

DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

# Report on the Results of Operations for Pacific Gas and Electric Company General Rate Case Test Year 2014

# Electric Distribution Capital Expenditures Part 1 of 2

San Francisco, California May 3, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

١.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
II.	SUM	MARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	2
III.	COR	NERSTONE	5
IV.	GENE	ERAL DISCUSSION	6
	A.Bac	kground for Capital Expenditures	6
	B.201	3 and 2014 Escalation	7
	C.Fun	ctional Dollars	8
	D.UC	Cs and MWCs	8
	E.Ove	erview of Electric Distribution Capital Adjustments	9
V.	DEFE	RRED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	11
VI.	DISC	USSION / ANALYSIS OF DRA'S ADJUSTMENTS	18
	A.MW	/C 07 – Install/Replace Overhead Poles	19
	B.MW	/C 10 – Work at the Request of Others	24
	1.	New Business Related WRO Expenditures	26
	2.	High-Speed Rail	26
	3.	Conclusions	27
	C.MW	/C 16 – New Business	27
	1.	Residential Expenditures	33
	2.	Non-Residential Expenditures	34
	3.	PEV Related Expenditures	34
	4.	Transformer Purchases	35
	5.	Transformer Scrapping	36
	6.	Conclusions	37
	D.MW	/C 06 – Distribution Line Capacity	37
	1.	MWC 06B – Overhead Transformers	39
	2.	MWC 06E – Complete Mainline Loops	40
	3.	Conclusions	41
	E.MW	/C 46 – Distribution Substation Capacity	41
	F. MW	/C 48 – Substation Replacement of Other Equipment	44
	G.MW	/C 54 – Distribution Transformer Replacements	47

H.MWC 08 – Distribution Reliability Base	50
1. Overhead Conductor Replacement Program	52
2. Line Recloser Revolving Stock	53
3. Conclusions	54
I. MWC 49 – Reliability Circuit / Zone	54
J. MWC 56 – Replacement of Underground Assets	58
1. Traditional MWC 56 Expenditures	60
2. TGRAM/TGRAL Switch Replacements	62
3. Conclusions	64
K.MWC 30 – Rule 20A	64
APPENDIX A	71
APPENDIX B	73

# 1 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

#### 2 I. INTRODUCTION

This exhibit presents the analyses and recommendations of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) regarding Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) General Rate Case (GRC) forecasts of Electric Distribution capital expenditures for 2012 through Test Year 2014. This exhibit corresponds to various chapters in Exhibit PG&E-4.

8 Electric distribution capital expenditures include plant investment in electric 9 meters, distribution substations, underground cables, and replacing/reinforcing 10 poles. Electric distribution capital includes projects to construct or modify facilities 11 for the distribution of electricity, projects to construct or modify substations to 12 transform transmission voltage to a lower distribution voltage, and projects to 13 improve distribution system capacity and reliability (including aging infrastructure 14 issues).

PG&E's electric distribution system serves approximately 5.4 million customers.<sup>1</sup> Its service territory stretches from Eureka to Bakersfield, and from the Pacific Coast to the Sierras. To provide electric service to this large geographic area, PG&E maintains approximately 2.2 million poles,<sup>2</sup> over 720 distribution substations,<sup>3</sup> and 140,000 miles of overhead and underground distribution lines.<sup>4</sup>

- 1 Exhibit PG&E-4, page 11-3, line 13.
- **2** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 6-2, line 29.
- <sup>3</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 11-3, line 12.
- 4 Exhibit PG&E-4, page 11-3, line 12.

1 The dollar amounts presented in this exhibit reflect capital expenditures. 2 As will be discussed later, this exhibit does not specifically address PG&E's 3 capital additions, which are automatically calculated by the Results of 4 Operations (RO) computer model based on the capital expenditures and 5 completion dates that are loaded into it. 6 Section II of this exhibit presents a summary of DRA's recommended 7 adjustments. Section III provides background on how Decision (D.)10-06-048, the 8 Cornerstone Improvement Project decision, impacts this current rate case. Section 9 IV discusses Unbundled Cost Categories (UCCs) and Major Work Categories 10 (MWCs), and provides some background on how capital expenditures are 11 organized. Section V discusses DRA's concerns regarding PG&E's deferral of 12 previously authorized capital expenditures. Section VI provides detailed discussions 13 of the investigations and analyses that form the basis of the applicable DRA 14 recommendations. 15 This exhibit specifically addresses PG&E's forecasts associated with MWCs

6, 7, 8, 10, 16, 30, 46, 48, 49, 54, and 56. All other Electric Distribution capital
expenditure forecasts are addressed in Exhibit DRA-8 (Electric Distribution Capital

18 Expenditures, Part 2 of 2).

# 19 II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

20 The following bullets summarize DRA's recommended adjustments to 21 PG&E's request for 2012 through 2014 Electric Distribution capital expenditures. 22 (Adjustments due solely to differing capital escalation rates are not listed.) 23 Recorded 2012 capital expenditures should be utilized in lieu of • 24 PG&E's 2012 estimated forecasts. 25 Expenditures for MWC 07 (Install / Replace Overhead Poles) 26 should be reduced by \$83.617 million in 2013. 27 Expenditures for MWC 10 (WRO-Work at the Request of Others) ٠ should be reduced by \$1.794 million in 2013 and by \$7.647 million 28 29 in 2014. 30

1 2		Expenditures for MWC 16 (New Business) should be reduced by \$12.109 million in 2013 and by \$22.197 million in 2014.
3 4	•	Expenditures for MWC 06 (Line Capacity) should be reduced by \$0.963 million in 2013 and by \$5.819 million in 2014.
5 6	•	Expenditures for MWC 46 (Substation Capacity) should be reduced by \$1.034 million in 2014.
7 8	•	Expenditures for MWC 48 (Substation Replacement of Other Equipment) should be reduced by \$9.628 million in 2014.
9 10	•	Expenditures for MWC 54 (Substation Replacement of Transformers) should be reduced by \$9.803 million in 2014.
11 12	•	Expenditures for MWC 08 (Reliability Base) should be reduced by \$23.694 million in 2014.
13 14	•	Expenditures for MWC 49 (Reliability Circuit / Zone) should be reduced by \$30.791 million in 2014.
15 16	•	Expenditures for MWC 56 (Replace Underground Assets) should be reduced by \$50.264 million in 2014.
17 18		Expenditures for MWC 30 (Rule 20A) should be reduced by \$34.555 million in 2013 and by \$34.466 million in 2014.
19	Tal	ble 7-1 (see next page) shows recorded and estimated Electric Distribution
20	capital ex	penditures for those MWCs addressed in this report, and compares DRA's
21	recomme	ndations for 2012 through 2014 with PG&E's proposed forecasts. As

- 22 indicated by Footnote 1 on that table, Column 6 provides recorded 2012 data at the
- 23 MWC level. As will be discussed later, PG&E did not have access to recorded 2012
- 24 data at the time it prepared its testimony. Therefore, PG&E's testimony in this GRC
- 25 regarding 2012 capital expenditures is based on forecasts.

				1	2				<u> </u>	, v	r	· · ·	
MWC Des	tintion		ŀ		Recorded						PGRE	Estimated	
WWC Les	, inplicit	2007	2008	2009			2011	<u>2/</u> 012		1	PG&E ≥ DRA	PG&E /	POGRAAE 2
head Poles	\$28,775	\$33,272	\$34,319	\$44,540	\$89,113	\$119,316	\$159,798		\$76,181	\$83,617	- §69,57-8	\$69,541	
area and	<del>\$5€,353</del>	\$50,910	\$65,853	\$64,974	\$04,500	\$110,725	<del></del>	)	\$81,496	\$1,704	<del></del>	\$88,818	\$
mer Connects	\$298,343	\$278,908	\$263,648	\$180,960	\$21,699	\$234,589	\$272,545		\$260,436	\$12,109	\$339,566	\$31 7,369	\$22
	\$75,102	\$88,685	\$83,230	\$81,363	\$90,258	\$89,408	\$85 148	3	\$84,185	\$953	\$107,913	\$102,094	\$
erstone	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$ 1,095	\$12,987	\$2 000	)	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1222-009	\$73,271	\$106.567	\$95.239	\$63,092	\$63.009	\$51,507	\$52 616		\$52.610	\$6	\$74,892	\$73.858	
- Cornerstone	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$270	\$\$4,077	\$41,951	\$4 000	)	2010, \$4,000	\$0	\$0	\$C	2013
Other Equip	\$1€,993	\$28,579	\$29,767	\$26,303	\$49,178	\$40,319	\$54 906	5	\$54,892	\$14	\$66,021	\$56,393	\$\$
Transformer	\$33,039	\$46,724	\$52,335	\$38,336	\$ 6,138	\$52,462	\$41 151	2009-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-	\$41,143	\$8.	\$61,851	\$55,051	ŝ
	\$11,054	\$9,845	\$9,294	\$17,234	\$20,666	\$18,547	\$25 205	5	\$25,200	\$5	\$68,186	\$44,492	\$2
rnerstone	\$0	\$0	\$16	\$4,256	\$65,668	\$68,136	\$106,050	)	\$106,050	\$0	\$0	\$0	
e	\$2,690	\$29,910	\$31,732	\$81,770	\$7 i,007	\$01,923	\$01719		301,700	<b>\$19</b>	\$103,840	\$73,049	\$30
Asset-Gen	\$30,055	\$22,084	\$17,437	\$37,430	\$55,821	\$72,018	\$68,918	8	\$68,895	\$23	\$140,078	\$89,814	\$50
	\$45,385	\$39,916	\$41,142	\$36,610	\$33,628	\$52,426	\$88 451		\$53,896	\$34,555	\$88,222	\$53,756	\$34
	\$684,266	\$735,400	\$724,013	\$677,160	\$925,918	\$1,026,314	\$1,105,796	999-	\$972,683	\$133,113	\$1,219,615	\$1,024,235	\$195
	ne te tre retroit de terre recense						10 KEZAN			10 A 11110		no A UTIVAL orde A UTIVAL	

-

### 1 III. CORNERSTONE

2 A careful inspection of Table 7-1 reveals that there are three lines (Lines 5, 7, 3 and 11) that refer to "Cornerstone" project categories. In Application (A.) 08-05-023, 4 filed May 15, 2008, PG&E applied to the California Public Utilities Commission 5 (Commission) to increase its revenue requirement in order to recover costs to 6 implement a reliability program for its Electric Distribution System. The Application 7 was referred to as the Cornerstone Improvement Project (Cornerstone). The 8 Cornerstone project was eventually authorized by the Commission, in a reduced 9 form, in D.10-06-048, dated June 24, 2010. The Cornerstone decision authorized 10 PG&E to undertake various capital projects, over the four-year period 2010 through 11 2013, in order to improve the reliability of its electrical system. This current PG&E 12 GRC does not re-analyze or re-litigate any of the Cornerstone projects. PG&E's 13 forecasts do not include expenditures to complete work previously approved in the 14 Cornerstone decision; that work was handled separately in accordance with the Cornerstone decision.<sup>5</sup> For all intents and purposes, Cornerstone is outside the 15 16 scope of this proceeding. However, in order to properly derive the correct test year 17 2014 plant balance, which should include Cornerstone expenditures, the three 18 Cornerstone lines are included in Table 7-1. 19 While Cornerstone capital expenditures will not be re-litigated in this 20 proceeding, it should be noted that DRA has reflected 2012 recorded data in Column 21 6 of Table 7-1, including the three Cornerstone lines. In order to develop the most 22 accurate forecast for the test year 2014 plant balance, recorded data should be used 23 whenever possible, thereby eliminating the expenditure uncertainties associated with

24 forecasted estimates.

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 1-29, lines 16 through 18.

### 1 IV. GENERAL DISCUSSION

#### 2

#### A. Background for Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures are cumulative in nature. Expenditures made during one year are added to expenditures that were made in previous years. Therefore, DRA must analyze all of the proposed capital expenditures occurring from the end of the last recorded year (2011) that was provided by PG&E in its application up through the end of the test year (2014). Proposed capital expenditures or additions for the attrition years (2015 and 2016) are also addressed by DRA, but are discussed in Exhibit DRA-22 (Post-Test Year Ratemaking).

10 DRA tries to obtain an additional recorded year of plant data (in this case 11 2012) in order to eliminate one year of estimating uncertainty. For this GRC, DRA 12 was able to obtain recorded 2012 capital expenditure data from PG&E. These data 13 were available at the MWC level of detail and are shown in Column 6 of Table 7-1. 14 Many of the tables shown in this exhibit present capital expenditures in finer detail 15 than the MWC level. In those instances, those tables are usually shown with the 16 sub-MWC forecasts for the year 2012 shown as an estimate, as the recorded details 17 for the sub-MWC projects were not typically provided.

18 It is important to note the difference between capital expenditures and capital 19 additions. As mentioned previously, PG&E's capital forecasts are presented as 20 expenditures, not additions. Capital expenditures reflect the dollars that are being 21 spent in a given year. Contrast this with capital additions, which reflect the amount 22 of completed capital projects that are booked to plant in a given year. Capital 23 expenditures may or may not equal additions for any year; more often than not, they 24 will not agree. The reason for this difference is that capital projects that are started 25 in a given year, but not completed until the next, will show up as expenditures in that 26 first year, but will not be included as an addition until the second. (Since it is not 27 "used and useful," it cannot be considered a plant addition until the second year.) 28 The main reason for making this distinction is to alert the reader that the revenue 29 requirement impact of DRA's proposed capital adjustments may not show up in the 30 years in which they were made.

1 PG&E's capital exhibits and supporting workpapers (as well as its Results of 2 Operation (RO) computer model) are organized around capital expenditures. 3 PG&E's capital witnesses provide testimony regarding the magnitude of the capital 4 dollars that are estimated to be spent each year, not how much is actually being 5 booked to plant. PG&E relies on its RO computer model to manipulate these capital expenditures. Based on when the capital projects are scheduled to be completed, 6 7 the RO model calculates the corresponding capital additions. Therefore, DRA's 8 analyses and recommended capital adjustments are also stated in terms of capital 9 expenditures.

10

# B. 2013 and 2014 Escalation

11 In its exhibits and workpapers, PG&E has presented its recorded capital 12 expenditures in nominal dollars. "Nominal" dollars refers to the fact that PG&E's 13 forecasts are presented with estimates keyed to the year in which they occurred. 14 Put another way, inflation is included in PG&E's numbers. For example, a 2011 15 capital expenditure presented in nominal dollars will use 2011 expenditures that 16 already include escalation, rather than presenting the estimate in constant dollars 17 from a prior year (with inflation added later). 18 For its 2013 and 2014 forecasts, PG&E has offset escalation for those years

For its 2013 and 2014 forecasts, PG&E has offset escalation for those years by implementing productivity improvements and other initiatives.<sup>6</sup> As discussed in Exhibit DRA-2 (Summary of Earnings), DRA accepts PG&E's estimates for productivity. However, DRA's 2013 and 2014 escalation amounts are adjusted to reflect forecasts that differ from PG&E's; they are also adjusted to reflect DRA's recommended labor escalation rate of  $2.61\%^{\frac{7}{2}}$  (versus PG&E's estimate of 2.75%).

**<sup>&</sup>lt;u>6</u>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 1-13, lines 1 through 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Exhibit DRA-4, Cost Escalation.

# 1 C. Functional Dollars

2 PG&E separates its Electric Distribution plant into three categories: Direct Functional plant, Direct-Assigned Common plant, and Residual Common plant.<sup>8</sup> In 3 this testimony, we are only concerned with functional plant. PG&E uses the term 4 5 "Functional" to refer to capital costs recorded in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) system of accounts.<sup>9</sup> This category is distinct from other types 6 7 of capital expenditures, such as Direct-Assigned Common plant. These other 8 categories of capital expenditures are analyzed and discussed in other DRA 9 exhibits. Unless stated otherwise, all capital amounts shown in this exhibit only 10 contain "Functional" dollars; note the use of that term in the second line of the 11 heading for Table 7-1.

