It might be best to meet with them and make your concerns about a hold known.

Damon

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:52 PM
To: Franz, Damon A.
Subject: RE: Quick Question on LMEC/Gilroy

Damon,

Section II.F of the Advice Letter (public) indicates LMEC provides local RA. I believe the Gilroy question refers to SCE. We are not familiar with their contract but understand that Gilroy is a local RA unit.

Have you heard anything about the prospects of the draft resolution getting adopted on April 4? We really need a decision one way or another so that we have time to procure substitute (more expensive) RA if needed for the summer. Our RA filing is due 5/15 and we need to make final commercial arrangements in early April.

Erik

From: Franz, Damon A. [<mailto:damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 10:09 AM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Subject: Quick Question on LMEC/Gilroy

Do those contracts count for local RA?

Thanks

Damon

Damon A. Franz
Supervisor, Emerging Procurement Strategies
Energy Division, CPUC
(415) 703-2165
df1@cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>