

From: O'Rourke, Shannon
Sent: 4/16/2013 11:22:04 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Simon, Sean A. (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Sierra Power

Hi Meredith,

Thanks for checking in with your team on this question, and it looks like we are in agreement that the amendment should not count towards PG&E's long-term contracting requirement and that it should not be treated as a short-term contract given its grandfathered status. The finding in the draft resolution will reflect both these conclusions.

Thanks,

Shannon

--

Shannon O'Rourke
California Public Utilities Commission
Phone: 415.703.5574
Email: shannon.o'rourke@cpuc.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 10:18 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.; O'Rourke, Shannon
Subject: Sierra Power

Sean, Shannon,

I checked with our team and they agree that the amendment should not contribute toward our long-term contracting requirement in the 2011-2013 period, since it is not a new commitment or contract. They also stated that it should not be treated as a short-term contract either given its grandfathered status. D.12-06-038<tel:12-06-038> at 31. Basically, it has no impact on our minimum contracting requirement.

They suggested the following revisions to the FOF: Because the term of the original PPA is greater than 10 years in length and the amendment meets the requirements set forth in Section 399.16(d), the amendment to the PPA is not considered a short-term contract pursuant to the will contribute to PG&E's long-term contracting requirement established in D.12-06-038<tel:12-06-038>.

Please let me know if you need more information or would like to discuss.

Thanks,
Meredith

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>