12

# D. UCCs and MWCs

13 Consistent with previous Commission decisions, PG&E separates its utility 14 business into numerous Unbundled Cost Categories (UCCs). Each of the 51 UCCs listed in PG&E's testimony represents a distinct aspect of PG&E's operations.<sup>10</sup> 15 16 Many of these UCCs represent facets of PG&E's business that are outside the 17 review of this testimony, including UCCs for Electric Transmission, Gas Storage, etc. 18 As initially received from PG&E, the RO model lists 23 UCCs that are actually 19 included in this GRC. Of those, only eight UCCs actually pertain to Electric Distribution:<sup>11</sup> 20

- 21
- UCC 301 Wires and Services
- 22 · UCC 302 Transmission-Level Direct Connects
- 23 UCC 303 Public Purpose Program Administration

**<sup>8</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-2, page 9-6, lines 3 through 5.

**<sup>9</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-2, page 9-6, footnote 2.

**<sup>10</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-2, page 1-7 and 1-8, Table 1-1.

**<sup>11</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-2, page 9-5, Table 9-2, lines 8 through 15.

- 1 · UCC 306 Cornerstone
- 2 · UCC 307 SmartMeter Electric
- 3 UCC 309 SmartMeter Opt Out Electric
- 4 · UCC 320 Streetlights LED
- 5 UCC 330 MRTU Demand Response

This DRA exhibit analyzes capital projects associated with UCC 301 –Wires
and Services for Electric Distribution (although DRA has reflected recorded 2012
data for UCC 306 – Cornerstone). Any capital costs contained in the other UCCs
are discussed in other DRA exhibits.

10 PG&E divides its capital projects into Major Work Categories (MWCs). 11 MWCs are descriptive categories into which are placed the numerous capital 12 projects being proposed by PG&E. Table 7-1 lists the 14 capital MWCs that are 13 being analyzed in this exhibit. As discussed previously, of these 14, three are 14 associated with Cornerstone projects, and are only analyzed to the extent that 15 recorded 2012 data are incorporated. These 14 MWCs do not constitute all of the 16 capital MWCs contained in UCC 301. The remaining MWCs contained in UCC 301 17 are analyzed in Exhibit DRA-8.

18

# E. Overview of Electric Distribution Capital Adjustments

Earlier in this exhibit, Table 7-1 presented a detailed look at the capital expenditures being forecasted by PG&E and DRA for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. Given the level of detail contained in that table, it may be difficult to visualize how the proposed expenditures compare to the recorded data. The following graph trends six years of total recorded data for the MWCs being analyzed in this report. The graph then compares the overall forecasts for 2013 and 2014 with that trend of past recorded expenditures:

Graph 7-1 ElectricDistributionCapital Historicaland ForecastCapital Expenditures Nominal Dollars (\$000)



2 As Graph 7-1 shows, PG&E is forecasting 2013 and 2014 expenditures that 3 are higher than the historical trend, while DRA is forecasting expenditures that are 4 slightly lower. PG&E gives various reasons for this projected increase in 2013 and 5 2014 expenditures, including catching up on previously deferred capital 6 expenditures, replacing aging infrastructure, and strengthening the distribution 7 system to accommodate increased loads. DRA has analyzed these issues and 8 concluded that in some instances, PG&E's capital forecasts are reasonable. 9 However, as Table 7-1 indicates, DRA does not agree with all of PG&E's forecasts. 10 Section VI of this exhibit discusses and analyzes each of DRA's recommended 11 adjustments.

12 It should be noted that Cornerstone expenditures end in 2013. Since there 13 are no Cornerstone capital expenditures in 2014, PG&E's forecast of higher 2014 14 expenditures represents a significant increase over prior years. The absence of

Cornerstone expenditures in 2014 is one reason why DRA's 2014 forecast is lower
 than the historical trend.

It is important to point out that neither PG&E nor DRA utilized Graph 7-1 to derive its estimates. However, this graph does provide a visual "reasonableness check" to judge whether or not the proposed expenditures comport with what may be expected given recent historical experience.

# 7 V. DEFERRED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

8 One of the fundamental principles of utility regulation in California is that 9 revenue requirements resulting from General Rate Cases are not developed using 10 recorded data, but are instead calculated using forecasts of expenses and capital 11 additions for future years. These so-called future test years provide an incentive for 12 utilities to develop new, more efficient ways to run their companies. If a utility can 13 devise more cost-effective ways to do business, it can generally retain the difference 14 between what it was authorized in the future test year and what it actually spends. 15 Of course, with test year rate making, utilities also run the risk of spending more than 16 what they were authorized if unexpected expenses or capital additions are 17 necessary.

Another fundamental principle of utility regulation is that the Commission typically does not micromanage utility spending. The Commission presumes that utility managers are in the best position to make the numerous decisions that are required to run a utility efficiently and reliably. If expenditures in one area are less than expected, managers may decide to shift those unexpended funds to areas where expenditures may be higher.

Taken together, these two principles provide a framework for how utilities are expected to operate in California. Since it is never possible to forecast test year expenses and capital expenditures with 100% accuracy, utilities can earn more than authorized in some years (when actual expenses/additions are less than forecasted, or if the utility develops a more cost-effective way of doing business), and can earn less than authorized in other years (when actual expenses/additions are greater than

forecasted, or the utility is not run efficiently). Utility managers are expected, and
 even encouraged, to make the decisions necessary to run their utilities in as efficient
 a manner as possible, consistent with safe and reliable service.

4 DRA expects that PG&E management will use its judgment to spend capital 5 dollars in the most effective manner possible. DRA would not likely take negative 6 notice of PG&E spending more or less than authorized for a given MWC if these 7 over- and under-expenditures occurred randomly. In regard to this current GRC, 8 DRA has observed a trend wherein, for certain MWCs, PG&E repeatedly spends 9 less than the Commission authorizes. This under-spending is not due to new 10 efficiencies, but to continued deferrals of the authorized expenditures. DRA has 11 observed that for these specific MWCs, PG&E fails to spend the authorized dollars 12 on the projects for which they were requested, and subsequently requests 13 expenditures for the same capital projects in succeeding GRCs. 14 One example of this is MWC 07 – Pole Replacements. In the 2011 GRC 15 (A.09-12-020), PG&E stated the following: 16 The primary driver for the higher level of capital expenditures is the 17 need to address poles rescheduled for replacement due to a reallocation of funds to higher priority work.<sup>12</sup> 18 19 In the current GRC, PG&E notes that its 2014 request for pole replacement 20 expenditures is lower than previous years, stating: 21 PG&E's capital expenditure forecast is lower because the Company 22 plans to eliminate the current backlog of pole replacement work by the end of 2013.<sup>13</sup> 23 24 The above quote indicates that, at least through 2013, PG&E is requesting 25 elevated capital expenditures for MWC 07 in order to eliminate a backlog of work.

- 26 DRA will discuss MWC 07 in greater detail later in this exhibit. However, an
- 27 examination of PG&E's spending history shows that it has been spending less than

**<sup>12</sup>** PG&E 2011 GRC (A.09-12-020), Exhibit PG&E-3, page 3-1, lines 26 through 29.

**<sup>13</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 7-1, lines 21 through 23.

was authorized for pole replacements. This has been observed over the last two
rate case cycles. This under-spending has been taking place despite PG&E's
ongoing stated goal of trying to eliminate the backlog of deferred pole replacements.
A second example of PG&E deferring capital forecast occurs with MWC 56 –
Underground Cable Replacement. In its last GRC, PG&E stated the following in
explaining why underground cable capital expenditures were lower in 2007 through
2010:

8 This is because the Company redirected resources originally targeted 9 for underground assets to other higher priority areas. Reallocating 10 resources from underground assets to other higher priority areas is 11 also planned for 2009 and 2010.<sup>14</sup>

12 In the current GRC, PG&E states the following concerning tie-cable

13 replacement expenditures, an MWC 56 capital category:

14 Earlier forecasts reflected that the tie-cable replacement work in the

- 15 East Bay would be completed in 2013; however, rescheduling and
- 16 reprioritization of work was required to address the replacement of
- 17 TGRAM/TGRAL switches, considered a higher priority.<sup>15</sup>
- 18 MWC 56 capital expenditures are discussed in more detail later. However, an 19 examination of PG&E's spending history for this MWC shows that it has spent much 20 less than what was authorized for underground cable replacement over the last two
- 21 rate case cycles.

22 What concerns DRA about the above quotations is the repeated nature of the

- 23 deferrals; these are not one-time occurrences. They are also not cases of a utility
- 24 manager shifting authorized expenditures from an area that does <u>not</u> require them to
- an area that does; these appear to be cases of PG&E not spending authorized
- 26 expenditures for needed projects so as to fund other projects deemed to be a higher

14 PG&E 2011 GRC (A.09-12-020), Exhibit PG&E-3, page 12-5, lines 15 through 18.

**<sup>15</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 16-16, lines 11 through 14.

priority. DRA understands that deferrals may happen occasionally, but in these
 cases the deferrals have been ongoing.

3 While utility managers are allowed to transfer/spend company funds as they 4 see fit, that does not equate to an automatic acceptance by the regulatory agency of 5 every managerial decision that is made. As recent Commission decisions have 6 ruled, utilities are usually not allowed a second opportunity to recover expenses that 7 were previously authorized but were subsequently deferred. The same should hold 8 true for deferred capital expenditures. It is inappropriate to continually defer the 9 same authorized capital expenditures away from capital projects deemed necessary 10 by the utility, and then seek recovery of the same projects in a later proceeding.

11 When necessary authorized expenditures are deferred, PG&E appears to be 12 circumventing the fundamental principle of test year ratemaking stated above (i.e., 13 that utilities run the risk of spending more than what they were authorized if 14 unexpected and/or higher than expected expenses or capital additions occur). 15 Taken to an extreme, it is hypothetically possible for a utility to never earn less than 16 what it was authorized; if expenses or capital costs are higher than forecasted, it 17 could theoretically simply defer sufficient expenditures, no matter how essential they 18 may be, to offset the higher expenses/additions. This type of ratemaking philosophy 19 skews the GRC process in the utility's favor (i.e., a utility is free to retain unspent 20 revenues when actual costs are less than authorized, but never spends more than 21 authorized because it is able to defer expense/plant expenditures that exceed what 22 was forecasted). This practice should not be condoned by the Commission. 23 PG&E states that from 2007 to 2012, it spent more on capital than the

imputed GRC amount for the Electric Operations line of business.<sup>16</sup> PG&E does not state whether the over-spending occurred every year of that period, or whether it is adding together the recorded expenditures for all of the years, and comparing that total to the sum of what was authorized. Whichever methodology PG&E used is of little consequence. As the quotations presented earlier in this section clearly show,

**<sup>16</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 1-23, lines 3 and 4.

PG&E has, in several instances, repeatedly deferred capital expenditures that had
 previously been authorized. In the 2011 GRC, PG&E was forthcoming in stating:

In an effort to remain within the capital and expense expenditure levels
 imputed from the 2007 GRC Settlement Agreement, PG&E adjusted
 work where possible by focusing on work in higher priority
 categories.<sup>17</sup>

- 7 The fact that PG&E claims that it actually spent more than was authorized 8 does not diminish the fact that it engaged in a practice that was designed to 9 ameliorate its higher than expected capital expenditures. As stated previously, 10 expenditures that are higher than authorized are simply the naturally occurring result 11 of test year ratemaking, and the utility will ultimately earn a return on those 12 investments in subsequent rate cases. 13 Historically, Commission decisions have frequently ruled that utilities should 14 not be permitted to recover expenses that have previously been authorized but were 15 deferred. Recent Commission decisions are starting to take the same position 16 regarding deferred capital expenditures, echoing DRA's concerns expressed above. 17 In the decision for Southern California Edison's (SCE) Test Year 2003 GRC (D.04-18 07-022), the Commission discussed the need to consider SCE's deferral of pole
- 19 inspections and stated that:
- 20This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are not required to pay a21second time for activities explicitly authorized by the Commission in the22past ... 18
- 23 Later, in the same decision, the Commission stated:
- 24Based on the foregoing, we will reduce SCE's capital forecast for pole25replacements by \$3.447 million (68,934 intrusive inspections that were

<sup>17</sup> PG&E 2011 GRC (A.09-12-020), Exhibit PG&E-3, page 1-35, lines 10 through 13.

**<sup>18</sup>** Decision 04-07-022, page 106.

- 1 funded by ratepayers but not performed by SCE times \$50 per missed 2 inspection).<sup>19</sup>
- 3 In the Test Year 2007 PG&E GRC decision (D.07-03-044), the Commission stated:
- 4 More recently, the Commission disallowed \$1.4 million in annual
- 5 expenses and \$3.4 million in capital costs that SCE requested for
- 6 deferred pole maintenance, stating that "ratepayers should not be
- 7 required to pay twice for the same authorized expense."<sup>20</sup>
- 8 Later, in the same decision, the Commission stated:

9 The Commission has repeatedly held that it is unjust and unreasonable

10 to make ratepayers pay a second time for activities explicitly authorized

by the Commission in the past. Here, there is no dispute that PG&E received funding for lead paint and PCB abatement in its prior GRC

13 proceeding, and that PG&E seeks funding for these activities a second

14 time in the current proceeding. ... In order to find that the Settlement

15 Agreement is consistent with the law, which includes adherence to

- long-established Commission precedent, we must be satisfied that all
   of PG&E's lead paint and PCB abatement costs are excluded from the
- 18 O&M expenses adopted by the Settlement.<sup>21</sup>
- 19 In D.09-03-025, SCE's Test Year 2009 GRC, the Commission states the following:

20 In this proceeding, SCE seeks additional funds for activities explicitly 21 authorized by the Commission in the past. SCE seeks funds to redress 22 maintenance postponed due to unanticipated load and customer 23 growth in 2006-2007. To address this unforeseen customer and load 24 growth, SCE diverted millions of dollars in capital replacements away 25 from its Infrastructure Replacement project ... In the past, we have found circumstances, such as the unanticipated scope of Year 2000 26 27 (Y2K) projects, to justify deferral of certain maintenance work. The 28 circumstances surrounding Y2K and the related Y2K projects were 29 one-time events and, as such, unique. In contrast, we do not find 30 customer and load growth, even when unanticipated, to create unique 31 circumstances. Load growth and customer growth are routine aspects

- **<u>19</u>** Decision 04-07-022, page 110.
- **20** Decision 07-03-044, page 93.
- 21 Decision 07-03-044, pages 94 and 95.

1 of any rate case. If the adopted forecast overestimates expenses we 2 do not ask a utility to return funds to ratepayers. Similarly, if an 3 adopted forecast underestimates expenses, we do not go back and 4 give the utility funds to complete projects that should have been 5 addressed in the prior GRC cycle. In short, errors in forecasting occur 6 and we do not go back and fix these errors. Consistent with our policy 7 regarding deferred maintenance, in certain instances in this decision. 8 we adopt reductions to SCE's forecast for operation & maintenance 9 and capital expenditures to reflect our finding that unanticipated load 10 and customer growth does not justify SCE's decision to, among other things, defer maintenance.<sup>22</sup> 11

- 12 Lastly, in the most recent SCE GRC decision (D.12-11-051 for Test
- 13 Year 2012), the Commission makes the following statement regarding SCE's
- 14 repeated attempts to obtain authorization for capital projects that had been
- 15 previously deferred:

16 SCE was authorized \$3.9 million in its 2006 GRC to fund a new 17 administration building, but said it diverted these funds to meet 18 unforeseen load growth during that time period. In 2009, SCE's 19 request for \$4.92 million for the administration building project was 20 denied because of the previously approved funding. SCE points out 21 that, on the merits of the project, TURN admits that the current offices 22 are not sufficient to house even what TURN deems electric-only 23 employees. 24

25 When the Commission rejected the predecessor project in 2009, it was 26 because it viewed deferred funds for unexpected load growth and 27 customer growth as routine, within SCE's discretion, and not subject to 28 re-funding in the next GRC. The facts are essentially the same, 29 despite SCE's repackaging of the project. Moreover, approximately 30 \$2.3 million was added to the Main Building project as a result of the 31 rejection of the Administration building in the 2009 GRC. Thus, the 32 overall request by SCE for its re-configured Administration construction 33 is almost \$7.8 million.

**<sup>22</sup>** Decision 09-03-025, pages 3 through 5.

We agree with TURN that these costs appear to be excessive and
 growing as a result of SCE's management making discretionary
 choices to not use authorized funds for the identified projects and to
 keep coming back to ratepayers for more. Accordingly, the
 Commission finds it reasonable to exclude the entire capital request.<sup>23</sup>

6 The Commission should continue its policy of not allowing utilities to seek 7 funds for previously authorized capital expenditures that are necessary but have 8 been deferred. In Section VI, DRA discusses and analyzes the differences it has 9 with PG&E's capital forecasts. In several of those analyses, DRA observes that 10 PG&E is seeking Commission approval for projects that have previously been 11 authorized, but have been deferred. In some instances, the deferrals have occurred 12 over several rate case cycles. The ratemaking concerns raised here play a factor in 13 DRA's recommended adjustments.

