

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation on the
Commission's Own Motion into the Operations
and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company with Respect to Facilities Records for
its Natural Gas Transmission System Pipelines.

Investigation 11-02-016
(Filed February 24, 2011)

**RESPONSE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO
PG&E'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ALJ'S MARCH 28 RULING OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, DIRECTING OTHER PARTIES TO REFILE THEIR OPENING BRIEFS
DELETING REFERENCE TO MATERIALS NOT IN THE RECORD OF THIS
PROCEEDING**

In its Motion for Reconsideration of the ALJ's March 28th Ruling (Motion), PG&E argues that the ALJ should require the City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco) to remove from its Opening Brief citations to the report issued by Independent Review Panel (IRP). PG&E's request is without merit and should be denied.

The IRP was formed by the Commission through Resolution L-403 on September 23, 2010 to "gather facts and make recommendations based on the facts to the Commission as to whether there is a need for the general improvement of the safety of PG&E's natural gas transmission lines, and if so, how these improvements should be made." The IRP issued a report on June 8, 2011. Comments and reply comments on the report were submitted July 15 and July 29, 2011. The IRP is available on the Commission's website and has been referenced numerous times throughout the rulemaking and investigations related to the San Bruno explosion.

In this way, the IRP report is no different from the NTSB report. The ALJ granted official notice of the NTSB report, as requested by PG&E, in her March 28, 2013 e-mail ruling. In granting official notice of the NTSB and CPSD Reports, the ruling notes "[a]ll parties in the proceeding are

familiar with both these reports, and there is no prejudice to CPSD or intervenors in taking notice of them at this late date.” The IRP report is in the record in Investigation 12-01-007, like the NTSB and CPSD reports. In addition, PG&E filed comments and reply comments on the IRP report so there is no basis here for a claim of prejudice by PG&E.

Furthermore, judicial notice of the IRP is proper because CPUC Rule 13.9 provides that the Commission may take official notice of “such matters as may be judicially noticed by the courts of the State of California pursuant to Evidence Code section 450 et seq.” It is well established under Cal. Evid. Code §452(c) that an official act of the state of California may be judicially noticed and that “reports, and orders of administrative agencies are “official acts” of which a court may take judicial notice.” *Rodas v. Spiegel* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 513, 518; *Hogen v. Valley Hospital* (1983) 147 Cal.App.3d 119, 125.

PG&E’s argument that the Commission should not judicially notice the IRP because it does not meet the requirements of Cal. Evid. Code §452(h)¹ is without merit. The contents of the IRP report are publicly available and not reasonably subject to dispute. If PG&E wants to draw different conclusions from those contents than has San Francisco, it is free to do so in its reply brief. This argument is further undermined by PG&E’s positive statements regarding the report. PG&E’s comments on the IRP stated, “Although our review continues, we agree in full spirit with the panel’s overall conclusions and in principle with its 18 formal recommendations.” (PG&E’s Comments on the Independent Review Panel Report filed July 15, 2011 in R.11-02-019 at p.1)

¹ Judicial notice may be taken of the following matters to the extent that they are not embraced within Section 451: Facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy. *Cal. Evid. Code §452(h)*.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, KIANA V. DAVIS, declare that:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is City Attorney's Office, City Hall, Room 234, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 554-4649.

On April 10, 2013, I served:

**RESPONSE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO
PG&E'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ALJ'S MARCH 28 RULING OR, IN
THE ALTERNATIVE, DIRECTING OTHER PARTIES TO REFILE THEIR OPENING
BRIEFS DELETING REFERENCE TO MATERIALS NOT IN THE RECORD OF THIS
PROCEEDING**

by electronic mail on all parties in CPUC Proceeding No. I.11-02-016 on the attached list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 10, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

/s/

KIANA V. DAVIS

Email Service List I.11-02-016

StephanieC@greenlining.org
kdaly@stinson.com
catherine.mazzeo@swgas.com
dng@SempraUtilities.com
jmh@cpuc.ca.gov
rcc@cpuc.ca.gov
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov
theresa.mueller@sfgov.org
tlong@turn.org
BKC7@pge.com
cpj2@pge.com
lhj2@pge.com
JMalkin@Orrick.com
BCragg@GoodinMacbride.com
smeyers@meyersnave.com
bmcc@mccarthyllaw.com
martinhomec@gmail.com
ESelmon@Jemzar.com
RegRelCPUCcases@pge.com
cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com
enriqueg@greenlining.org
jheckler@levincap.com
j7se@pge.com
lauren.duke@db.com
mchediak@bloomberg.net
thnxvm@gmail.com
dvanhoogstraten@stinson.com
scott.senchak@decade-llc.com
mfallon@taloncap.com
anjani.vedula@db.com
John.A.Apgar@Citi.com
andrewgay@arcassetltd.com
kfallon@sirfunds.com
ted@PointState.com
jdangelo@catapult-llc.com
mgoldenberg@luminusmgmt.com
sunny.kwak@macquarie.com
bnaeve@levincap.com
NStein@LevinCap.com
randall@nexusamllc.com
naaz.khumawala@baml.com
JLsalazar@SempraUtilities.com
SHruby@SempraUtilities.com
MFranco@SempraUtilities.com
RPrince@SempraUtilities.com
angelica.morales@sce.com
douglas.porter@sce.com
Francis.McNulty@sce.com
gcaldwell@sanbruno.ca.gov
kfabry@sanbruno.ca.gov
cjackson@ci.sanbruno.ca.us
rkoss@adamsbroadwell.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
austin.yang@sfgov.org

Email Service List I.11-02-016

nsuetake@turn.org
bts1@pge.com
filings@a-klaw.com
ked6@pge.com
nes@a-klaw.com
sgs@dcbsf.com
gburke@ap.org
cem@newsdata.com
grant.kolling@cityofpaloalto.org
bstrottman@meyersnave.com
jmullan@meyersnave.com
service@cforat.org
pucservice@dralegal.org
margaret@mfelts.com
abb@eslawfirm.com
william.wester@smud.org
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com
wmc@a-klaw.com
rvn@a-klaw.com
MD7@cpuc.ca.gov
dbp@cpuc.ca.gov
kpp@cpuc.ca.gov
michael.colvin@cpuc.ca.gov
kwt@cpuc.ca.gov
ayk@cpuc.ca.gov
ako@cpuc.ca.gov
caj@cpuc.ca.gov
djg@cpuc.ca.gov
edd@cpuc.ca.gov
cpe@cpuc.ca.gov
hym@cpuc.ca.gov
kcl@cpuc.ca.gov
kab@cpuc.ca.gov
mwt@cpuc.ca.gov
mlc@cpuc.ca.gov
ram@cpuc.ca.gov
tdp@cpuc.ca.gov
tcr@cpuc.ca.gov
ttf@cpuc.ca.gov