

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/5/2013 11:02:12 AM
To: Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted];
[Redacted] [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Data Request re: PG&E AL 4192-E

Bruce,

We are working on our response now and will be back to you very early next week. We would then like to talk to you and make sure you have all the information you need, so the Advice Letter may be issued soon.

We appreciate your efforts on this.

Thanks,

[Redacted]
Principal Regulatory Analyst
Demand Response
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 3:05 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Data Request re: PG&E AL 4192-E

Hi [Redact] and [Re]-

I have some questions about PG&E AL 4192-E:

- PG&E is proposing that certain customers in DBP and CBP will be assigned to a Load Zone. Please provide more details concerning the Load Zones, such as a map of their locations and any other supporting information.

- PG&E is proposing to exempt all existing and new Auto DR DBP participants from locational dispatch. Additionally, PG&E is proposing to exempt from locational dispatchability all current participants that use the aggregated group option. In effect, both of these populations of DBP participants will continue to be dispatched at a system level. Please provide:

- o The current number of customer accounts in DBP and the potential amount of demand response MWs they are anticipated to provide by this summer.

- o The total number of customer accounts in DBP that will be exempt from locational dispatch because they are AutoDR participants, or are in the aggregated group option, or both. Also provide the potential amount of demand response MWs these exempted accounts are expected to provide this summer.

- o The date PG&E is expecting to make all existing and new AutoDR DBP participants locationally dispatchable.

- o PG&E is silent if DBP customers in the aggregated group option will be transitioned to locational dispatchability. Is there a plan to do so?

- PG&E is also proposing to exempt all existing and new Auto DR CBP participants from locational dispatch. In effect, this subset of CBP participants will continue to be dispatched at a system level. Please provide:

- The current number of customer accounts in CBP and the potential amount of demand response MWs they are anticipated to provide by this summer.

- The total number of customer accounts in CBP that will be exempt from locational dispatch because they are AutoDR participants. Also provide the potential amount of demand response MWs these exempted accounts are expected to provide this summer.

- The date PG&E is expecting to make all existing and new AutoDR CBP participants locationally dispatchable.

- PG&E has inserted a new program trigger for locational dispatch if the forecasted temperature for a Load Zone exceeds the threshold temperature for that zone (for both DBP and CBP). PG&E refers to its website for more details about the current thresholds. I have not been able to locate the temperature thresholds on your website. Please provide a document that lists all of the temperature thresholds.

- PG&E submits new language for both CBP (Sheet 9) and DBP (Sheet 2) with regard to Metering and Communications Equipment and Meter Data Access. Describe the extent to which the proposed language for these topics will be impacted by the Commission's final authorization of Rule 24.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this data request. Please provide a response as soon as possible so that progress on this advice letter can continue.

Bruce