# 14 VI. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF DRA'S ADJUSTMENTS

15 DRA is recommending adjustments to 11 of the 14 MWCs analyzed in this 16 exhibit. DRA has issued numerous data requests in order to get additional 17 information and clarify issues. All of PG&E's proposed expenditures were carefully 18 analyzed. The following 11 sections (some with multiple sub-sections) discuss each 19 of the capital MWCs shown in Table 7-1 for which DRA has recommended 20 adjustments. As previously discussed in Section IV B, whenever DRA's 2013 and 2014 forecasts differ from PG&E's, DRA has calculated new escalation amounts.<sup>24</sup> 21 22 DRA is using a revised labor escalation rate forecast of 2.61%, as recommended in 23 Exhibit DRA-4 (Cost Escalation), which impacts the escalation of all the capital 24 forecasts, even if those forecasts agree with PG&E's estimates. In the sections that

**<sup>23</sup>** Decision 12-11-051, pages 89 and 90.

**<sup>24</sup>** In the workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, Workpaper Table 20-18 (page WP 20-18) shows a spreadsheet that uses un-escalated forecasts and labor/non-labor escalation rates to derive revised escalation amounts. DRA utilized that table to derive its escalation forecasts.

follow, DRA has not discussed forecast differences that are due solely to escalation
 amounts that differ because of the use of the revised labor escalation rates.

3

#### A. MWC 07 – Install/Replace Overhead Poles

PG&E has full or joint ownership of approximately 2.2 million wood
distribution poles.<sup>25</sup> These poles are inspected, and when necessary, restored or
replaced. The numbers of poles replaced each year, as well as the unit cost to
make the replacements, varies from year to year as well as from division to division
within PG&E's service territory.

9 Table 7-2, shown on the next page, provides recorded data as well as 10 forecasted estimates for each of the capital categories that constitute MWC 07. Line 11 4 of that table summarizes PG&E's and DRA's forecasts (including escalation) for 12 the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. The first line of Table 7-2 shows expenditures for 13 replacing poles, the capital category that traditionally constitutes the majority of the 14 MWC 07 capital expenditures. Line 2 shows that beginning in 2012, PG&E 15 proposes to begin replacing center bore streetlights. Footnote 1 in Column 7 16 indicates that the total expenditure for that column (\$119.316 million) is a recorded 17 number. DRA was able to obtain a recorded figure for the 2012 total, but did not 18 have access to recorded data for Lines 1 and 2 of Column 7. Therefore, in order to 19 equal the recorded total for the column, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 forecast 20 and mathematically adjusted it (to \$99.318 million) so that the sum of both lines 21 equaled the recorded amount.

**<sup>25</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 6-2, line 29.

				Recorded	MWC and P	07 G&E'	Pole Rep s Estimat N	TAE lacemen ed Data lominal	BLE 7-2 ts and From W Dollars	Cente orkpa (\$000)	r Bore Str aper Table	eetlights s 7-1 an	d Table	7-5				
					1		2	3	4		5	6	7		8	9		10
									Record	d							]	
	Line #		MWC	Description			2007	2008	2009		2010	PG&nEi1	DRA 1	2012		:	2013	
												PG&E	Γ	RA	PG&E	DRA		PG&E ?
	o de la constanción d				Avvisorie		C-41 Manuar								lu circo de o	Estimated	1	
ments			\$28,775	\$33,272	\$34,	319	\$44,540	\$89,1	13 \$10	5,700	\$99,310	\$149,0	83	07,810	\$07,810	\$07,	316	
Streetlight Replac	ements		achterrectilettikkkhiladet		-	amenneermane				19.998	\$19.998	\$6.3	00	\$6,300			sie	
								 				\$4,4	15	\$2,065	\$1,762	\$1,	25	
			\$28,775	\$33,272	\$34,	319	\$44,540	\$89,1	13 \$1	5,704	\$119,316	\$159,7	98 98	576,181	\$69,578	\$69, \$67	541	
uding 2013 & 20	14 Look	ation	ψ20,110	φυυ,21 <del>Γ</del>	Ψ <b>Ο</b> ¶,	<del>919</del>	\$44,040	<del>909,1</del>	10 <b>ψ</b> 1	<del>15,704</del>	<del>9119,010</del>	<b>¥155,</b> a		<del>974,110</del>	<del>007,01</del> 0	ψ <b>0</b> γ,	<b>8</b> 16 ∝	
						1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			lladdddddaroryyndddddalad	SANARA MARAMANA MARAM				and a statistic statistic statistics of the second			04	
		ntaat wita mada ka		anananan mananan mananan mananan kanan						itanionnn an tao titor i triste								
				_/	NOTE:	DRA's	2012 Total	ی of \$119,316	is a reco	rded an	nount. It was	s obtained 1	rom PG&I	in an e-	mail dated	3/1/13.		



2 2011 to show how the authorized MWC 07 capital expenditures for each year 3 compare to what PG&E actually spent. As indicated at the bottom of the table, DRA 4 has calculated that over that five-year period, PG&E has spent \$206.529 million less 5 than it was authorized for pole replacements. 6 In discussing the number of poles that it proposes to replace each year, 7 PG&E states the following: 8 The forecasted numbers of units for 2012 and 2013 reflect PG&E's 9 effort to eliminate the current backlog of pole replacement work. By 10 2014, PG&E plans to reach a consistent level of pole replacement work 26 11 12 The above quotation explicitly states that there is currently a backlog of poles 13 that need to be replaced. As discussed in Section V of this exhibit, PG&E stated in 14 its last GRC that it sought higher MWC 07 expenditures in order to address pole 15 replacements that had previously been rescheduled (i.e., deferred) due to a

Table 7-3, shown on the previous page, breaks down the years 2007 through

- 16 reallocation of funds to higher priority work. In spite of the fact that a deferral of pole
- 17 replacement expenditures has resulted in a backlog of replacements over two rate
- 18 case cycles, Table 7-3 indicates that PG&E has consistently spent less than the
- 19 amount the Commission has authorized.

1

PG&E's pattern of under spending has continued into 2012. When DRA
analyzed PG&E's RO computer model, DRA noted that PG&E was forecasting that it
would spend \$87.393 million in 2012 to help eliminate the pole replacement backlog.
In Data Request 086-GAW, DRA requested that PG&E provide the recorded amount
that was actually spent to reduce the backlog. In its response, PG&E stated that its
actual 2012 expenditures for backlog elimination amounted to \$56.328 million, over
\$30 million less than it had forecasted.

**<sup>26</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 7-5, lines 12 through 14.

**<sup>27</sup>** PG&E's response to DR DRA-086-GAW, Question 2c. \$87.393 million requested in 2012 for backlog elimination minus \$56.328 million actually spent equals \$31.065 million underspent.

1 The relevant question that must now be answered is whether or not it is 2 reasonable, for this rate case, to authorize increased MWC 07 expenditures so as to 3 eliminate the backlog by the end of 2013. In DRA's judgment, the answer to that 4 question is "no." There should not have been a backlog in the first place. As shown 5 in Table 7-3, PG&E has historically spent far less than it was authorized for pole 6 replacements. If PG&E had not deferred the pole replacements initially, and had not 7 compounded the backlog problem by spending less than was authorized, the need 8 to address this backlog problem would have likely never occurred. Since PG&E 9 caused the backlog problem, and exacerbated the issue by spending less than what 10 it was authorized, it should not be allowed to once again ask ratepayers to foot the 11 bill.

12 As discussed in Section V, the Commission has increasingly been reluctant to 13 allow utilities to seek ratepayer funding for previously authorized projects that have 14 been deferred. This same approach should be applied to MWC 07. Furthermore, 15 PG&E has provided no assurance that pole replacement deferrals will not continue 16 in the future. Indeed, recorded 2012 total expenditures for MWC 07 are 17 considerably lower than what PG&E had forecasted. (See Table 7-2, Row 5, 18 Columns 6 and 7.) Based on PG&E's expenditure history, it is uncertain whether the 19 pole replacement backlog problem would be addressed even if PG&E was 20 authorized to increase its MWC 07 spending to its requested levels. 21 PG&E states that by 2014, it plans to reach a "steady state" of pole replacement work (i.e., additional capital dollars are no longer needed to eliminate 22 the pole replacement backlog).<sup>28</sup> If PG&E's 2014 forecast of \$67.816 million is the 23 24 steady state level, then no more than that amount should be spent for 2013. DRA is 25 recommending that the steady state amount of \$67.816 million be adopted for 2013 26 and 2014.

27 DRA's recommended total 2013 expenditure level is \$83.617 million less than 28 PG&E's forecast; this difference also reflects escalation changes. This lower level

**<sup>28</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 7-1, line 24.

does not indicate that the pole replacement backlog problem should be ignored, or
delayed to a future GRC. DRA's lower forecast should only be construed to mean
that it is recommending that ratepayers not be required to once again foot the bill for
eliminating the pole replacement backlog during this GRC cycle.

5

# B. MWC 10 – Work at the Request of Others

6 Under its obligation to serve requirements, its tariff rules, and its franchise 7 agreements with local governments, PG&E is required to perform various capital 8 projects as part of its Work at the Request of Others (WRO) program. Typical WRO 9 projects include relocating electric distribution and service facilities at the request of 10 a governmental agency or other third party, and overhead electric facility underground conversions covered by Tariff Rules 20B and 20C.<sup>29</sup> 11 12 MWC 10 is actually comprised of various sub-MWCs. Table 7-4 (see next 13 page) provides a more detailed breakdown of the projects that constitute MWC 10. 14 Line 11 of that table summarizes PG&E's and DRA's total MWC 10 forecasts 15 (including escalation) for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. Footnote 1 in Column 7 16 of that table indicates that the total expenditure for that column (\$110.725 million) is 17 a recorded number. DRA was able to obtain a recorded figure for the 2012 total, but 18 did not have access to recorded data for the sub-MWCs. Therefore, in order to 19 equal the recorded total for the column, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 forecast 20 and mathematically adjusted it (to \$33.725 million) so that the sum of the 2012 sub-21 MWCs equaled the recorded amount. The following sections discuss each of the 22 sub-MWCs for which DRA has recommended forecasts that differ from PG&E's

23 estimates.

<sup>29</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 9-28, lines 3 through 7.

				MWC Recorded	10 Distri and PG&E	TABL bution Work 's Estimated NominalDol	.E 7-4 Reque Data F Ilars (\$00	sted By from W 90)	y Others (W orkpaper Ta	RO) able 9-35					
				1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9		10
					-		Recorded							1	
	Line #	MWC D	escription		007	2008	2009		2010	PG&E1	DRA 1 20	12		2013	
									<u>_</u> 1	PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DR.	4	PG&E
∋d WRO Expenditu	res	\$24,530	\$13,663	\$5,739	\$8,921	\$16,495	×4	\$17,000	\$33,725	\$22,000	\$20,30	9 \$28,00	) \$:	25,606	
a WRO Expenditur ects:	<u>60</u>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\$00,102	\$52,000	\$59,559		350,000	\$58,000	\$51,000	\$51,80	<u>)</u> @~~~~@50;00	)aaanaa aa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa	3,000	
r					\$2,708	\$6,215	างกระสะสารสองสารเสียงสารได้	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$5,000	\$5,00	00 \$3,00	⊨stimateo	\$3,000	
		***		-	\$161	\$2, 97		\$15,000	\$15,000	\$2,000	\$2,00	00			
il Desiste			enneenne <del>mi</del> errennepronnum							\$1,000	\$1,0/	\$10,00		\$5,000	
Decific Projects		 \$1 2 16	 \$276		\$2,869	\$8,412		521,000 -	\$21,000	\$8,000 	\$8,00	00 \$13,00 	) 	68,000	
tment		¢ 1,2 10 مەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسىمەسى	9210			(\$500)	6	24,300)						I	
										\$7.290	\$2.11	\$2.46	5	62,212	
		\$50,353	\$50,910	\$65,853	\$64,974	\$84,500	ç	69,700	\$110,725	\$83,290	\$81,49	96 \$96,46	5 \$8	8,818	
2013 & 2014 Escala	ition	\$50,353	\$50,910	\$\$5,853	\$64,974	\$84,500		\$69,700	\$110,725	\$81,000	\$79,30	)9 \$94,00	) \$1	6,606	
		565165656757575757575757575757575755555555					Indiated Wales								
													*****		
				*****										1	
		******				personal and a second se									
			/ N	OTE: DRA's 201	2 Total of \$110	,725 is a recorde	ed amour	t. Itwas	obtained from	PG&E in ar e-ma	il date 3/1/13	3.		1	

#### 1. New Business Related WRO Expenditures

Line 1 of Table 7-4 is actually linked to MWC 16 – Customer Connections. Both DRA and PG&E agree that Line 1 costs should be calculated as a percentage of the Residential, Non-Residential, and Electric Vehicle expenditures that are calculated in MWC 16. Since DRA and PG&E are using the same methodology to develop their Line 1 forecasts, differences between the forecasts are due solely to different estimates being calculated in MWC 16. DRA discusses MWC 16 in greater detail later in this exhibit.

9

#### 2. High-Speed Rail

As shown on Lines 4, 5, and 6 of Table 7-4, MWC 10 also reflects costs PG&E feels it will likely incur during the construction of three large infrastructure projects: the Transbay Center, the Central Subway, and the California High-Speed Rail project. DRA has not proposed any adjustments for MWC 10 costs associated with the Transbay Center or the Central Subway, but proposes that costs related to the High-Speed Rail project be reduced by \$5.000 million in 2014.

16 Construction on California's proposed High-Speed Rail system was originally 17 scheduled to begin in late 2012. It has since been pushed back to July of this year, 18 which is a delay of at least six months. However, even the July date may be pushed 19 back according to news articles reviewed by DRA. The LA Times reports that offers 20 to purchase property from land owners will only be "the first step in a convoluted 21 legal process that will give farmers, businesses, and homeowners leverage to delay the project by weeks, if not months, and drive up sales prices."<sup>30</sup> The San Jose 22 23 Mercury News reports that Quentin Kopp "has submitted a lengthy critique of the 24 current project's legality in support of a lawsuit filed by Kings County and local farmers."  $\frac{31}{2}$  At the time that this exhibit is being written (April 2013), DRA is not 25

**<sup>30</sup>** LA Times, January 27, 2013.

<sup>31</sup> San Jose Mercury News, March 19, 2013.

aware of whether all of the 400 land parcels that are necessary for the first phase of
 the project have yet been purchased.

3 DRA is not attempting to critique or judge the reasonableness of the High-4 Speed Rail project. DRA is stating that the start of the project has already been 5 delayed by half a year, and seems likely to be delayed significantly longer. Given 6 these delays, it is DRA's judgment that PG&E will spend considerably less than it 7 originally forecasted in 2014 for MWC 10 costs associated with the High-Speed Rail 8 project. PG&E's 2014 forecast of \$10.000 million should be reduced by half, 9 corresponding to the six-month delay in the start of construction. Accordingly, DRA is recommending a \$5.000 million forecast for 2014.<sup>32</sup> 10

11

#### 3. Conclusions

12 As shown in Table 7-4, there are several sub-MWCs for this capital work 13 category. As discussed above, DRA has made adjustments to various components 14 of these calculations, including the use of 2012 recorded data. The net result of 15 DRA's adjustments is that DRA is recommending that MWC 10 capital expenditures 16 be \$41.025 million higher than PG&E's forecast in 2012, \$1.794 million lower in 17 2013 (which includes DRA's revised calculations for escalation), and \$7.647 million 18 lower in 2014 (which also includes revised escalation). PG&E's and DRA's 19 estimates for MWC 10 are summarized on Line 2 of Table 7-1

20

#### C. MWC 16 – New Business

Similar to the mandates mentioned in MWC 10, PG&E is required under its obligation to serve requirements, its tariff rules, and its franchise agreements with local governments, to undertake various capital projects as part of its New Business Customer Connections program. The capital projects included in MWC 16 include installing electric infrastructure required to connect new customers to PG&E's

**<sup>32</sup>** DRA is not proposing a similar reduction in 2013. PG&E is forecasting \$1.000 million for 2013. DRA has concluded that PG&E is likely to spend somewhere near that amount simply by continually monitoring the progress of the High-Speed Rail project and conducting periodic meetings.

electric distribution system, and upgrading its system to accommodate increased
 loads from existing customers.<sup>33</sup>

3 New Business capital expenditures are linked to overall economic growth and 4 the resulting increase in new customers and electrical loads. If economic conditions 5 are poor, fewer new houses tend to be built, resulting in fewer new connections. 6 Similarly, existing customers will tend to postpone new purchases, resulting in lower 7 load growth. PG&E's New Business costs decreased significantly during the 8 recession, but have recently begun to increase. The difficulty in deriving forecasts 9 for MWC 16 capital expenditures stems from the number of variables that can 10 potentially impact the final estimate. Not only must estimates be made for the 11 number of new connections each year, but estimates must also be made regarding 12 how those new connections will impact subdivision backbone costs, subdivision 13 costs, residential costs, and non-residential costs.

