From: Brown, Carol A.
Sent: 4/24/2013 11:56:36 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: RE:

Truth: we do not like those contracts and do not want to approve them as is - so just getting rid of 1 does not solve the problem. We want different contracts - less MW for less \$. if they want RA only - OK - but not in the CHP solicitation. If they want to be in the CHP - then they get paid only for the MWs we will credit -

This appears to be the direction - have not heard from the big guy. He wants an accommodation - but we need some votes - and that is where the rub is!

-----Original Message-----From: Cherry, Brian K [<u>mailto:BKC7@pge.com</u>] Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2013 11:10 AM To: Brown, Carol A. Subject: Re: RE:

Thx. Ronan is getting worried.

Brian K. Cherry PG&E Company VP, Regulatory Relations 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA. 94105 (415) 973-4977

On Apr 24, 2013, at 10:36 AM, "Brown, Carol A." <carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

>

> ----- Original Message-----

> From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

> Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2013 8:19 AM

> To: Brown, Carol A.

> Subject:

>

> Also re: Los Mendanos, are we still in agreement to keep Los Mendanos for PG&E and Gilroy for Edison ? Just got a note from Calpine who believes that the agreement has gone south.

>

> Brian K. Cherry

> PG&E Company

> VP, Regulatory Relations

> Nothing is decided yet

> 77 Beale Street
> San Francisco, CA. 94105
> (415) 973-4977
>
>
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
> To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/