

From: Prosper, Terrie D.
Sent: 4/1/2013 5:14:46 PM
To: Malashenko, Elizaveta I. (elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Invitation letter from General Hagan

I think win-win sounds great when you explain it Lisa, but in the context of the letter it leaves open to question as to who's winning - it could be interpreted as a win for the CPUC and the utilities, rather than a win for the CPUC and ratepayers. If you think I'm being paranoid you can disregard. But I think it could easily be fixed by just deleting ""win-win"" in the sentence below:

This symposium is an opportunity to establish collaborative relationships to develop "win-win" solutions to the safety challenges we face in these dynamic times. I hope you will join me in this important dialog.

--

Your call.

As I said in an email I just sent, I will get the agenda to both of you tomorrow as soon as I can looking pretty. I assume Liza can take care of putting the invitation on our letterhead.

Do we also want to post these documents on our website?

Thanks!

Terrie

On Apr 1, 2013, at 1:14 PM, "Malashenko, Elizaveta I." <elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Laura - are we planning to have a question/comment session with the audience at the end of every panel? Maybe with people submitting questions in advance or something?

Terrie - how do you want to finalize this? I can accept all changes and send you the final language to put in the template or you can send me the template and I can paste everything in there.

I believe that the best way to describe "win win" in this context is that CPUC and utilities would proactively identify safety issues that need to be solved and figure out the best approaches that maximize safety and minimize ratepayer impacts. A more specific example is settlements, but that's probably not something we need to be mentioning here.

From: Prosper, Terrie D.
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 1:03 PM
To: LRDD@pge.com; Malashenko, Elizaveta I.
Subject: RE: Invitation letter from General Hagan

Thanks, Laura - of course I'm checking email. :)

A few comments:

- 1) The letter says: "... and the public to convene and discuss ways..."
But there is not a public comment session, is there? If not, perhaps it should say that regulators, utilities, and industry experts will convene in a public setting to....
- 2) Can whomever is finalizing put Peevey's middle initial into his name (R.).
- 3) Do you guys know what is being referred to by "win win"?

Thanks!

Terrie

----- Original message -----

From: "Doll, Laura" <LRDD@pge.com>

Date:

To: "Malashenko, Elizaveta I." <elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Prosper, Terrie D." <terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: Invitation letter from General Hagan

Liza and Terrie

Hope you both are enjoying a day away from the office and perhaps NOT checking emails every 5 minutes. (Although I'm guessing Terrie is!)

Attached are just a few small edits to the "final" version of the letter that General Hagan sent to me on Friday. We need to get this letter on CPUC letterhead and I am hoping that Liza can make that happen asap tomorrow morning so we can push send by noon.

Or at least that's my dream . . .

Terrie,

I assume that you will publish some kind of low-key notice about this at some point because we may have more than 2 Commissioners there?

I'm also attaching the current version of the agenda too.

Laura

Laura Doll

Director, Regulatory Relations

lrdd@pge.com

office: 415.973.8663

mobile: 415.828.3739

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>