14 The next three pages contain tables that detail the calculations needed to 15 develop forecasts for MWC 16 expenditures. Table 7-5 is a summary table that 16 provides PG&E's and DRA's forecasts for each of the sub-MWC categories that 17 make up MWC 16. Line 9 of that table summarizes PG&E's and DRA's forecasts 18 (including escalation) for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. Table 7-6 shows the 19 derivation of the New Business costs associated with the growth of various classes 20 of customers. Table 7-7 shows the calculations whereby the estimates for numbers 21 of new connections are divided amongst the various customer categories.

The tables are being presented in the order described above so that the summary is seen first, and each succeeding table provides greater detail as to how the forecasts in the summary were derived. In discussing the development of these estimates, it makes more sense to start with Table 7-7, which shows the forecasts of new connections for the various categories of customers, as well as how those forecasts are developed. This is the first step in calculating MWC 16 forecasts, so it is the first table that will be discussed.

**<sup>33</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 9-1, lines 13 through 15.

				2	3	4	5	6	1	8
	20.07	2008	Recorded	2010	2011		2012	PG&E	20 <b>03</b> RA 1	
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		_!	PG&	DRA	PG&E
	\$135,769	\$94,455	\$73,928	\$48,378	\$55,277	\$64,000	\$56,173	\$94,000	\$86,108	\$142,00
	\$95,110	\$131,160	\$125,808	£81,711	\$100,171	\$ <mark>04,000</mark>	\$111,057	\$105,000	\$101,702	\$113,00
					\$1,440	\$4,000	\$3,489	\$6,000	\$2,352	\$8,00
*****	\$67,523	\$53,564	\$60,802	\$47,628	\$51,52	\$54,000	\$60,139	\$57,000	\$57,184	\$65,00
umumumore and an eres	\$2,894	\$2,850	\$5,314	\$3,207	\$2,00}	\$3,060	\$5,751	\$3,000	\$3,800	\$\$,00
	(\$2,153)	(\$2,927)	(\$2,364)	\$36	\$408	 הסדורורווווווווווווווווווווווווווווווווו				
				-		(\$9,000)				
								\$7,545	\$7,009	\$6,00
	\$298,343	\$278,908	\$263.648	\$180,960	\$211.699	\$210,000	\$234,589	\$272.545	\$260,436	\$339.56
ALCONT.	\$298,343	\$278,908	\$263,648	\$180,960	\$211,699	\$210,000	\$234,589	\$265,000	\$253,347	\$331,00

			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2007	2008	Pecorded 2009	2010	2011		2012	PG&E	2013 DRA 1	
							_!	PG8E	DRA	PG&E
s	33,274	11,130	2,993	2,795	3,577	4,786	3,224	9,074	5,671	17,321
	\$1.5.2.8	\$1.964	\$2.283	\$5.04	\$3.447	\$2 153	\$3,720	\$2 153	\$2,153	\$2,153
Expenditures	\$50,843	\$21,859	\$6,833	\$1,409	\$12,330	\$10,304	\$11,993	\$19,536	\$12,210	\$37,292
unit contractor	21,704	11,024	8,259	8,018	5,836	6,837	7,102	11,342	11,342	19,245
Notional States of States	۵884	\$1,141	\$1,249	\$1,191	م. ۵۱,۷۵۵	\$1,313	\$1,202	\$1,252	\$1,25g	\$1,140
xpenditures	\$19,186	\$12,578	\$10,315	\$9,549	\$7,342	\$8,977	\$8,963	\$14,200	\$14,200	\$22,055
Territorian Construction	28,695	23,741	16,434	10,658	11,372	12,697	13,514	17,013	17,013	23,521
	\$2,291	\$2.528	\$3,455	\$3,511	\$3,131	\$3,509	\$2,606	\$3,509	\$3,509	\$3,509
	\$65,740	\$60,017	\$56,779	\$37,420	\$35,606	\$44,554	\$35,217	\$59,699	\$59,699	\$82,535
			4.9.9.0	terina terina Estis terina t					ana ana amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàna amin'ny tanàn	
	\$6,105	\$8,744	\$10,502	\$8,936	\$11,803	\$10,832	\$12,435	\$10,832	\$10,832	\$10,832
	\$95,110	\$131,160	\$125,908	\$81,711	\$100,172	\$93,664	\$111,057	\$104,702	\$104,702	\$113,292
Mana oroka ka ka ma	\$230,879	\$225,615	\$199,836	\$130,089	\$155,449	\$157,499	\$167,230	\$198,137	\$190,81	\$255,174
to do our manaren		******								
	*****						maafameenaan faarma			
	1									
					adjuster of the second	and a second second				
					uuun persetti daraan aan aan aan aan aan aan aan aan aa	una de la companya de				
				enterittikkuutetekkin estiltetiittikan tiittetiittikken terrestillikkillit						
			and and a second s							

			MWC 16	Historic Record	and led a	Forecast nd PG&I	ed R E's Es	TABLI Sesidentia timated	E 7-7 I and Data F	Non-R rom V	esidenti Vorkpa⊧	ial N per Ta	ew Bu ble 9-2	siness U 28	nits		
				1		2	3		4	5		6	1	7	8	9	10
	20	0.2	2004	2005	20.0	ĸ	2007	20		2000		20.10			2012		Estmated PG&E 2013
	20	03	2004	2005	200	70	2007	20		2009		2010	20		PG&E	DRA	PG&E
0,652	75,559	70,997	63,166	50	399	34,765		24,693	18,676		17,208	19	,534	20,616	28,355	28,35	42,766
.53%	50.48%	57.29%	50.56%	43	06%	31.71%	See 1810.00000000.000/100000000	33.45%	42.939		33.91%	35	.00%	34.45%	40.00%	40.00%	45.00
,073	38,142	40,674	31,936	21	704	11,024	NYYYNAN ALER ERICLANDOR YWYNY	ō,259	δ,ῦ ἰ	)	5,636		,637	7,102v	۲,342	11,542	19,24
7,779	37,417	30,323	31,230	28	695	23,741	drossenos receited kiziemethiologic	6,434	10,658	n di cono su abio intritti i a su cono	11,372	1 2	, 597	13,514	7,013	17,0 13	23,52
.73%	Description 109.29%	120.84%	132.30%	153.	31%	100.96%	enmean o nable's 't doore	36.24%	34.86%	6	61.29%	70	.00%	45.40%	٤0.00%	50.00%	90.00
,715	41,685	49,151	42,250	33	274	11,130		2,993	2,79	94990000000000000000000000000000000000	3,577	2016/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/11/2010/10/100/10	,786	3,224	9,074	5,6 / 1	17,32
		14,064	16,087	15	579	15,000		1,989	9,144	***************************************	8,487	8	,647	8,931	9,666	9,636	10,45
	stadili bashi kasubi mbasha kata shu bu bu ba ka kata shu bu bu ba kata kata kata kata kata kata kata k													1		1	
																	anna an ann an an ann an ann an an ann an a
													10 Million Associate Interne				
and a second sec																	
Contraction of Contra				/ NOTE	: All o	f DRA's nu	mbers	in the 2012	column	are reco	orded. (	Obtained	from P	G&E in reso	onse to Data Re	quest 211-GA	W on 3/19/

To begin the MWC 16 calculations, estimates for New Business connections must be determined for Residential customers (Line 1 of Table 7-7) and New Non-Residential customers (Line 7). As shown on those two lines, DRA and PG&E are in agreement for those forecasts for 2013 and 2014. As Footnote 1 in Table 7-7 indicates, DRA was able to obtain recorded 2012 data for all of the items in Column 11. Recorded Residential and Non-Residential connections are both slightly higher than PG&E had forecasted.

8 New Subdivision connections (Line 3 of Table 7-7) are calculated as a 9 percentage of the Residential connections. As Line 2 indicates, DRA has accepted 10 the 2013 and 2014 allocation percentages used by PG&E. For 2012, DRA utilized 11 recorded data, which resulted in an allocation percentage slightly lower than PG&E's 12 estimate.

Line 4 of Table 7-7 is calculated by subtracting Line 3 from Line 1. Because of DRA's access to recorded 2012 data, PG&E's and DRA's numbers for that year differ slightly, but are in agreement for 2013 and 2014.

16 New Residential Backbone connections (Line 6 of Table 7-7) are calculated 17 as a percentage of the Subdivision connections. Line 5 shows the allocation 18 percentages that were used to derive the Backbone connections. As can be seen 19 on Line 5, the allocation percentages have varied widely, from a high of 153.31% in 20 2007 to a low of 34.86% in 2010. PG&E forecasted an allocation of 70% for 2012, 21 and because of increased growth, increased that allocation by an additional 10 22 percentage points for 2013 as well as for 2014 (i.e., 2013 equals 80% and 2014 23 equals 90%). Based on recorded 2012 data, DRA has calculated that the allocation 24 percentage for 2012 is 45.40%, much lower than PG&E's forecast of 70%. DRA 25 agrees with PG&E that the Backbone allocation percentage will increase for 2013 26 and 2014, but in DRA's judgment, the 10 percentage point increases forecasted by 27 PG&E are too high, especially considering that the 2012 recorded allocation of 28 45.40% is actually a decrease from the 2011 percentage (61.29% in Column 9). In 29 DRA's judgment, increases of five percentage points each year are reasonable. 30 DRA is forecasting that the Backbone allocation percentages will be 50.00% in 2013 31 (versus PG&E's forecast of 80%) and 55.00% in 2014 (versus PG&E's estimate of

90%). Because DRA's Backbone allocation percentages for 2012, 2013, and 2014
 are lower than PG&E's forecasts, DRA's forecasts of New Backbone connections
 (Line 6) are also lower than PG&E's for all three years.

The last line on Table 7-7 shows the forecasts for New Non-Residential
connections. Because of DRA's access to recorded 2012 data, PG&E's and DRA's
numbers for that year differ slightly, but are in agreement for 2013 and 2014.

7 Once the numbers of new connections have been established, the next step 8 in the MWC 16 chain of calculations is to derive the costs to serve these new 9 connections, which is shown on Table 7-6. As shown on Lines 2, 5, 8, and 11 of 10 Table 7-6, PG&E has developed unit costs for each of the different categories of 11 connections. DRA has examined the 2013 and 2014 unit costs and has agreed with 12 PG&E's estimates. As Footnote 1 on that table indicates, DRA was able to obtain 13 recorded 2012 data for all of the items in Column 7. The recorded 2012 unit cost 14 data differ from PG&E's estimates – two of the recorded unit costs are higher than 15 forecasted, and two are lower.

Lines 1, 4, 7, and 10 on Table 7-6 contain the forecasts for the numbers of connections. These data are simply transferred from Table 7-7. The actual calculations for the costs are derived on Lines 3, 6, 9, and 12. For each class of customer connection, the number of new units is multiplied by the unit costs, resulting in the forecasted expenditures.

The last stage of the MWC 16 calculations is to incorporate these forecasts into Table 7-5, which summarizes all of the sub-MWCs. As Footnote 1 in that table indicates, DRA had access to recorded 2012 data. All of the information contained in Column 7 of Table 7-5 is recorded. The following sections discuss each of the lines in Table 7-5 for which DRA has made adjustments to PG&E's forecasts.

26

#### 1. Residential Expenditures

Line 1 of Table 7-5 shows the forecasted capital expenditures that PG&E and DRA have calculated are necessary to provide electric service to New Residential customers. The components of that calculation come from Table 7-6. More specifically, Line 1 of Table 7-5 equals the sum of Lines 3, 6, and 9 (Residential Backbone, Residential Subdivisions, and Residential Other expenditures) on Table
1 7-6. The differences between PG&E's and DRA's forecasts on Line 1 of Table 7-5. 2 are completely due to the differences that occurred in Tables 7-6 and 7-7, which 3 have been discussed previously. Since DRA used recorded 2012 data in Tables 7-6 4 and 7-7, the resulting 2012 DRA forecast for Line 1 of Table 7-5 is also recorded. 5 The net result of these changes is that DRA is recommending expenditures of 6 \$56.173 million for 2012 (versus \$64.000 million for PG&E), \$86.108 million for 2013 7 (versus \$94.000 million for PG&E), and \$127.379 million for 2014 (versus \$142.000 8 million for PG&E).

9

#### 2. Non-Residential Expenditures

10 MWC 16 costs for Non-Residential connections are shown on Line 2 of Table 11 7-5. The numbers shown on Line 2 come from Table 7-6, and are simply copied 12 from Line 12 of that table. (It should be noted that for its 2012, 2013, and 2014 13 estimates for Line 2 of Table 7-5, PG&E has chosen to round, to the nearest million, 14 the Table 7-6 forecasts.) As mentioned in the previous section, the differences 15 between PG&E's and DRA's forecasts on Line 2 of Table 7-5 are entirely due to the 16 differences that occurred in Tables 7-6 and 7-7, which have been discussed 17 previously. Since DRA used recorded 2012 data in Tables 7-6 and 7-7, the resulting 18 2012 DRA forecast for Line 2 of Table 7-5 is also recorded. The net result of these 19 changes is that DRA is recommending expenditures of \$111.057 million for 2012 20 (versus \$94.000 million for PG&E), \$104.702 million for 2013 (versus \$105.000 21 million for PG&E), and \$113.292 million for 2014 (versus \$113.000 million for 22 PG&E).

23

#### 3. PEV Related Expenditures

Line 3 of Table 7-5 shows MWC 16 costs associated with strengthening PG&E's electrical system to handle additional load caused by Plug-In Vehicles (PEV). PG&E has estimated that approximately 16% of the applications it receives for PEV-related load checks results in some type of capital improvement to address

load or voltage issues.<sup>34</sup> PG&E has also estimated that it has to spend \$7,500 in
 capital costs each time it makes a PEV-related system upgrade. DRA has examined
 these assumptions and agrees with them.

4 As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7, DRA had access to recorded 2012 5 data. DRA's 2012 PEV Expenditure amount of \$3.489 million is a recorded figure 6 that was provided by PG&E. DRA's Line 3 forecasts for 2013 and 2014 are based 7 on PEV estimates developed in MWC EV. DRA's expense witness for MWC EV has 8 developed PEV application estimates that differ from PG&E's estimates. DRA's 9 2013 and 2014 forecasts for Line 3 of Table 7-5 reflect the decreased PEV application estimates that are developed for MWC EV. $\frac{35}{2}$  The net result of these 10 changes is that DRA is recommending expenditures of \$3,489 million for 2012 11 12 (versus \$4.000 million for PG&E), \$2.352 million for 2013 (versus \$6.000 million for 13 PG&E), and \$2.880 million for 2014 (versus \$8.000 million for PG&E).

14

## 4. Transformer Purchases

15 Line 4 of Table 7-5 details the costs associated with purchasing transformers 16 to accommodate load growth caused by new connections. Transformer 17 expenditures are separated into three categories: purchases to support Residential 18 New Business (including PEV) growth, purchases to support Non-Residential New 19 Business growth, and purchases to support Other growth. These three categories 20 are indexed to the forecasted increases in capital expenditures for Residential New 21 Business connections, Non-Residential New Business connections, and Other connections.36 22

Footnote 1 in Column 7 of Table 7-5 states that DRA was able to obtain
 recorded 2012 data for all of the sub-MWCs, including Transformer Purchases. The
 use of this recorded data accounts for the difference between PG&E's and DRA's

35 See Exhibit DRA-5 (Electrical Distribution Expenses, Part 1 of 2).

**<sup>&</sup>lt;u>34</u>** Exhibit PG&E 4, page 9-18, lines 7 through 9.

**<sup>36</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 9-26, lines 7 through 14.

1 2012 forecasts. For both 2013 and 2014, PG&E and DRA utilized a complex 2 spreadsheet to calculate forecasts for Line 4. This spreadsheet has not been 3 included in this exhibit as it is fairly complicated. However, its basic concept is 4 relatively straight forward. First, year to year percentage increases are calculated 5 for Residential, Non-Residential, and Other capital expenditures. Second, those 6 percentages are applied to the last recorded transformer purchases for those same 7 categories. As an example, suppose that Residential New Business expenditures 8 for 2012 are 10% higher than the expenditures in 2011. Let's further suppose that 9 the cost of purchasing new transformers to support Residential New Business was 10 \$1.000 million in 2011. Then the calculated cost to purchase new Residentialrelated transformers in 2012 would be \$1.100 million. $\frac{37}{100}$  The same type of 11 12 calculation is made each year for each of the three categories of transformer 13 expenditures. The last part of the Line 4 calculations is simply to add together the 14 three categories of transformer purchases for each year. (It should be noted that 15 PG&E rounds its forecast to the nearest million.) Those totals constitute the 16 forecasts for Line 4 of Table 7-5. The net result of these changes is that DRA is recommending expenditures of \$60.139 million for 2012 (versus \$54.000 million for 17 18 PG&E), \$57.184 million for 2013 (versus \$57.000 million for PG&E), and \$62.961 19 million for 2014 (versus \$65.000 million for PG&E).

