BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company with Respect to Facilities Records for its Natural Gas Transmission System Pipelines.

Investigation 11-02-016 (Filed February 24, 2011)

RESPONSE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO PG&E'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ALJ'S MARCH 28 RULING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, DIRECTING OTHER PARTIES TO REFILE THEIR OPENING BRIEFS DELETING REFERENCE TO MATERIALS NOT IN THE RECORD OF THIS PROCEEDING

In its Motion for Reconsideration of the ALJ's March 28th Ruling (Motion), PG&E argues that the ALJ should require the City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco) to remove from its Opening Brief citations to the report issued by Independent Review Panel (IRP). PG&E's request is without merit and should be denied.

The IRP was formed by the Commission through Resolution L-403 on September 23, 2010 to "gather facts and make recommendations based on the facts to the Commission as to whether there is a need for the general improvement of the safety of PG&E's natural gas transmission lines, and if so, how these improvements should be made." The IRP issued a report on June 8, 2011. Comments and reply comments on the report were submitted July 15 and July 29, 2011. The IRP is available on the Commission's website and has been referenced numerous times throughout the rulemaking and investigations related to the San Bruno explosion.

In this way, the IRP report is no different from the NTSB report. The ALJ granted official notice of the NTSB report, as requested by PG&E, in her March 28, 2013 e-mail ruling. In granting official notice of the NTSB and CPSD Reports, the ruling notes "[a]Il parties in the proceeding are

familiar with both these reports, and there is no prejudice to CPSD or intervenors in taking notice of them at this late date." The IRP report is in the record in Investigation 12-01-007, like the NTSB and CPSD reports. In addition, PG&E filed comments and reply comments on the IRP report so there is no basis here for a claim of prejudice by PG&E.

Furthermore, judicial notice of the IRP is proper because CPUC Rule 13.9 provides that the Commission may take official notice of "such matters as may be judicially noticed by the courts of the State of California pursuant to Evidence Code section 450 et seq." It is well established under Cal. Evid. Code §452(c) that an official act of the state of California may be judicially noticed and that "reports, and orders of administrative agencies are "official acts" of which a court may take judicial notice." *Rodas v. Spiegel* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 513, 518; *Hogen v. Valley Hospital* (1983) 147 Cal.App.3d 119, 125.

PG&E's argument that the Commission should not judicially notice the IRP because it does not meet the requirements of Cal. Evid. Code §452(h)¹ is without merit. The contents of the IRP report are publicly available and not reasonably subject to dispute. If PG&E wants to draw different conclusions from those contents than has San Francisco, it is free to do so in its reply brief. This argument is further undermined by PG&E's positive statements regarding the report. PG&E's comments on the IRP stated, "Although our review continues, we agree in full spirit with the panel's overall conclusions and in principle with its 18 formal recommendations." (PG&E's Comments on the Independent Review Panel Report filed July 15, 2011 in R.11-02-019 at p.1)

¹ Judicial notice may be taken of the following matters to the extent that they are not embraced within Section 451: Facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy. *Cal. Evid. Code §452(h)*.

For the foregoing reasons, the ALJ should deny PG&E's request to strike the reference to the IRP report from San Francisco's Opening Brief.

Dated: April 10, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
THERESA L. MUELLER
Chief Energy And Telecommunications Deputy
MARGARITA GUTIERREZ
Deputy City Attorney

By: /S/
MARGARITA GUTIERREZ

Attorneys for: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4632

Facsimile:

(415) 554-4763

E-Mail: margarita.gutierrez@sfgov.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, KIANA V. DAVIS, declare that:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is City Attorney's Office, City Hall, Room 234, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 554-4649.

On April 10, 2013, I served:

RESPONSE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO PG&E'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ALJ'S MARCH 28 RULING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, DIRECTING OTHER PARTIES TO REFILE THEIR OPENING BRIEFS DELETING REFERENCE TO MATERIALS NOT IN THE RECORD OF THIS PROCEEDING

by electronic mail on all parties in CPUC Proceeding No. I.11-02-016 on the attached list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 10, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

/S/	
KIANA V. DAVIS	

Email Service List I.11-02-016

StephanieC@greenlining.org kdaly@stinson.com catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com dng@SempraUtilities.com jmh@cpuc.ca.gov rcc@cpuc.ca.gov tbo@cpuc.ca.gov theresa.mueller@sfgov.org tlong@turn.org BKC7@pge.com cpj2@pge.com lhj2@pge.com JMalkin@Orrick.com BCragg@GoodinMacbride.com smeyers@meyersnave.com bmcc@mccarthylaw.com martinhomec@gmail.com ESelmon@Jemzar.com RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com enriqueg@greenlining.org jheckler@levincap.com j7se@pge.com lauren.duke@db.com mchediak@bloomberg.net thnxvm@gmail.com dvanhoogstraten@stinson.com scott.senchak@decade-llc.com mfallon@taloncap.com anjani.vedula@db.com John.A.Apgar@Citi.com andrewgay@arcassetltd.com kfallon@sirfunds.com ted@PointState.com jdangelo@catapult-llc.com mgoldenberg@luminusmgmt.com sunny.kwak@macquarie.com bnaeve@levincap.com NStein@LevinCap.com randall@nexusamllc.com naaz.khumawala@baml.com JLsalazar@SempraUtilities.com SHruby@SempraUtilities.com MFranco@SempraUtilities.com RPrince@SempraUtilities.com angelica.morales@sce.com douglas.porter@sce.com Francis.McNulty@sce.com gcaldwell@sanbruno.ca.gov kfabry@sanbruno.ca.gov cjackson@ci.sanbruno.ca.us rkoss@adamsbroadwell.com mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com austin.yang@sfgov.org

Email Service List I.11-02-016

nsuetake@turn.org bts1@pge.com filings@a-klaw.com ked6@pge.com nes@a-klaw.com sgs@dcbsf.com gburke@ap.org cem@newsdata.com grant.kolling@cityofpaloalto.org bstrottman@meyersnave.com jmullan@meyersnave.com service@cforat.org pucservice@dralegal.org margaret@mfelts.com abb@eslawfirm.com william.wester@smud.org atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com wmc@a-klaw.com rvn@a-klaw.com MD7@cpuc.ca.gov dbp@cpuc.ca.gov kpp@cpuc.ca.gov michael.colvin@cpuc.ca.gov kwt@cpuc.ca.gov ayk@cpuc.ca.gov ako@cpuc.ca.gov caj@cpuc.ca.gov djg@cpuc.ca.gov edd@cpuc.ca.gov cpe@cpuc.ca.gov hym@cpuc.ca.gov kcl@cpuc.ca.gov kab@cpuc.ca.gov mwt@cpuc.ca.gov mlc@cpuc.ca.gov ram@cpuc.ca.gov tdp@cpuc.ca.gov tcr@cpuc.ca.gov ttf@cpuc.ca.gov