From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: 3/15/2013 3:46:22 PM

To: Lakhanpal, Manisha (Manisha.Lakhanpal@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Follow up email - PG&E and MEA's data sharing

You too! I just got a chance to chat with Will over the phone, thanks for reminding me of his arrival in your note.

yours,

sid

From: Lakhanpal, Manisha [mailto:Manisha.Lakhanpal@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 3:20 PM

To: Dietz, Sidney

Subject: RE: Follow up email - PG&E and MEA's data sharing

Thank you for the quick turn-around... have a good weekend.

Manisha Lakhanpal

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Market Structure and Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-5905 | ml2@cpuc.ca.gov

To: Lakhanpal, Manisha; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted
Walker, Cynthia Cc: Tom, Jonathan P.; Kaneshiro, Bruce; Chawla, Taaru; Maguire Subject: RE: Follow up email - PG&E and MEA's data sharing	, William
Manisha –	
Thanks for the note.	
Yes, we are willing to have our IT speak to the issue around the da	ata charina again. I baliaya that aur
IT Director on this issue, John Warnock, spoke to the MEA team in something else up. Re spoke to Dawn earlier today, and I know the customer-data-acquisition case outcome will resolve this issue	January, and we are willing to set hat they discussed this. I hope that
See the note from Chris Warner that I've included below that cites	the rules about data sharing. This is
another issue that came up this morning, and Re Suggested that the Warner to work this out.	the MEA legal team consult with Chris
Let me know if you have any questions. I can be reached at 973-5	5921.
Have a good weekend!	
yours,	
sid	

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 3:11 PM

From: Warner, Christopher (Law) Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 10:55 AM

To: Dietz, Sidney

Cc: Doring, Ernest; Sample, James W.; Knaebel, Steve J; Redacted

Subject: RE: Follow up email - PG&E and MEA's data sharing

Here is the language:

Public Utilities Code 8380(d) requires utilities to "use reasonable security procedures and practices to protect a customer's unencrypted electrical or gas consumption data from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification or disclosure." In addition, CPUC Decision 11-07-056, Attachment D, and Decision 12-08-045, Attachment A, Privacy Rule 6(c)(1) permit a utility to disclose customer energy usage data to a third party without customer consent only (a) "when explicitly ordered to do so by the Commission;" or (b) "for a primary purpose being carried out under contract with or on behalf of the electrical or gas corporation," and in either case, the utility must "by contract, require the third party to agree to access, collect, store, use, and disclose the information under policies, practices and notification requirements no less protective than those under which the [utility] itself operates as required under this rule, unless otherwise directed by the Commission."

PG&E requires its vendors, contractors and other third-parties to undertake a security review and enter into contracts consistent with these statutory and CPUC requirements, including requiring "local government partnership" contractors under its EE programs to complete such privacy security reviews, such as Marin County's "Energy Watch", which completed its privacy security review in July, 2012.

Chris Villareal of the CPUC staff is familiar with these privacy rules and requirements, and PG&E informally consults with him on interpretation issues relating to the rules.

From: Lakhanpal, Manisha [mailto:Manisha.Lakhanpal@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 10:16 AM

To: Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Dietz, Sidney, Redacted Walker,

Cvnthia

Cc: Tom, Jonathan P.; Kaneshiro, Bruce; Chawla, Taaru; Maguire, William

Subject: Follow up email - PG&E and MEA's data sharing

Importance: High

Hi Dawn and Sid.

Thank you for your time yesterday. I just wanted to touch base regarding the data sharing issues.

With regards to Interval Smart Meter data sharing mechanism it is our understanding that both MEA and PG&E will hold a meeting with PG&E's IT team to further streamline the reporting mechanism.

On the issue regarding additional customer data required for energy efficiency programs, could you refer us to the rules/ processes that require entities to undergo "security review" in order to get bundled customer data. I am also including Bruce and Taaru in this conversation as their section handles non-residential energy efficiency programs and will be following this issue.

I would also like to take this opportunity to introduce, Will Maguire, our new CCA analyst in the Market Structure and Design Section. My supervisor Jonathan and I have been working with Will and he is also aware of the issues at hand.

Let us know if you have any questions...

Manisha Lakhanpal

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Market Structure and Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-5905 | ml2@cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/