20

# 5. Transformer Scrapping

When old transformers are retired, PG&E incurs a cost to remove them, as shown on Line 5 of Table 7-5. For 2013 and 2014, PG&E has estimated that transformer scrapping costs will be \$3.000 million each year. DRA does not oppose PG&E's forecasts. For 2012, DRA used the recorded amount of \$3.731 million.

**<sup>37</sup>** \$1.000 million for 2011 Residential New Business transformer expenditures times the 10% increase in 2012 for Residential New Business costs equals a calculated 2012 Residential New Business transformer cost of \$1.100 million, 10% higher than the 2011 amount.

1

#### 6. Conclusions

2 As shown in Table 7-5, not only are there many sub-MWCs for this capital 3 work category, but some of the sub-MWCs are fairly complex to calculate. PG&E 4 and DRA are in agreement on the procedures for how these various forecasts 5 should be calculated. As discussed above, DRA has made adjustments to various 6 components of these calculations, including the use of 2012 recorded data. The net 7 result of DRA's adjustments is that DRA is recommending that MWC 16 capital 8 expenditures be \$24.590 million higher than PG&E's forecast in 2012, \$12.109 9 million lower in 2013 (which includes DRA's revised calculations for escalation), and 10 \$22.197 million lower in 2014 (which again includes revised escalation calculations). 11 PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 16 are summarized on Line 3 of Table 7-1.

#### 12

# D. MWC 06 – Distribution Line Capacity

MWC 06 reflects capital expenditures for expansion work that takes place outside of substations, and includes projects to correct capacity and overload problems on PG&E's distribution system. Line 4 of Table 7-1 summarizes PG&E's and DRA's forecasts for MWC 06. Table 7-8 (on the following page) provides a more detailed breakdown of the various sub-MWC capital categories that constitute MWC 06.

19 As Table 7-8 clearly shows, there are a number work categories contained in 20 MWC 06. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to 21 the recorded total expenditures for 2012. To be clear, the only recorded number 22 available to DRA was the total figure of \$89.408 million. DRA did not have access to 23 recorded 2012 data for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 06. In order for the 24 forecasts in Column 7 to total \$89.408 million, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 25 forecast and mathematically adjusted it (to \$40.749 million) so that the total for 26 Column 7 equaled the recorded amount.

		Recorded and PG&E's Estimated Data From Workpaper Table 12-5 Nominal Dollars (\$000)												
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
Line #	# MWC De:	scription			R	ecorded			2012		2013		-	
				2007	2008	2009	2010		DRA 1 PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DRA F	, аяр. Уд&Е ;	
eeder Projects	Assoc. with Substations	\$39,230	\$47,004	\$38,347	\$41,044	\$33,214	\$34,398	\$40,749	\$26,787	\$26,787	\$36,797	\$36,797	٦	
verhead Transfe	ormers	\$622	\$1,727	\$845	\$2,145	\$3,107	\$2,110	\$2,110	\$4,500	\$3,600	\$5,000	\$3,600	2000 20	
ircuits Reinforce	e nemis (DE Manayeu)	\$6,29 i	\$6,344	\$0,236	\$6,630	\$11,075	\$3,808	\$3,606	\$6,600	\$6 000	\$9,034	\$9,034	10000	
ircuits Reinforce	oments (PS Managed)	\$21,489	\$27,080	\$29,102	\$23,105	\$33,337	\$34,471	\$34,474	\$37,000	\$37,000	\$39,043	\$39,043	LAMAN	
einforce Circuits	s > 6000 Customers										-		-	
omplete Mainlir	ne Loops					\$2,705	\$2,470	\$2,470	\$2,0C0	\$2,000	\$7,500	\$3,271	00000	
oltage Complai	n's (Includes PEV)	\$2,187	\$2,147	\$2,840	\$2,328	\$2,463	\$2,800	\$2,800	\$2,7C0	\$2,700	\$3,500	\$3,500		
oltage Regulato	or Revolving Stock	\$3,283	\$2,383	\$5,858	\$3,905	\$4,357	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,8C0	\$3,800	\$4,324	\$4,324	state	
tion			anna a dhunna <u>a a a</u> dhalan a dhean a						\$2,30 I	\$2 298	\$2,715	\$2,525	177704.0	
tal		\$75,102	\$88,685	\$83,230	\$81,363	\$20,258	\$83,057	\$80,408	\$85,148	\$84,185	<b>\$1</b> \$7,913	\$182,004	+22000	
al Excluding 2	013 & 2014 Escalation	\$75.102	\$88,685	\$83,230	\$81,363	\$90,258	\$83,057	\$89,408	\$82,787	\$81,887	\$105,198	\$99,569	-	
		1							*****	nabilioinidanalisia 2001102270110			*****	
	- \$20191-07													

## 

A closer examination of Table 7-8 shows that PG&E and DRA are in
 agreement with most of the forecasts. DRA has made adjustments to only two of
 the sub-categories: Line 2 (Overhead Transformers) and Line 6 (Complete Mainline
 Loops). The next two sections will discuss DRA's adjustments for each of those
 sub-MWCs.

6

#### 1. MWC 06B – Overhead Transformers

Projects in this sub-category correct capacity deficient line transformers in PG&E's electrical distribution system. To correct these deficiencies, PG&E will either replace the existing transformer with one of a higher capacity, or add a new transformer and transfer load. As stated in its testimony, PG&E plans to increase the replacement of confirmed overloaded distribution line transformers beginning in 2014.<sup>38</sup>

In its workpapers, PG&E provides a table that shows that each transformer replacement is estimated to cost \$12,000; that amount is assumed to remain constant through 2016.<sup>39</sup> DRA has examined this cost and concludes that it is reasonable. In this same table, PG&E shows that it replaced 259 transformers in 2011, and forecasts replacing 176 in 2012. PG&E proposes replacing 375 in 2013 and 417 in 2014.

19 PG&E's has failed to justify its proposed transformer replacements for 2013 20 and 2014. For 2012, PG&E's forecast of 176 transformer replacements represents a 21 decrease of 83 replacements over the prior year. Clearly, PG&E has given low 22 priority to this matter. If there was some necessity to accelerate transformer 23 replacements, PG&E would have begun doing so in 2012, rather than decreasing 24 the replacements. In DRA's judgment, replacing 300 transformers in both 2013 and 25 2014 is a more reasonable estimate. DRA's 2013 and 2014 forecasts represent an 26 increase of 124 over the forecasted 2012 level, and are over 15% greater than the

**<sup>38</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 12-15, lines 16 and 17.

**<sup>39</sup>** Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 12-35, line 26.

recorded 2011 level of 259. As shown in Line 2 of Table 7-8, DRA's
 recommendation of 300 transformer replacements each year for 2013 and 2014
 results in a forecast that is \$0.900 million lower than PG&E's 2013 estimate, and is
 \$1.400 million lower than PG&E's 2014 estimate.

5

## 2. MWC 06E – Complete Mainline Loops

PG&E's primary mainline system is designed so that each section is
connected to the mainline at both ends. However, not all of PG&E's mainline
sections currently meet this design standard. PG&E is proposing to complete the
mainline loops on those sections that are not connected at both ends.

In its workpapers, PG&E shows that it wants to complete 115 mainline loop
 projects over the six-year period 2011 through 2016.<sup>40</sup> In the first three years of the
 completion period (2011 through 2013), PG&E is undertaking 16 mainline loop
 projects. DRA has examined the capital expenditures for that period and has
 concluded that PG&E's 2012 and 2013 forecasts are reasonable.

15 The remaining 99 projects are being forecasted for the last three years of the 16 completion period (2014 through 2016). DRA understands why PG&E wants to 17 undertake these projects. However, no justification has been provided to explain 18 why the number of mainline loop projects proposed for this rate case is over six 19 times greater than the previous three-year period. It is also important to note that 20 Line 6 of Table 7-8 shows that no projects of this type were done prior to 2011. That 21 fact, coupled with the fact that PG&E is only proposing to undertake 16 mainline loop 22 projects over the period 2011 through 2013, indicates that there is no urgency to 23 complete all 115 projects by 2016. DRA is recommending that 32 mainline loop 24 projects be undertaken in this second three-year period (2014 through 2016), which 25 is twice as many as were completed in the 2011 through 2013 period. DRA is 26 recommending that the 32 projects be equally divided over the three-year rate case

**<sup>40</sup>** Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, Workpaper Table 12-12, pages WP 12-37 through WP 12-41.

cycle (2014 through 2016). At an average cost of \$306,700 per project.<sup>41</sup> DRA's 1 2014 recommendation for this sub-MWC is \$3.271 million,<sup>42</sup> as compared to 2 3 PG&E's forecast of \$7,500 million.

4

## 3. Conclusions

5 As shown in Table 7-8, there are quite a few sub-MWCs for this capital work 6 category. PG&E and DRA are in agreement on the basic procedures for how these 7 various forecasts should be calculated. As discussed above, DRA has made 8 adjustments to several components of these calculations, and is using the recorded 9 total of \$89.408 million for 2012. The net result of DRA's adjustments is that DRA is 10 recommending that MWC 06 capital expenditures be \$6.351 million higher than 11 PG&E's forecast in 2012, \$0.963 million lower in 2013 (which includes DRA's 12 revised calculations for escalation), and \$5.819 million lower in 2014 (which again 13 includes revised escalation). PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 06 are 14 summarized on Line 4 of Table 7-1.

E. MWC 46 – Distribution Substation Capacity 15 16 Whereas MWC 06 (see previous section) reflects capital expenditures for 17 capacity expansion work that takes place outside of substations, MWC 46 examines 18 capacity work within substations. Typical projects consist of upgrading existing 19 substation banks, installing additional banks, or installing other equipment in new 20 substations. Line 6 of Table 7-1 summarizes PG&E's and DRA's forecasts for MWC 21 46. Table 7-9 (on the following page) provides a more detailed breakdown of the 22 various sub-MWC capital categories that constitute MWC 46.

<u>42</u> (32 total projects)+(3 vears)x(\$306,700 per project)=\$3.271 million for 2014.

<sup>41</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 12-41, line 117. Total capital expenditures for the 99 loop completion projects forecasted by PG&E during the 2014 through 2016 period are \$30,364,960. This equates to roughly \$306,700 for each of the 99 projects.

		R e c o r d d	MWC 4 ed and PG&	T 6 Distribut E's Estima Nominal	ABLE 7 ion Su ted Dat Dollars	-9 bstatio a Fror (\$00	n Capac n Workpa 0)	ity per Table	12-5	
			1	2	3	4		5	6	7
Line	#	MWC Desc	cription	200	7	2008	Records 2009	<u>е</u> Р( 2010	G & E	DRA 1 2011
							1	PG&E	DRA	PG&E
1,617	\$102,240	\$92,235	\$61,157	\$59,394	\$53,59	9	\$46,776	\$49,020	\$49,0	20 \$67,800
8,654	\$4,327	\$3,004	\$1,935	\$3,615	\$4,7	3 <b>1</b>	\$4,731	\$2,120	\$2,	120 \$5,250
0 0 7 1	 ¢ 106 567	 ¢05.020		 ¢62.000	 ¢50.2/	0	 ¢51507	\$1,476	\$1,- ¢50.4	4/0 \$1,842 s10 \$74,802
3,271	\$106,567	\$95,239	\$63,092	\$63,009	\$58,3	0	\$51,507	\$51,140	\$51, <sup>,</sup>	140 \$73,050
	Millachris Alsohhhhhmillissi Machris an un			ะมีออกการสมมอลกระจากกระจาที่สุดของอย่าง และออกการจากส				ide-mmasihiaisima asiiiiibabiioiseminimaad		
	ininiaidddanioi									

ation

1

1 As Table 7-9 shows, there are only a couple of work categories contained in 2 MWC 46. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to 3 the recorded total expenditures for 2012. The only recorded number available to 4 DRA was the total figure of \$51.507 million. DRA did not have access to recorded 5 2012 data for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 46. In order for the forecasts 6 in Column 7 to total \$51.507 million, DRA chose the Line 1 forecast and 7 mathematically adjusted it (to \$46.776 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled 8 the recorded amount.

A closer examination of Table 7-9 shows that, other than the use of recorded data for 2012, DRA made only one adjustment to PG&E's forecasts. This single adjustment to Line 1 of Table 7-9, amounting to \$1.000 million in 2014, reflects DRA's conclusion that one of PG&E's proposed capital projects will not be undertaken until after 2014.

During the capital review process of a GRC, DRA seeks to determine whether the requesting utility has adequately justified the need for each of its proposed capital projects. DRA then seeks to determine that the estimated cost of each project is reasonable. For substation projects, utilities have an additional regulatory requirement that must be met. General Order (GO) 131-D states, in part, the following in Section III.B:

"No electric public utility shall begin construction in this state of any
electric power line facilities or <u>substations</u> which are designed for immediate
or eventual operation at any voltage between 50 kV or 200 kV or new or
upgraded substations with high side voltage exceeding 50 kV without this
Commission's having first authorized the construction of said facilities by
issuance of a permit to construct in accordance with the provisions of
Sections IX.B, X, and XI.B of this General Order." (Emphasis added.)

As part of its regulatory burden, for each Substation project with high side voltage exceeding 50 kV, PG&E must either obtain a Permit To Construct (PTC) or a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) from the Commission, or it must determine that the project falls under one of the exempt categories, which excludes the project from compliance with the PTC portions of the Order.

SB\_GT&S\_0049545

1 To investigate this matter further, DRA issued Data Request DRA-160-GAW. 2 The thrust of this data request was to obtain an explanation of what authority PG&E 3 was operating under in order to proceed with these MWC 46 capital projects. In its 4 response, PG&E stated that it would be seeking a PTC for its proposal to construct 5 the Gosford Substation project, but had not yet done so. PG&E further stated that 6 the final permitting would be determined at a later date when the project was more 7 thoroughly defined.

8 In DRA's experience, PTCs are often lengthy proceedings that can take years 9 to resolve. The Gosford Substation project has apparently not yet been sufficiently 10 defined to begin the PTC process. PG&E has forecasted spending \$1.000 million for this project in 2014.<sup>43</sup> In DRA's judgment, it is likely that this project will not 11 12 begin until after 2014. At this stage, it is not even known whether or not the PTC will 13 be approved. DRA has therefore reduced PG&E's 2014 capital forecast by \$1.000 14 million (\$1.034 million when revisions for escalation are included). The adjustments 15 included in Table 7-9 are summarized on Line 6 of Table 7-1.

16 F. MWC 48 – Substation Replacement of Other Equipment 17 MWC 48 addresses PG&E's request to replace substation equipment (other 18 than transformers, which are discussed in MWC 54). Table 7-10 (on the following 19 page) provides a more detailed breakdown of the various sub-MWC capital 20 categories that constitute MWC 48. Line 12 of that table totals the sub-MWCs and 21 summarizes PG&E's and DRA's forecasts for 2012, 2013, and 2014 (including 22 forecasts for escalation).

**<sup>43</sup>** The Gosford Substation is a multi-year project that is not scheduled to be completed until 6/1/16. Workpaper Table 12-8 (page WP 12-19) shows on line 39 that \$1,000 million is scheduled to be spent in 2014, with additional expenditures in 2015 and 2016. DRA is not currently recommending that the project be cancelled, only that the 2014 expenditures be pushed back an additional year.

			MWC 48 Recorded	Distribution and PG&E's	TABLE Substation Estimated Nominal Dolla	7-10 Replacement Data From Wo ırs(\$000)	of Other Ed orkpaper Ta	quipment ble 13-12			
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
						Recorded					_
Line	#	NWC Description		2007	2008	2009	2010	PG&E <sub>2011</sub>	DRA 1	2012	
							_/	PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DRA
	\$5,566	\$23,949	\$25,261	\$17,682	\$28,125	\$34,377	\$26,541	\$33,588	\$33,588	\$42,962	\$33,588
11111111111111111111111111111111111111	~~\$5,417.4~m		\$1, <u>0</u> -3	\$2,540	\$10,166	\$6,004			\$7,500		\$9,000
				\$1,146	\$3,735	\$550	\$550	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$\$,600	\$3,600
	\$1,602	\$315	\$155	\$1,169	\$1,60 ş	\$800	\$800	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$1,800
	\$1,000	\$4,299	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			*****	\$233	\$1,208	<b>****</b>	·····\$*\$;290·····	Ξstim\$a1e2d00
substantians (bill)	\$957	\$421	\$347	\$1,075	\$961	\$1,841	\$1,841	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$^,800	\$1,800
	\$3			\$25	\$1,100	\$2,812	\$2,812	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$2,050	\$2,050
t Funding						\$2,246	\$(				
	\$1.695	\$808	\$1,030	\$2.448	\$2.025	\$581	\$581	\$2.086	\$2.086	\$ <sup>-</sup> .968	\$1,968
		(\$50)	\$21	(\$203)	\$86	\$0	\$0				
								\$1,532	\$1,518	\$1,641	\$1,387
	\$16 993	\$28.579	\$29.7f7	\$26.303	\$49.17k	\$ 50.40.1	\$40.319	\$54 906	\$54.892	\$6F 021	\$56,393
on	\$16,993	\$28,579	\$29,767	\$26,303	\$49,178	\$50,401	\$40,319	\$53,374	\$53,374	\$64,380	\$55,006
1111-0-012-012-012-012-012-012-012-012-0											e stantalististe
1112 best index heads	1										
	19-10 All All All All All All All All All Al		A CONTRACTOR OF								
									ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		the second se
		annennennennennennennennennennennennenne									unnoversites
									manan karan manan manan kar		
		1	NOTE: DRA's :	2012 Total of \$40,	319 is a recorded	amount. It was ob	tained from PG8	E in an e-mail dat	ed 3/1/13.		

1 As Table 7-10 clearly shows, there are quite a few work categories contained 2 in MWC 48. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access 3 to the recorded total expenditures for 2012. The only recorded number available to 4 DRA was the total figure of \$40.319 million. DRA did not have access to recorded 5 2012 data for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 48. In order for the forecasts 6 in Column 7 to total \$40.319 million, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 forecast and 7 mathematically adjusted it (to \$26.541 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled 8 the recorded amount.

A closer examination of Table 7-10 shows that, other than the use of recorded
data for 2012, DRA made only one adjustment to PG&E's forecasts. This single
adjustment to Line 1 of Table 7-10 only impacts 2014.

12 In the 2011 GRC, PG&E sought to undertake 14 switchgear replacement 13 projects over the period 2009 through 2013. In the current 2014 GRC, PG&E states 14 in its testimony that only two of the switchgear projects proposed during the last GRC were actually completed.  $\frac{44}{10}$  Indeed, a close inspection of the projects 15 16 proposed (and authorized) in the 2011 GRC shows that 11 of the replacement 17 projects are being requested again in the current GRC. In its testimony, PG&E 18 states that it decided to reschedule the original 2011 switchgear projects so that it could apply lessons learned from the two projects that it did complete.<sup>45</sup> More 19 20 specifically. PG&E states that rather than pursuing multiple switchgear projects 21 simultaneously as originally planned in the 2011 GRC, it decided to wait to complete 22 and learn from the Mission Substation project, and then leverage lessons learned from that project to the other switchgear projects. $\frac{46}{2}$ 23

In this current GRC, PG&E is proposing to work on 10 switchgear projects
 simultaneously in 2013. Since PG&E was only able to complete two switchgear
 projects during the last GRC cycle, undertaking 10 projects in one year may be

**<sup>44</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 13-9, lines 23 through 25.

<sup>45</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 13-9, lines 26-28.

**<sup>46</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 13-9, lines 29 through 33.

ambitious. DRA assumes that PG&E has gained experience from working on the
Mission Substation project, and is now better equipped to work on multiple
replacement projects. Therefore, even though PG&E's 2013 request of \$33.588
million is larger than any previous recorded year since at least 2007, DRA is
accepting PG&E's forecast.

6 For 2014, PG&E is proposing to work on 13 switchgear projects 7 simultaneously. This request is ambitious. PG&E's 2014 forecast of \$42.962 million 8 is more than 50% higher than the previous largest recorded expenditure (\$28.125) 9 million in 2011). In DRA's judgment, a more reasonable forecast for 2014 is the 10 \$33.588 million forecast proposed by PG&E (and accepted by DRA) for 2013. As 11 previously mentioned, the use of the \$33.588 million forecast will provide PG&E with 12 a higher level of expenditures than any previously recorded year since at least 2007. 13 It will also enable PG&E to work on multiple projects simultaneously, allowing for the 14 replacement of the most unreliable switchgears.

DRA has only made adjustments to one sub-MWC, and is using the recorded total of \$40.319 million for 2012. The net result of DRA's adjustments is that MWC 48 capital expenditures are \$40.319 million in 2012, which is \$10.082 million lower than PG&E's forecast. For 2014, DRA is recommending \$56.393 million (which includes DRA's revised escalation calculations), which is \$9.628 million lower than PG&E's estimate. PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 48 are summarized on Line 8 of Table 7-1.

22

## G. MWC 54 – Distribution Transformer Replacements

In MWC 54, PG&E identifies, prioritizes, and replaces transformers (within substations) that have the highest risk of failing. This program also maintains an adequate supply of mobile and emergency transformers.<sup>47</sup> Table 7-11 (on the following page) provides a more detailed breakdown of the various sub-MWC capital categories that constitute MWC 54.

**<sup>47</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 13-14, lines 2 through 6.

			MW Recor	C 54 Distribu rded and PG&	TAI ution Substa E's Estimate Nominal	BLE 7-1 ation Ro ed Data Dollars	1 eplace From (\$000	ment of Tran Workpaper ))	nsformers Table 13-12		
			1	2	3		4	5	6		7
								Recorded			
Line	#	MWC Descr	ption		2007	2008		2009	PG&E 2010	D R 2011	2A 1 2
								1	PG&E	DRA	PG&E
\$30,1	01	\$40,376 \$4	4,366	\$36,174	\$41,470	\$57	241	\$47,374	\$36,700	\$36, 00	\$53,950
\$1.2	37	\$5.857	2.968	\$423	\$3.198				\$1.200	\$1.20	0 \$3.000
\$1,7	01	\$520 \$	5,060	\$1,915	\$1,240	\$ 1	,267	\$1,267	\$2,100	\$2,10	0 \$6,300
				ana fanana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a		\$3	704	\$3,784			
 	100001993	(\$29)	(\$59)	(\$17 6)	\$230		\$57	\$57			
 * ~ ~ ~ ~									\$1,151	\$1,14	3 \$1,604
\$331 \$22 0	<u></u>	\$45.724 \$46.724 \$5	2 2 2 5	\$ 20 22	\$46,138	862 862	329	\$52.462	\$41,151	54 <u>1</u> 4	1 \$64,854 5 \$62,250
••••,•						00222000000000000000000000000000000000					
			_/ NOT	E: DRA'S 2012 To	tal of \$52,462 i	s a recorde	d amo	unt. It was obtai	ned from PG&E r		dated 3//113.

unding

1

n

**D R A** \$44,4 \$3,0 \$6,3 ----\$1,3 \$55,0 \$53,7

1 As Table 7-11 shows, there are several work categories contained in MWC 2 54. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to the 3 recorded total expenditures for 2012. The only recorded number available to DRA 4 was the total figure of \$52.462 million. DRA did not have access to recorded 2012 5 data for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 54. In order for the forecasts to 6 total \$52,462 million. DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 forecast and mathematically 7 adjusted it (to \$47.374 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled the recorded 8 amount.

9 A closer examination of Table 7-11 shows that, other than the use of recorded 10 data for 2012, DRA made only one adjustment to PG&E's forecasts. This single 11 adjustment to Line 1 of Table 7-11 only impacts 2014.

12 In its testimony, PG&E states that its 2014 forecast for Transformer 13 Replacements is based on replacing 11 transformers. PG&E states that the number of targeted transformer replacements is consistent with historical trends. $\frac{48}{10}$  In its 14 15 workpapers, PG&E shows a chart of historical transformer replacements for the period 2007 through 2011.<sup>49</sup> That table shows that the yearly replacement rate 16 17 varies between 6 and 14, with an historical average of less than 10. When the table 18 is expanded to include PG&E's forecasts for 2012 and 2013, the average drops to 19 just above 9. Because of the variability in the number of replacements, DRA is 20 recommending that the average of 9 replacements be used in 2014. DRA therefore 21 reduced PG&E's 2014 forecast of 11 replacements by two. In its workpapers, PG&E 22 states that the average unit cost for a transformer greater than 70 kV is \$4.775 million. $\frac{50}{2}$  Since DRA is recommending a reduction of two transformers, DRA 23 24 reduced PG&E's forecast by \$9.550 million, which is two times the unit cost for this 25

equipment.

Exhibit PG&E-4, page 13-14, lines 17 through 21.

**<sup>49</sup>** Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 13-15, Table 13-13.

<sup>50</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 13-16, line 54.

1 As discussed in the previous paragraphs, DRA has only made one 2 adjustment to one sub-MWC, and is using the recorded total of \$52.462 million for 3 2012. The net result of DRA's adjustments is that DRA is recommending that MWC 4 54 capital expenditures be \$52.462 million for 2012, \$9.867 million lower than 5 PG&E's forecast. For 2014, DRA's forecast is \$55.051 million (which includes 6 DRA's revised escalation calculation), \$9.803 million lower than PG&E's estimate. It 7 should be noted that even though DRA's 2014 forecast is lower than PG&E's, DRA's 8 estimate is over 30% higher than PG&E's 2013 forecast (which DRA accepts as 9 reasonable). PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 54 are summarized on Line 9 10 of Table 7-1.

11 H. MWC 08 – Distribution Reliability Base

12 Capital projects included in MWC 08 address local reliability issues that occur 13 routinely throughout PG&E's service territory. Typical projects include: installing 14 fused cutouts, line reclosers, sectionalizers, switches, fault indicators, fused 15 switches and interrupters; rebuilding and reframing overhead distribution lines; and performing other reliability and system protection improvement work.  $\frac{51}{100}$  Table 7-12. 16 shown on the next page, provides a more detailed breakdown of the capital 17 18 categories that constitute MWC 08. 19 As Table 7-12 shows, there are several sub-MWCs contained in MWC 08. As 20 indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to the recorded 21 total expenditures for 2012. The only recorded number made available to DRA was 22 the total figure of \$18.547 million. DRA did not have access to recorded 2012 data 23 for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 08. In order for the forecasts in Column 24 7 to total \$18.547 million, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 2 forecast and

25 mathematically adjusted it (to \$6.172 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled

the recorded amount.

<sup>51</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-15, lines 5 through10.

			Rec	corded a	MWC 08 nd PG&E's E No	TABLE Distributic Stimated ominal Dol	7-12 on Reliability Data From V Ilars (\$000)	/ Base Vorkpape	r Table 15-5				
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Э	
							Recorded						
	Line #		MWC Description	n	2007	2008	2009	2010	PG&E 2011	DRA 1	2012		201
E								_/	PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DRA	PG&
am		\$4,642	\$6,036	\$5,06	\$4,402	\$4,001	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$9580	\$9,580	
Replacement Pro	gram	\$3,527	<u>\$2,62</u> 0	\$3,02	\$3,302	\$5,929	\$9,199	\$6,172	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$32,500	େମ୍ବୋଡିସ 🖉	
ng Stock		\$2,885	\$1,189	\$1,213	\$9,440	\$10,736	\$8,875	\$8,875	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,420	\$17,820	
									\$705	\$700	\$1,686	\$1,092	
	aanniniinmistoiastattiisfiit	\$11,054		\$9,29	\$47,234	\$20,666	\$21,565	\$18,547	\$25 205	\$25,200	\$68,186	\$44,492	
013 & 2014 Esca	ation	\$11,054	\$9,845	\$9,294	\$17,234	\$20,666	\$21,565	\$18,547	\$24 500	\$24,500	\$66,500	\$43,400	
		1					555550701010000000000000000000000000000				0.0000.0000000000000000000000000000000		
				/ NOTE:	DRA's 2012 Tota	l of \$18,547	is a recorded an	mount. It w	as obtained from	PG&E in	n e-mail dated 3	3/8/13.	

A closer examination of Table 7-12 shows that, other than the use of recorded data for 2012, DRA made two adjustments to PG&E's proposed forecasts, both of which only impact 2014. Each of those adjustments will be discussed in the following two sections.

5

#### 1. Overhead Conductor Replacement Program

PG&E's electric distribution system includes over 113,500 miles of overhead conductor.<sup>52</sup> To improve system safety and integrity, PG&E is forecasting an increase for overhead conductor replacement work that will address annealed or deteriorated conductors. To calculate this sub-MWC (Line 2 of Table 7-12), PG&E takes a straightforward approach: it develops a cost (per foot) to replace overhead conductors, and multiplies that cost by the amount of replacement footage it forecasts it will replace each year

DRA examined PG&E's forecasted costs to replace a circuit foot of distribution line, and agrees that those costs are reasonable. DRA also agrees with PG&E's proposal to replace 80,000 circuit feet in 2013. However, PG&E is proposing to replace 325,000 circuit feet in 2014, over four times the quantity estimated in 2013.<sup>53</sup> Not only is this over four times greater than its 2013 forecast, but it is also four times greater than the highest previously recorded replacement amount (81,312 circuit feet in 2008) since at least 2008.

PG&E states that a Value of Service (VOS) study shows a benefit to cost ratio for this project of 2.0.<sup>54</sup> However, even if the VOS study is positive, judgment must be exercised in determining the quantity of replacements carried out each year. As an extreme example, no one would seriously suggest that all 113,500 miles of overhead conductor be replaced in one year, even assuming the benefit to cost ratio is positive. In DRA's judgment, an increase in the quantity of overhead conductor

<sup>52</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-16, lines 14 and 15.

<sup>53</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 15-7, line 2.

<sup>54</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-17, lines 8 and 9.

1 replacements is warranted in 2014, but not at the increased amount suggested by 2 PG&E. DRA recommends that 160,000 circuit feet of overhead conductor be 3 replaced in 2014, which is a more moderate increase than proposed by PG&E. That 4 quantity represents a 100% increase over PG&E's request for the prior year, and is 5 nearly double the previously highest recorded amount replaced (81,312 circuit feet in 2008). Using a replacement cost of \$100 per circuit foot, <sup>55</sup> DRA's 2014 forecast of 6 7 replacing 160,000 circuit feet results in a recommended capital expenditure of 8 \$16.000 million. Using the same replacement cost, PG&E's forecast of replacing 9 325,000 circuit feet results in a 2014 cost of \$32.500 million.

10

## 2. Line Recloser Revolving Stock

Due to PG&E's increased usage of line reclosers, it has determined that it is more cost effective to purchase and stock all of its reclosers through a centralized process. Similar to the previous section, PG&E forecasts its expenditures for this sub-MWC (Line 3 of Table 7-12) using a simple process: PG&E determines a reasonable cost for each recloser that it purchases, and multiplies this cost by the number of units it proposes to buy in a given year.

DRA examined PG&E's forecasted unit cost to replace a single line recloser,
and agrees that those costs are reasonable. DRA also agrees with PG&E's
proposal to replace 545 reclosers in 2013. In 2014, PG&E is proposing to replace
1,110 reclosers in 2014, more than double the previous year.
As will be discussed in MWC 49 (see Section I, to follow), DRA is

22 recommending reductions to the number of Fault Location, Isolation and Service

23 Restoration (FLISR) systems PG&E is proposing to install in 2014. DRA

24 recommends that 100 systems be installed, half of PG&E's forecast of 200. As

- shown in PG&E's workpapers, there are three reclosers per FLISR system.<sup>56</sup>
- 26 Therefore, a decrease of 100 FLISR system installations in 2014 will result in a

<sup>55</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 15-7, line 3. On that table, PG&E estimates a replacement cost (per circuit foot) of \$100 for 2013 and 2014.

<sup>56</sup> Workpaper for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 15-9, line 21.

decrease of 300 recloser purchases in that year. Consequently, in calculating its
estimate for Line 3 of Table 7-12, DRA reduced PG&E's 2014 forecast of 1,110
reclosers by 300, resulting in a forecast of 810. Using a replacement cost of
\$22,000 per line recloser,<sup>57</sup> DRA's 2014 forecast of replacing 810 reclosers results
in a recommended capital expenditure of \$17.820 million. Using the same
replacement cost, PG&E's forecast of replacing 1,110 reclosers results in a 2014
cost of \$24.420 million.

8

## 3. Conclusions

9 As discussed in the previous two sections, DRA has made two adjustments to 10 MWC 08, and is using the recorded total of \$18.547 million for 2012. The net result 11 of these adjustments is that DRA is recommending that total MWC 08 capital 12 expenditures be \$18.547 million in 2012, \$3.018 million lower than PG&E's forecast. 13 For 2014, DRA's forecast is \$44.492 million (which includes DRA's revised 14 escalation calculation), \$23.694 million lower than PG&E's estimate. It should be noted that even though DRA's 2014 forecast is lower than PG&E's, DRA's estimate 15 16 is over 76% higher than PG&E's 2013 forecast (which DRA has found reasonable). 17 PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 08 are summarized on Line 10 of Table 7-1.

18

# I. MWC 49 – Reliability Circuit / Zone

Capital projects contained within MWC 49 include reliability improvements beyond those addressed in MWC 08. Those projects include the installation of Fault Location, Isolation and Service Restoration (FLISR) systems, improvement of poor performing circuits, installation of overhead fuses, installation of overhead line reclosers and underground protective devices, installation of fault indicators, replacement of recloser controls, and work in communities to resolve high-impact

25 reliability issues.<sup>58</sup>

<u>58</u>

<sup>57</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 15-8, line 13. On that table, PG&E estimates a unit cost of \$22,000 for 2012, 2013, and 2014.

**B** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-14, lines 12 through 15, and page 15-15, lines 1 and 2.

1 Table 7-13, shown on the next page, provides a more detailed breakdown of 2 the capital categories that constitute MWC 49. As that table clearly shows, there are 3 numerous sub-MWCs contained in MWC 49. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 4 7 of that table, DRA had access to the recorded total expenditures for 2012. The 5 only recorded number available to DRA was the total figure of \$61.923 million. DRA 6 did not have access to recorded 2012 data for the sub-categories that constitute 7 MWC 49. In order for the forecasts in Column 7 to total \$61.923 million, DRA 8 arbitrarily chose the Line 2 forecast and mathematically adjusted it (to \$54.144 9 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled the recorded amount.

A closer examination of Table 7-13 shows that, other than the use of recorded data for 2012, DRA made only one adjustment to PG&E's proposed forecasts. That adjustment impacts the 2014 forecast for FLISR system expenditures (Line 1 of Table 7-13).

14 The FLISR program was authorized by the Commission in the Cornerstone 15 decision (D.10-06-048). Attachment A to that decision specifies the capitalized 16 expenditures that were authorized. Attachment A states that over the four-year 17 period 2010 through 2013, PG&E was authorized to install FLISR systems, totaling 18 \$136.341 million, on the 400 worst performing circuits, with appropriate prioritization 19 of projects based on the severity of the problem and cost effectiveness analysis. 20 The Cornerstone program ends in 2013, and expenditures associated with 21 Cornerstone are excluded from this GRC (with the exception of using 2012 recorded 22 data). However, as discussed in its testimony, PG&E is proposing to continue the FLISR program.<sup>59</sup> PG&E proposes shifting this program to MWC 49 beginning in 23 24 2014, and forecasts expenditures of \$60,000 million per year in order to install 200 25 FLISR systems per year.

**<sup>59</sup>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-12, lines 3 and 4.

			Re	c o r d e d	MWC 49 Di and PG&E'	TABL stribution Reli s Estimated Nominal D	.E 7-13 iability Circuit Data From ollars (\$000	/Zone Workpape )	r Table 15	-5		
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
							Record	ed .				
	Line #		MWC Description	n	20	07 200	8 2009	2010	PG&E 201	DRA 1	2012	2
								_/	PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DRA PO
						0000	<b>— — — — — — — — — —</b>				\$60,000	\$30,000
ve		\$1,702	\$5,947	\$14,143	\$54,422	\$57,259	\$52,128	\$54,144	\$52 999	\$52,000	\$26,)00	\$26,000
ades		\$3,690	\$5,228	\$2,307	\$7,582	\$2,363	\$2,024	\$2,024	\$800	\$800	\$1,300	Estimated
		\$8,295	\$13,654	\$11,039	\$12,244	\$6,204	\$ ,796	\$1,796	\$3,100	\$3,100	\$6,200	\$6,000
1		\$1,157	\$2,750	\$1,51#	\$4,858	\$2,298	\$冷,015	\$2,815	\$1,888	\$1,000	\$2,400	\$2,400
nead and Underg	round	\$4,054	\$3,232	\$2,731	\$2,879	\$2,944	\$1,944	\$1,944	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$5,250	\$5,250
									\$1,719	\$1,700	\$2,590	\$1,799
40 8 0044 5		321,090	323,310	931,732 001,732	301,770	\$71,007	\$J3,907	\$01,925	301713	\$01,700	\$103,640	373,049
10 4 2014 2004		<u>1</u>	\$23,310									
				/ NOTE:	DRA's 2012	Total of \$61,923	3 is a recorded	amount. It w	as obtained fr	om PG&E in á	n e-mail date	aanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaa moosaanaanaanaanaanaa d 3/1/13.

1 PG&E claims that the reliability of its electric distribution system has been improving.<sup>60</sup> DRA agrees with PG&E's request to continue making these reliability 2 3 improvements if they can be accomplished in a cost-effective manner. However, in 4 DRA's judgment, PG&E's 2014 FLISR installation request is excessive. As noted in 5 the Cornerstone decision, the 400 worst performing circuits are being addressed in 6 that program over the 2010 through 2013 period. The 400 worst circuits are "low 7 hanging fruit" in the sense that installing FLISR systems on those circuits will garner 8 the most improvements in reliability.

9 PG&E's FLISR proposal for MWC 49 would entail spending \$180.000 million 10 to install 600 FLISR systems over the period 2014 through 2016, far more than the 11 \$136.341 million that was found reasonable over the four-year period covered by 12 Cornerstone. PG&E's test year 2014 forecast will result in PG&E spending more 13 money to gain fewer benefits. Rather than installing 200 FLISR systems in 2014, as 14 proposed by PG&E, DRA has concluded that 100 installations are more reasonable. 15 DRA's recommendation of 100 installations equals the average number of 16 installations undertaken during the Cornerstone period (i.e., 400 installations over 17 the four-year period 2010 through 2013 equals 100 per year). DRA estimates that 18 100 FLISR installations in 2014 will allow PG&E to continue its reliability 19 improvement program. At \$300,000 per system, DRA's 2014 FLISR system forecast is \$30.000 million.<sup>61</sup> 20 21 PG&E states that a Value of Service (VOS) study shows a benefit to cost ratio

PG&E states that a Value of Service (VOS) study shows a benefit to cost ratio
for this project of 31.2.<sup>62</sup> However, even if the VOS study is positive, judgment must
be exercised in determining the quantity of FLISR system installations carried out
each year. As an extreme example, no one would recommend that all 600 FLISR
systems that PG&E proposes to install during the 2014 through 2016 period should

<sup>60</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, pages 15-4 through 15-11.

<sup>61</sup> Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 15-9, line 42. (\$300,000 per system)x(DRA's 2014 forecast of 100 systems)=(\$30.000 million).

<sup>62</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 15-21, lines 24 and 25.

1 be immediately installed even assuming the benefit to cost ratio is positive. In DRA's 2 judgment, installing 100 FLISR systems in 2014 continues the average replacement 3 rate found reasonable in the Cornerstone decision, and will allow PG&E to continue 4 its reliability improvements. The net result of DRA's adjustments is that DRA is 5 recommending that total MWC 49 capital expenditures be \$61.923 million in 2012, 6 \$2.016 million higher than PG&E's forecast. For 2014, DRA's forecast is \$73.049 7 million (which includes DRA's revised escalation calculation), \$30.791 million lower 8 than PG&E's estimate. PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 49 are summarized 9 on Line 12 of Table 7-1.

# J. MWC 56 – Replacement of Underground Assets

10

11 PG&E's electric underground distribution system consists of primary 12 distribution cables and associated switches, vaults, enclosures, conduits, splices, cable connectors, and other equipment.<sup>63</sup> Capital projects for MWC 56 primarily 13 14 consist of replacing cables and switches in order to provide safe and reliable service. 15 When underground cables fail, and the nature of the failure requires the immediate 16 replacement (or repair) of the cable, that work is charged to MWC 17. MWC 56 only 17 includes capital costs for failed cables that do not require immediate repair. Table 7-18 14, shown on the next page, provides a more detailed breakdown of the capital 19 categories that constitute MWC 56.

20 As Table 7-14 clearly shows, there are several sub-MWCs contained in MWC 21 56. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to the 22 recorded total expenditures for 2012. The only recorded number available to DRA 23 was the total figure of \$72.018 million. DRA did not have access to recorded 2012 24 data for the sub-categories that constitute MWC 56. In order for the forecasts in 25 Column 7 to total \$72.018 million, DRA arbitrarily chose the Line 1 forecast and 26 mathematically adjusted it (to \$37.018 million) so that the total for Column 7 equaled 27 the recorded amount.

**<sup>&</sup>lt;u>63</u>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 16-1, lines 11 through 13.

			Re	c o r d e d	MWC 56 and PG&	TA Undergro E's Estima Nominal	NBLE 7-14 ound Cable ted Data Fr Dollars (\$000)	Replaceme om Workpa	ent per Table 16	-5	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
							R	ecorded		-	
ine	#	MWC	Descri	otion		2007	2008	2009	PG&E 2010 21	DRA 1	2012
								_!	PG&E	DRA	PG&E
	\$30.055	\$22 084	<u>\$ 1</u> '	348	\$31.503	\$ 34 142	\$39.200	\$37.018	\$38.600	\$38.600	\$76.400
					\$16	\$798	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$21,000
			linnololis todalalainininiosis	৯৪৪	\$5,91	\$20,881	\$23,000	\$28,000	\$22,400	\$22,400	\$39,200
									\$1,918	\$1,895	\$3,478
:	\$30,055	\$22,084	\$1	,437	\$37,430	\$55,821	\$74,200	\$72,018	\$68,918	\$68,895	\$140,078
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	<b>,,,,,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Φ22,084	φî.		ΦΟ1, 4 Ο (solution)	Φυυ, σΖ1	nii labaadaa aa ah a	φ', 2,0 ΤΟ	\$\$\$7,000	<u> </u>	and and a second s
,								maanaa ka k			
,											
						2 Total of \$72	0.1.9 is a record				

es

acements

14 Escalation

F

Line 1 of Table 14 contains the recorded and forecasted estimates for the types of projects that have been traditionally included in MWC 56. Such capital categories as Tie-Cable Replacement, Critical Operating Equipment (COE) Cable Replacement, and Reliability-Related Cable Replacement are all included in Line 1. Lines 2 and 3 of Table 7-14 represent new work initiatives that PG&E is including in this GRC for the first time: Network Cable Replacement and TGRAM/TGRAL Switch Replacements.

A closer examination of Table 7-14 shows that, other than the use of recorded data for 2012, DRA made two adjustments to PG&E's proposed forecasts. Both adjustments (one for Line I and one for Line 3) only impact 2014. Each of these proposed DRA adjustments will be discussed in the following two sections.

12

## 1. Traditional MWC 56 Expenditures

13 Tie-Cable Replacement, Critical Operating Equipment (COE) Cable 14 Replacement, and Reliability-Related Cable Replacement are the traditional capital 15 categories found in MWC 56. DRA examined PG&E's proposed 2013 capital 16 forecasts for these three categories and accepts them. However, PG&E is 17 forecasting large increases for two of these three categories starting in 2014. For 18 the area of Reliability-Related Cable Replacements, PG&E's 2014 forecast is slightly 19 higher than in previous years, and DRA has concluded that the forecast is 20 reasonable. However, for the other two project categories, PG&E's rationale for the 21 large 2014 increases appears to be to catch-up with project backlogs. 22 In its testimony, PG&E states that it rescheduled and reprioritized the Tie-Cable Replacement work it had scheduled to do in the last GRC.  $\frac{64}{2}$  PG&E also 23 24 notes that it wants to reduce the backlog of existing COE Cable Replacement projects.<sup>65</sup> In DRA's judgment, there should not have been any backlogs in the first 25 26 place.

<sup>64</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 16-16, lines 12 through 14.

**<sup>65</sup>** Workpapers for Exhibit PG&E-4, page WP 16-29, footnote 1.



1 As shown in Table 7-15 on the previous page, PG&E has historically spent 2 much less than it was authorized for cable replacements. By the end of 2011, PG&E 3 had cumulatively, over the period 2007 through 2011, spent nearly \$173 million less 4 than it had been authorized. In DRA's judgment, any forecasted expenditure that is 5 significantly larger than the \$30+ million that was expended in 2010 and 2011 is 6 being proposed so as to catch-up with projects that were previously deferred and to 7 eliminate backlogs. DRA has concluded that the 2013 forecast of \$38.600 million 8 (used by both PG&E and DRA) is also reasonable for 2014.

As discussed in Section V, the Commission has increasingly been reluctant to allow utilities to seek ratepayer funding for previously authorized projects that have been deferred. This same reluctance should be applied to MWC 56. Furthermore, PG&E has provided no assurance that underground replacement deferrals will not continue in the future. Based on PG&E's expenditure history, DRA is uncertain that the deferral/backlog problems would be addressed even if PG&E was authorized to increase its 2014 MWC 56 spending to its requested levels.

DRA's recommended 2014 expenditure level of \$38.600 million is \$37.800 million less than PG&E's forecast. However, this lower level does not indicate that the backlog/deferral of traditional MWC 56 capital expenditures should be ignored, or delayed to a future GRC. DRA's lower forecast reflects DRA's recommendation that ratepayers not be required to once again foot the bill for capital projects that have been deferred for many years.

22

#### 2. TGRAM/TGRAL Switch Replacements

The second adjustment recommended by DRA involves Line 3 of Table 7-14; this is one of the new work initiatives that PG&E is including in this GRC for the first time. Transfer Ground Rocker Arm Main / Transfer Ground Rocker Arm Line (TGRM/TGRAL) switches consist of an operating assembly contained in a welded steel tank filled with nearly 100 gallons of insulating oil.<sup>66</sup> These switches were developed for use in underground vaults in order to operate the underground electric

**<sup>&</sup>lt;u>66</u>** Exhibit PG&E-4, page 16-6, lines 5 through 8.

distribution system. PG&E plans to replace 100 switches in 2012, 80 in 2013, and
 140 each year in 2014 through 2016.

PG&E states that it has determined that the TGRAM/TGRAL switches need to be retired due to reliability and safety concerns. PG&E inspected each of these switches, and ranked them based on their condition. Appendix A at the end of this exhibit contains a copy of this condition-based ranking. As shown in Appendix A, as of the end of 2011, 155 of the 771 switches have already been replaced, with 616 switches remaining to be replaced. PG&E states that it plans to replace an additional 100 switches in 2012, resulting in 516 remaining.

As mentioned previously, PG&E is forecasting the replacement of 80
 TGRAM/TGRAL switches in 2013. Although this is lower than the projected 100
 replacements for 2012, DRA concludes that this 2013 replacement level is
 reasonable. However, DRA has concluded that the 140 replacements forecasted by
 PG&E in 2014 are excessive.

15 DRA is recommending that 100 TGRAM/TGRAL switches be replaced each 16 year beginning in 2014. This equates to all of the remaining switches being replaced 17 in slightly more than four years (roughly one year longer than PG&E's proposed 18 schedule), as there are 436 switches that are scheduled for replacement as of the beginning of 2014.<sup>67</sup> In its testimony, PG&E indicates that it would like to replace all 19 20 of the switches by the end of 2016, although it should be noted that even PG&E's proposed replacement rate of 140 switches per year will not accomplish that goal.68 21 22 In DRA's judgment, extending the replacement schedule by an additional year 23 beyond what PG&E is requesting poses no significant risk and is reasonable. 24 As shown in Appendix A of this report, 441 of the 661 total switches that will 25 ultimately be replaced fall into the lowest (Tier 8) category, meaning that these

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{67}{100}$  As of the end of 2011, there were 616 TGRAM/TGRAL switches remaining to be replaced. Subtracting the 100 that are scheduled for replacement in 2012 and the 80 scheduled for replacement in 2013 leaves 436.

**<sup>68</sup>** Replacing 140 switches per year over the 3-year period 2014 through 2016 equates to 420 replacements, less than the 436 switches that remain.

1 switches have no significant visible oil leaks or corrosion. Stated another way, as of 2 the end of 2011, the total combined number of switches falling into Tiers 1 through 7 3 equals 175 switches, and should be addressed by the 180 switches replaced in 2012 and 2013.<sup>69</sup> Therefore, extending the replacement period by an additional 4 5 vear (from 2016 to 2017) will not compromise safety or reliability. Since PG&E 6 forecasted that it would replace 100 switches in 2012 at a cost of \$28,000 million. 7 DRA is using that same figure for the 100 switches that it recommends be replaced 8 in 2014.

9

## 3. Conclusions

10 As discussed in the previous two sections, DRA has made two adjustments to MWC 56, and is using the recorded total of \$72.018 million for 2012. The net result 11 12 of its adjustments is that DRA is recommending that MWC 56 capital expenditures 13 be \$2.182 million lower than PG&E's forecast in 2012. For 2014, DRA is forecasting 14 total MWC 56 expenditures of \$89.814 million (which includes DRA's revised 15 escalation calculation), \$50.264 million lower than PG&E's estimate. It should be 16 noted that even though DRA's 2014 forecast is lower than PG&E's, DRA's estimate 17 still represents a 30% increase over PG&E's 2013 forecast (which DRA has found 18 reasonable). PG&E's and DRA's estimates for MWC 56 are summarized on Line 13 19 of Table 7-1.

20 K. MWC 30 – Rule 20A

Rule 20A provides that utilities will convert existing overhead electric
distribution lines, telecommunication lines, cable lines, etc. to underground facilities
when such undergrounding has been determined to be in the public interest. To
make this determination, a city or county government reviews a potential Rule 20A
project to ensure it meets the criteria described in the tariffs. In addition, the local

**<sup>69</sup>** As of the end of 2011, Appendix A shows that 616 switches need to be replaced. Subtracting the 441 switches in Tier 8, there remain 175 switches in Tier 1 through Tier 7 combined. Since 100 switches are scheduled to be replaced in 2012, with 80 more replaced in 2013 (for a total of 180), the Tier 1 through Tier 7 switches should be largely replaced by 2014.

1 government must create an underground district and meet with the public and utility

2 representatives.<sup>70</sup>

Table 7-16, on the next page, sets forth the recorded expenditures and the 2012 through 2014 forecasts for MWC 30. As that table shows, there are no sub-MWCs to analyze. As indicated by Footnote 1 in Column 7 of that table, DRA had access to the recorded total expenditures for 2012. The recorded 2012 expenditures for MWC 30 were \$52.426 million, \$9.373 million less than PG&E had forecasted for that year.

9 PG&E calculates and allocates what it terms "work credits" in accordance with 10 Section 2.b of the Rule 20A tariff. These work credits are allocated annually to the 11 city or county. Because the cost for undergrounding overhead distribution facilities 12 is usually guite expensive, it can take a number of years for a city or county to 13 accumulate sufficient work credits to fund a Rule 20A project. These annual credits 14 (as well as the accumulated credits) are not actual cash dollars; there is no bank 15 account maintained by the cities or by PG&E that contains these credits or their 16 dollar equivalent. To help explain this concept, PG&E uses the analogy of "frequent 17 flyer miles" – an airline passenger accumulates sufficient mileage credits until they 18 can be redeemed for a seat upgrade or a free flight. Rule 20A work credits operate 19 in a similar manner; a city or county government accumulates sufficient credits until 20 they are "redeemed" for a Rule 20A project.

<sup>70</sup> Exhibit PG&E-4, page 18-2, lines 21 through 28.

			Recor	rd e d	and PG&E's	TAB MWC 30 s Estimate Nominal	LE 7-1 )Rul d Data Dollars	6 e 207 i Fro (\$00	A m Workpap 90)	oer Table	18-5		
				1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	
Line	#	мwс	Descrip	tion		20.0.7	2008		Recorded 2009	PG&E	DRA 2011	1 2012	
						2007	2000		_/	PG&E	DRA	PG&E	DRA
	\$45,385	\$39,916	\$41	,142	\$36,610	\$33,628	\$61,	799	\$52,426	\$86,000	\$52,426	\$86,000	\$52,
	<u></u> \$45,385	\$39,916	<u></u> \$41	,142	\$36,610	\$33,628	<u></u> \$61,	799	\$52,426	\$2,451 \$83,451	\$53,896	\$88,222	\$53
	\$45,385	\$39,910	\$41	,142	\$36,610	\$33,628	\$61,	799	\$52,426	\$80,000	\$52,426	\$86,000	\$52,
				nimi//w			0	ninis (10.6 km/mm frankritis)				had hand hidd diada fela hadd doll yn gyfalanad fero o 6600 6600 felan ero o felor yn renn d	to
2002/02/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00													20
				/ NO	TE: DRA's 201	2 Total of \$52	2,426 is	a reco	rded amount.	lt was obtain	ed from PG&	E in an e-mail da	ed 3/1,

#### 14 Escalation

- 1 Over the past several rate case cycles, it has been noted that the level of
- 2 unspent work credits has been increasing. In its 2003 GRC, PG&E stated that the
- 3 accumulated amount had reached \$296 million (as of 2001) and that it therefore
- 4 expected to perform a large amount of undergrounding in 2002 and 2003.<sup>71</sup>
- 5 Similarly, in its 2007 GRC, PG&E stated:

6 By 2005, the total accumulation of unspent allocations was 7 approximately \$355.6 million. This large accumulation has created an 8 increased demand by the cities and counties for Rule 20A work. As a 9 result, PG&E's forecast of Rule 20A capital expenditures anticipates 10 the cities' and counties' demand for substantial undergrounding work in 11 2005-2009.<sup>72</sup>

12 In its 2011 GRC, PG&E stated:

By the start of 2009, cities had approximately \$818.4 million in total accumulated work credits, and in addition, because Rule 20A allows communities to borrow up to five years of work credit allocations at the "then-current levels," communities could borrow (and redeem) up to \$404.9 million in allocated work credits in addition to their accumulated

- 18 unspent balance.<sup>73</sup>
- 19 In its current GRC, PG&E states that it is implementing a plan to increase the
- rate at which requested Rule 20A projects are completed.<sup>74</sup> PG&E also states that
- 21 it would like to eliminate the backlog of work by 2017, and proposes to greatly
- 22 increase its forecast of MWC 30 expenditures in 2013 through 2016 by spending
- 23 \$86.000 million per year. (See Columns 8 and 10 in Table 7-16.) As can be seen in
- Line 1 of Table 7-16, \$86.000 million is much higher than any recorded expenditure
- since at least 2007.

74 Exhibit PG&E-4, page 18-6, lines 2 and 3.

<sup>71</sup> PG&E 2003 GRC (A.02-11-017), Exhibit PG&E-2, page 3-32.

<sup>72</sup> PG&E 2007 GRC (A.05-12-002), Exhibit PG&E-4, page 3-32, lines 17 through 22.

<sup>73</sup> PG&E 2011 GRC (A.09-12-020), Exhibit PG&E-3, page 7-7, lines 16 through 21.

1 DRA analyzed several factors in order to evaluate the reasonableness of 2 PG&E's proposal to increase spending. First, DRA examined the Rule 20A reports 3 that are sent to the Commission. In accordance with Ordering Paragraph 7 of 4 Decision 73078 in Case 8209, PG&E is required to submit an annual report to the 5 Commission providing various financial information regarding the amounts of Rule 6 20A allocations and the amounts that have actually been spent. DRA has included 7 the Rule 20A financial data for 2011 and 2012 in an appendix (Appendix B) to this 8 testimony. On Line 10 of the 2011 report, the data show that PG&E has, 9 cumulatively, spent \$574,783,800 less than had been allocated over the years. The 10 comparable figure for 2012 (on Line 11) shows \$579,423,402. While neither figure 11 definitively indicates how much PG&E will actually spend in a given year, those 12 figures do indicate that it is spending less than is being allocated, and that the

13 spending imbalance is growing.

14 DRA examined the total amounts for the category "Funds Committed" (Line 15 13 on the 2011 report and Line 14 on the 2012 report), which represents the sum of 16 the dollars necessary to finish jobs that are not yet completed, plus the dollars 17 allocated for projects where underground districts have already been formed. In 18 2011, the total for "Funds Committed" amounted to \$521,430,773, while in 2012, the 19 total was \$442,126,301. These two figures do not definitively indicate how much will 20 be spent in a given future year. However, since the "committed" amount is lower at 21 the end of 2012 than it was at the end of 2011, it does suggest that the actual levels 22 of capital expenditures in 2013 and 2014 are unlikely to be much higher than the 23 2012 amounts.

24 Next, DRA sought to examine how historical recorded MWC 30 capital 25 expenditures compared to what PG&E had been authorized. Table 7-17, on the 26 next page, presents authorized Rule 20A expenditures and recorded expenditures, 27 and compares the two. As shown at the bottom of the table, from 2007 through 28 2012, PG&E has consistently spent less for MWC 30 than has been authorized. 29 Based on PG&E's expenditure history, there is no certainty that the backlog of work 30 would be addressed, even if PG&E was authorized to increase its 2013 and 2014 31 MWC 30 spending to its requested levels. Table 7-17 causes DRA to question


the likelihood that, even if PG&E's forecast was authorized, it would suddenly begin
spending all of the \$86.000 million it is requesting for 2013 and 2014, especially
given the fact that the commencement of Rule 20A projects are not entirely within
the control of PG&E.

5 The final piece of DRA's Rule 20A analysis was to examine how PG&E's 6 forecast for 2012 comported with reality. As shown in Table 7-16 (Row 4, Column 7 6), PG&E forecasted \$61.799 million for Rule 20A expenditures in 2012. PG&E's 8 forecast presumably incorporated its recent proposals to eliminate the work backlog, 9 as well as its most recent forecasts. However, as the saying goes, "the proof is in 10 the pudding." In spite of the fact that 2012 was the first forecast year for PG&E in 11 this GRC, and would involve the least amount of extrapolation of data trends, the 12 actual 2012 recorded amount for MWC 30 was \$52.426 million, \$9.373 million less 13 than PG&E's forecast.

14 Based on all of DRA's analyses, PG&E has failed to justify the 15 reasonableness of its forecasts of \$86.000 million per year for 2013 and 2014. The 16 annual Rule 20A reports to the Commission indicate that future expenditures will not 17 be greater than the 2012 recorded amount of \$52.426 million. Based on the totality 18 of the evidence, DRA is convinced that the 2012 recorded expenditure of \$52.426 19 million is also a reasonable forecast for 2013 and 2014. When escalation is 20 included, DRA's 2013 and 2014 forecasts are \$53.896 million and \$53.756 million, 21 respectively. MWC 30 capital expenditures are included in Line 14 of Table 7-1.

## **APPENDIX A**

# Condition-Based Ranking of TGRAM / TGRAL Switches

#### Workpaper Table 16-9 Pacific Gas and Electric Company Exhibit (PG&E-4), Chapter 16, Underground Asset Management TGRAM/ TGRAL Tier Completion Summary

Line No.	Priority / Tier	Units Completed EOY 2011	Units Remaining as of YE 2011	Tier Description	Detail
1	1	· 13	0	Tier 1 = Oil clarity, oil leak, corrosion, cracks in lead sheath, condition at cable entry	
2	2	3		Tier 2 = Oil clarity/leak and corrosion	
3	3	5	2	Tier 3 = Oil leak and corrosion/other condition	
4	4	21	39	Tier 4 = Oil clarity and/or oil leak	
5	5	10	8	Tier 5 = Oil clarity and corrosion	
6	6	1	5	Tier 6 = Oil clarity or oil leak (no corrosion)	
7	7	39	120	Tier 7 = Other conditions (no oil conditions)	
8	.8	63	441	Tier 8 = No significant visible oil leaks or corrosion conditions identified. Continued inspection is required to monitor future conditions	
9	Total	155	616		(2)
9 10	Total	155	616		

WP 16-28

(PG&E-4)

11 **Forecast Assumptions and Details** 

(1) Please refer to WP 16-27 "Forecasted TGRAM/TGRAL Switch Replacement Expenditures" for details on the 12

forecasted amounts of units to be completed for 2012-2016. (2) It is forecasted that a total of 16 units will be completed in conjunction with other program work from 2012 thru 2016 13

#### **APPENDIX B**

## Rule 20A Conversions

Annual Report to the Commission on Rule 20A Conversions

UTILITY:	Pacific Gas and Electric Company		YEAR ENDING 2011
ALLOCATI	ONS FOR CONVERSION		
,	Total Allocations (1968-2010)		1,812,432,682
2	2 Report Year's Allocation (2011)	41,300,000	
:	3 Total Allocations Through Report Year 1968-2011 (1+2)		1,853,732,682
EXPENDIT	URES FOR CONVERSIONS		
2	Total Expended for Completed conversions (1968-2010)	1,055,766,142	
ł	5 Total Report Year Expended for Completed Conversions (2011)	27,685,376	
(	3 Total Expended for Completed Conversions Through Report Year (1968-2011) (4+5)		1,083,451,518
1	7 Total Expended on Conversions Not Completed by Report Year-End (2011)	195,497,365	
٤	3 Total Expended (6+7)		1,278,948,882
TOTAL UN	EXPENDED FUNDS (3 - 8)		
ş	If Expenditures are Greater than Allocations		0
10	) If Allocations are Greater Than Expenditures (One of the above, 9 or 10 will always be "0")		574,783,800
FUNDS CO	MMITTED		
1-	Total funds Authorized to Complete Partially completed Jobs Shown on Line 7	223,714,176	**
12	2 Funds for Jobs Not Under Construction where U.G. districts have been formed Under enabling Legislation	297,716,597	
and the second se	3 Total Committed (11 + 12)		521,430,773
ADDITION	AL FUNDS COMMITTED		
14	Funds Required for Identified Projects Under Study by Active U.G. communities as of Report Year Ending 12/31/2008	no longer used*	
MEMO INF	ORMATION		
14	Advance for Specific Communities Beyond Current Allocations		167,699,682
16	Reserve Funds Held for Specific Communities for Which No Specific Current Projects are Under Study as of Report Year-End		470,278,453
17	7 Expenditures to Case 9365 (Transmission Dollars Which are Included in the Total Cost above in Line 8 )		no longer used*
	* no longer used as of 12/31/2008		

REPORT OF RULE 20A CONVERSIONS

\*\* PG&E recently discovered that some of the items that PG&E had been reporting on line 12 were also included in line 11. We have eliminated the duplication that we found in Line 11 of our numbers. If Line 11 was calculated the same as last year (including the duplication), the Line 11 amount would be \$567,027,829.

	REPORT OF RULE 20A C	UNVERSIONS	
UTILITY: Pacific	Gas and Electric Company	YE	EAR ENDING 2012
ALLOCATIONS F	OR CONVERSION		
1 Total A	liocations (1968-2011)		1,853,732,682
2 Report	Year's Allocation (2012)	41,300,000	
3 Total A 1968-2	llocations Through Report Year 012 (1+2)		1,895,032,682
EXPENDITURES	FOR CONVERSIONS		
4 Total E	xpended for Completed		
convers	sions (1968-2011)	1,083,451,518	
5 Total R	eport Year Expended for		
Comple	ted Conversions (2012)	82,130,401	
6 Total E Throug	xpended for Completed Conversions h Report Year (1968-2012) (4+5)		1,165,581,918
7 Total E Comple	xpended on Conversions Not ited by Report Year-End (2012)	150,027,362	
8 Total E	xpended (6+7)		1,315,609,280
TOTAL UNEXPER	IDED FUNDS (3 - 8)		
9 If Exper	nditures are Greater than Allocations		0
11 If Alloca Expend will alw	ations are Greater Than itures (One of the above, 9 or 10 ays be "0")		579,423,402
FUNDS COMMIT	TED		
12 Total fu	nds Authorized to Complete		
Partially	completed Jobs Shown on Line 7	150,027,362	
13 Funds I where U	or Jobs Not Under Construction J.G. districts have been formed		
Under e	anabling Legislation	274,098,939	
14 Total C	ommitted (11 + 12)		442,126,301
ADDITIONAL FUI	NDS COMMITTED		
14 Funds I Under S as of R	Required for Identified Projects Study by Active U.G. communities eport Year Ending 12/31/2008	no longer used*	
MEMO INFORMA	TION		
15 Advanc Beyond	e for Specific Communities Current Allocations		170,662,388
16 Reserv Commu Project Year-Ei	e Funds Held for Specific inities for Which No Specific Current s are Under Study as of Report nd		485,428,053
17 Expend Dollars Cost at	itures to Case 9365 (Transmission Which are Included in the Total love in Line 8 )		no longer used*
* no lor	ger used as of 12/31/2008		
	*		

#### REPORT OF RULE 20A CONVERSIONS